Wilson v. Cozza-Rhodes Doc. 9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No. 15-cv-02230-GPG

MAURICE WILSON,

Applicant,

٧.

THERESA COZZA-RHODES,

Respondent.

ORDER TO FILE PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Applicant Maurice Wilson is in the custody if the Federal Bureau of Prisons currently is incarcerated at the Federal Correctional Institution in Florence, Colorado.

Applicant initiated this action by filing *pro se* an Application for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 that challenges a disciplinary action.

As part of the preliminary consideration of the Application in this case and pursuant to *Keck v. Hartley*, 550 F. Supp. 2d 1272 (D. Colo. 2008), the Court has determined that a limited Preliminary Response is appropriate. Respondent is directed pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts to file a Preliminary Response limited to addressing the affirmative defense of exhaustion of administrative remedies with respect to his denial of due process in his disciplinary action based on the lack of evidence. If Respondent does not intend to raise this affirmative defense, Respondent must notify the Court of that decision in the Preliminary Response. Respondent may not file a dispositive motion as a Preliminary Response, or an Answer, or otherwise address the merits of the claims in

response to this Order.

In support of the Preliminary Response, Respondent should attach as exhibits all

relevant portions of the administrative record, including but not limited to copies of all

documents demonstrating whether Applicant has exhausted administrative remedies.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that within twenty-one days from the date of this Order

Respondent shall file a Preliminary Response that complies with this Order. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that within twenty-one days of the filing of the

Preliminary Response Applicant may file a Reply, if he desires. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that if Respondent does not intend to raise the affirmative

defense of exhaustion of administrative remedies, Respondent must notify the Court of

that decision in the Preliminary Response.

Dated: November 23, 2015

BY THE COURT:

s/Gordon P. Gallagher

United States Magistrate Judge

2