

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO**

Civil Action No. 1:15-CV-01140-RBJ

ESTATE OF TANYA MARTINEZ;  
JUDY ARMIJO, as Personal Representative of the Estate of Tanya Martinez;  
ESAI MARTINEZ, a minor, by and through his grandmother, Judy Armijo; and  
ANGEL MARTINEZ,

Plaintiff,

vs.

The BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF THE COUNTY FOR PUEBLO COUNTY  
COLORADO; a governmental entity;  
KIRK TAYLOR, in his official capacity as Pueblo County Sheriff;  
CORRECTIONAL HEALTHCARE COMPANIES, INC.;  
CORRECTIONAL HEALTHCARE PHYSICIANS, P.C.;  
CORRECT CARE SOLUTIONS, LLC;  
MIKE WHITE, E.M.T., in his individual and official capacities;  
JENNIFER SCOTT, R.N., in her individual and official capacities;  
KIM MURRAY, L.P.N., in her individual and official capacities;  
NORMA MOWER, PA-C, in her individual and official capacities;  
DEPUTY CINDY GOMEZ, in her individual and official capacities;  
DEPUTY DEANA COOK, in her individual and official capacities; and,  
DEPUTY ANNADENE LUCERO, in her individual and official capacities,

Defendants.

---

**STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER**

---

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c), upon a showing of good cause in support of the entry of a protective order to protect the discovery and dissemination of confidential information or information which will improperly annoy, embarrass, or oppress any party, witness, or person providing discovery in this case, IT IS ORDERED:

1. This Stipulated Protective Order shall apply to all documents, materials, and information, including without limitation, documents produced, answers to interrogatories, responses to requests for admission, deposition testimony, and other information disclosed pursuant to the disclosure or discovery duties created by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

2. As used in this Stipulated Protective Order, “document” is defined as provided in Fed. R. Civ. P. 34(a). A draft or non-identical copy is a separate document within the meaning of this term.

3. Information designated “CONFIDENTIAL” shall be information that is confidential and implicates common law and statutory privacy interests or security interests of: (a) Plaintiffs Estate of Tanya Martinez, Judy Armijo, Esai Martinez, and Angel Martinez; or (b) Defendants the Board of County Commissioners of the County for Pueblo County, Colorado, Sheriff Kirk Taylor, Deputy Cindy Gomez, Deputy Deana Cook, Deputy Annadene Lucero, Correctional Healthcare Companies, Inc., Correctional Healthcare Physicians, P.C., Correct Care Solutions, LLC, Mike White, E.M.T., Jennifer Scott, R.N., Kim Murray, L.P.N., and Normal Mower, PA-C, including confidential, security-sensitive, proprietary, trade secret, financial, or personal information. Any information designated by a party as CONFIDENTIAL must first be reviewed by a lawyer who will certify that the designation as CONFIDENTIAL is based on a good faith belief that the information “is confidential or otherwise entitled to protection.” *Gillard v. Boulder Valley Sch. Dist.*, 196 F.R.D. 382, 386 (D. Colo. 2000). CONFIDENTIAL information shall not be disclosed or used for any purpose except the preparation and trial of this case.

4. CONFIDENTIAL information shall not, without the consent of the party producing it or further Order of the Court, be disclosed *except that* such information may be disclosed to:

- a. the parties' attorneys that are actively working on this case;
- b. persons regularly employed or associated with the parties' attorneys that are actively working on the case whose assistance is required by said attorneys in the preparation of the case, at trial, or at other proceedings in this case;
- c. the parties, including designated representatives for the Plaintiffs and Defendants;
- d. expert witnesses and consultants retained in connection with this proceeding, to the extent such disclosure is necessary for preparation, trial, or other proceedings in this case;
- e. the Court and its employees ("Court Personnel");
- f. stenographic reporters who are engaged in proceedings necessarily incident to the conduct of this action;
- g. deponents, witnesses, or potential witnesses; and
- h. other persons by written agreement of the parties.

5. Prior to disclosing any CONFIDENTIAL information to any person listed above (other than the parties' counsel, persons employed by the parties' counsel, Court Personnel, and stenographic reporters), counsel shall provide such person with a copy of this Stipulated Protective Order and obtain from such person a written acknowledgment stating that he or she has read this Stipulated Protective Order and agrees to be bound by its

provisions. A copy of the written acknowledgment is attached hereto. All such acknowledgements shall be retained by counsel and shall be subject to *in camera* review by the Court if good cause for review is demonstrated by opposing counsel.

6. Documents are designated as CONFIDENTIAL by placing or affixing on them (in a manner that will not interfere with their legibility) the following or other appropriate notice: “CONFIDENTIAL—SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER.”

7. Whenever a deposition involves the disclosure of CONFIDENTIAL information, the deposition or portions thereof shall be designated as CONFIDENTIAL and shall be subject to the provisions of this Stipulated Protective Order. Such designation shall be made on the record during the deposition whenever possible, but a party may designate portions of depositions as CONFIDENTIAL after transcription, provided written notice is promptly given to all counsel of record within thirty (30) days after notice by the court reporter of the completion of the transcript.

Whenever it is necessary to attach or otherwise include CONFIDENTIAL information to motions or other documents filed with the court, the filing party shall contemporaneously file a motion to restrict access seeking such restriction only as necessary to preserve the confidentiality of the information. Such motion to restrict access shall seek restriction Level 1, which limits access to the court and the parties. See D.C.COLO.LCivR 7.2.

8. If a document marked as CONFIDENTIAL contains personal information that is not material to the claims and defenses at issue in the case, the filing party shall redact the information rather than restrict public access.

9. Whenever a party inadvertently fails to designate any information as CONFIDENTIAL, that party may correct such failure by giving written notice to the other

party(ies). Upon such written notification, the corrected materials shall only be deemed CONFIDENTIAL prospectively. Substitute copies of the corrected information shall be appropriately marked and given to the other party(ies) as soon as they become available. Within ten (10) days of receipt of the substitute copies, the party(ies) receiving the CONFIDENTIAL information shall return the previously unmarked information or destroy it.

10. A party may object to the designation of particular CONFIDENTIAL information by giving written notice to the party that designated the disputed information. The written notice shall identify the information to which the objection is made. If the parties cannot resolve the objection within ten (10) business days after the time the notice is received, it shall be the obligation of the party designating the information as CONFIDENTIAL to file an appropriate motion requesting that the Court determine whether the disputed information should be subject to the terms of this Stipulated Protective Order. If such a motion is timely filed, the disputed information shall be treated as CONFIDENTIAL under the terms of this Stipulated Protective Order until the Court rules on the motion. If the designating party fails to file such a motion within the prescribed time, the disputed information shall lose its designation as CONFIDENTIAL and shall not thereafter be treated as CONFIDENTIAL in accordance with this Stipulated Protective Order. In connection with a motion filed under this provision, the party designating the information as CONFIDENTIAL shall bear the burden of establishing that good cause exists for the disputed information to be treated as CONFIDENTIAL.

11. At the conclusion of this case, unless other arrangements are agreed upon, each document and all copies thereof which have been designated as CONFIDENTIAL shall

be returned to the party that designated it as CONFIDENTIAL, or the parties may elect to destroy CONFIDENTIAL documents. Where the parties agree to destroy CONFIDENTIAL documents, the destroying party shall provide all parties with an affidavit confirming the destruction.

12. The parties agree and stipulate that every person who obtains CONFIDENTIAL information is prohibited from using or disclosing said information for any purpose whatsoever, except as necessary to assist in the conduct of this litigation.

13. Nothing in this Stipulated Protective Order shall require the disclosure of information that is otherwise not subject to discovery, is privileged, or constitutes attorney work product. Nothing in this Stipulated Protective Order shall prejudice any objections that a party might have regarding the production of information. Nothing in this Stipulated Protective Order shall be construed as an agreement that any CONFIDENTIAL information shall be excluded from evidence.

14. Neither a party's designation of information as CONFIDENTIAL under this Stipulated Protective Order, nor a party's failure to make or object to such designation, shall be admissible in evidence as a party admission or otherwise to prove any fact relevant to any claim or defense.

15. The restrictions on use of CONFIDENTIAL information set forth in this Stipulated Protective Order shall survive the conclusion of this litigation.

16. This Stipulated Protective Order may be modified by the Court at any time for good cause shown following notice to all parties and an opportunity for them to be heard.

Dated: November 19, 2015.

By the Court:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "R. Brooke Jackson". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long, sweeping tail that extends to the right.

---

R. Brooke Jackson  
United States District Judge

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I hereby acknowledge that I have been advised of the terms of the Stipulated Protective Order entered in *Estate of Tanya Martinez, et al. v. Pueblo County, et al.*, Civil Action No. 15-cv-01140-RBJ, pending in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado, have been provided with a copy of said Stipulated Protective Order, have read and understand said Stipulated Protective Order, agree to be bound by and to comply with the terms of said Stipulated Protective Order, and agree to submit to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the District of Colorado for the purpose of enforcement of said Stipulated Protective Order.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Relationship to Lawsuit: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_



So Stipulated:

*s/ Matthew J. Cron*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Matthew J. Cron  
Siddhartha H. Rathod  
RATHOD | MOHAMEDBHAI LLC  
mc@rmlawyers.com  
sr@rmlawyers.com

Gregory A. Gold  
Colleen Parsley  
THE GOLD LAW FIRM, L.L.C.  
Greg@TheGoldLawFirm.net  
Colleen@TheGoldLawFirm.net

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF**

*s/*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Ann B. Smith  
VAUGHAN & DeMURO  
vnd@vaughandemuro.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR COUNTY  
DEFENDANTS**

*s/*

\_\_\_\_\_  
C. Gregory Tiemeier  
Dan Mauk  
Tiemeier & Stich, P.C.  
gtiemeier@tslawpc.com  
dmauk@tslawpc.com

**ATTORNEYS FOR CCS/CHC  
DEFENDANTS**