Coates v. Cozza-Rhodes Doc. 5

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 15-cv-01716-GPG

CHRISTOPHER ALLEN COATES,

Applicant,

٧.

TERESA K. COZZA-RHODES,

Respondent.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

Applicant, Christopher Allen Coates, is a prisoner in the custody of the Federal Bureau of Prisons. Mr. Coates initiated this action by filing *pro se* an Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (ECF No. 1) and a Prisoner's Motion and Affidavit for Leave to Proceed Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 in a Habeas Corpus Action (ECF No. 3). On August 11, 2015, Magistrate Judge Gordon P. Gallagher entered an order directing Mr. Coates to cure certain deficiencies if he wished to pursue his claims. Magistrate Judge Gallagher specifically directed Mr. Coates either to pay the \$5.00 filing fee for a habeas corpus action or to submit a certificate showing the current balance in his inmate account. Mr. Coates was warned that the action would be dismissed without further notice if he failed to cure the deficiencies within thirty days.

Mr. Coates has not cured the deficiencies in this action within the time allowed.

Therefore, the action will be dismissed without prejudice for failure to cure the

deficiencies.

The Court also certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith and therefore *in forma pauperis* status will be denied for the purpose of appeal. *See Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438 (1962). If Applicant files a notice of appeal he also must pay the full \$505 appellate filing fee or file a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit within thirty days in accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 24. Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (ECF No. 1) is denied and the action is dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because Mr. Coates failed to cure the deficiencies as directed. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal is denied without prejudice to the filing of a motion seeking leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal in the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the Prisoner's Motion and Affidavit for Leave to Proceed Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 in a Habeas Corpus Action (ECF No. 3) is denied as moot.

DATED at Denver, Colorado, this <u>17th</u> day of <u>September</u>, 2015.

BY THE COURT:

s/Lewis T. Babcock
LEWIS T. BABCOCK, Senior Judge
United States District Court