Jennings v. Lee Doc. 4

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 15-cv-01263-KLM

JAMES E. JENNINGS,

Plaintiff,

٧.

MICHELLE K. LEE, Deputy Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Defendant.

### ORDER TO FILE AN AMENDED COMPLAINT

# ENTERED BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE KRISTEN L. MIX

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's **Title VII Complaint** [#1]<sup>1</sup> (the "Complaint"). Plaintiff purports to bring a lawsuit pursuant to "Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5." *Compl.* [#1] at 2. However, the Complaint is vague and confusing. As a result, the Court cannot decipher any factual basis in the Complaint for a Title VII claim.

## I. Legal Standard

"[A] complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to 'state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009) (citing *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007)). The complaint must provide fair notice to the defendant sued of what the claims are and the grounds supporting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "[#1]" is an example of the convention I use to identify the docket number assigned to a specific paper by the Court's case management and electronic case filing system (CM/ECF). I use this convention throughout this Order.

such claims, *Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 555, and allow the Court to conclude that the allegations, if proven, show that the plaintiff is entitled to relief. *Monument Builders of Greater Kansas City, Inc. v. Am. Cemetery Ass'n of Kansas*, 1480 (10th Cir. 1989). The factual allegations in the complaint "must be enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level." *Christy Sports, LLC v. Deer Valley Resort Co.*, 555 F.3d 1188, 1191 (10th Cir. 2009). A complaint that does not meet these requirements may be subject to dismissal pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12.

In addition, Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a) provides that a complaint "must contain (1) a short and plain statement of the grounds for the court's jurisdiction . . . ; (2) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief; and (3) a demand for the relief sought . . . ." Fed. R. Civ. P. 8. A complaint that does not comply with this may also be subject to dismissal.

The Court must construe the Complaint liberally because Plaintiff is not represented by an attorney. *See Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520-21 (1972); *Hall v. Bellmon*, 935 F.2d 1106, 1110 (10th Cir. 1991). However, the Court should not act as a pro se litigant's advocate. *See Hall*, 935 F.2d at 1110.

#### II. Discussion

The Tenth Circuit encourages district courts to "helpfully advise a *pro* se litigant that, to state a claim in federal court, a complaint must explain what each defendant did to him or her, when the defendant did it, how the defendant's action harmed him or her, and, what specific legal right the plaintiff believes the defendant violated." *Nasious v. Two Unknown B.I.C.E. Agents*, 492 F.3d 1158, 1163 (10th Cir. 2007); see also Lazarov v. Kimmel, No.10-cv-01238-CMA, 2010 WL 2301749 (D. Colo. June 8, 2010). Plaintiff's Complaint fails to

clearly articulate the specific allegations as to Defendant and the corresponding basic details, such as the date of any specific actions by Defendant. For example, while Plaintiff brings his claim pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, *et seq.*, he fails to allege that he was employed by Defendant or provide the date when his employment was terminated. Plaintiff also fails to provide information regarding whether he is a member of a protected class or if he engaged in opposition to an unlawful employment practice. *See. e.g.*, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000(e)-2(a)(1) (discrimination), 2000(e)-3(a) (retaliation). In fact, the only factual allegation made by Plaintiff that relates specifically to him is the following: "The examiners were informed my inventions were being manufactured, yet demonstrated an indifference to release dates [sic] 11/28/14. Filed non black and white photos 12/03/2014, 04/13/15." *Compl.* [#1] at 5. In short, the Complaint fails to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Colorado-Civil.

### III. Conclusion

In order to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of this matter, as dictated by Rule 1 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds it necessary to sua sponte strike the Complaint due to its failures as set forth above. The Court grants Plaintiff leave to file an Amended Complaint that complies with this Order, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Local Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Colorado-Civil. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY **ORDERED** that Plaintiff cure the deficiencies designated above within thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

IT IS FURTHER **ORDERED** that if Plaintiff fails to cure the designated deficiencies within thirty (30) days from the date of this Order the Complaint and the action will be dismissed without further notice.

Dated: June 24, 2015

BY THE COURT:

Kristen L. Mix

United States Magistrate Judge

Juit Z. Wij