Riggin v. Woodford et al Doc. 24

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 15-cv-01503-REB-KLM

KURT RIGGEN, Chief of the Ki Kiallus Indian Nation,

Plaintiff,

٧.

JEAN WOODFORD, Judge, and THOMAS VANCE, Judge,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_

## MINUTE ORDER

ENTERED BY MAGISTRATE JUDGE KRISTEN L. MIX

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's first **Opposed Motion Pursuant to FRCP Rule 15(a)** for Leave to File Amended Complaint [#19] (the "Initial Motion") and on Plaintiff's second **Opposed Motion Pursuant to FRCP Rule 15(a)** for Leave to File Amended Complaint [#21] (the "Second Motion"). The Initial Motion was filed on January 29, 2016. Plaintiff there stated that he would be tendering an amended complaint on February 1, 2016. See [#19] at 1. On February 1, 2016, Plaintiff filed the Second Motion, in which he stated that he will tender an amended complaint in three weeks' time.

IT IS HEREBY **ORDERED** that the Initial Motion [#19] is **DENIED** as moot.

IT IS FURTHER **ORDERED** that the Second Motion [#21] is **DENIED without prejudice**. Plaintiff has already been warned that, in order to amend his complaint, he must file a motion which complies with the federal and local rules, namely, Fed. R. Civ. P. 15 and D.C.COLO.LCivR 15.1, and which includes the proposed amended complaint as a document separate from the Motion. *See Minute Order* [#18]. Neither of these Motions includes a tendered amended complaint, and the Court will not permit piecemeal adjudication of Plaintiff's case. Plaintiff must include all claims he seeks to bring and defendants he intends to name in a proposed amended complaint.

IT IS FURTHER **ORDERED** that the deadline to join parties and amend pleadings is **February 23, 2016**. No attempts to file an amended complaint will be permitted after that date, absent extraordinary circumstances.

Dated: February 2, 2016