

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO  
Judge Christine M. Arguello**

Civil Action No. 18-cv-01502-CMA-NYW

ALEJANDRO S. DOMENECH,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
MR. ISON, and  
MR. RATTAN,

Defendants.

---

**ORDER ADOPTING FEBRUARY 11, 2019 RECOMMENDATION OF  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

---

This matter is before the Court on the February 11, 2019 Recommendation (Doc. # 51) by United States Magistrate Judge Nina Y. Wang that Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (Doc. # 26) should be granted. The Recommendation is incorporated herein by reference. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

The Recommendation advised the parties that specific written objections were due within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy of the Recommendation. (Doc. # 51 at 6.) Despite this advisement, no objections to Magistrate Judge Wang's Recommendation were filed by either party. "In the absence of timely objection, the district court may review a magistrate [judge's] report under any standard it deems appropriate." *Summers v. Utah*, 927 F.2d 1165, 1167 (10th Cir. 1991) (citing *Thomas v.*

*Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985) (stating that “[i]t does not appear that Congress intended to require district court review of a magistrate’s factual or legal conclusions, under a de novo or any other standard, when neither party objects to those findings.”)).


The Court has reviewed all relevant pleadings concerning the underlying claim and the Recommendation. Based on this review, the Court concludes that Magistrate Judge Wang’s thorough and comprehensive analyses and recommendations are correct and that “there is no clear error on the face of the record.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 Advisory Committee’s Note. Therefore, the Court ADOPTS the Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Wang as the findings and conclusions of this Court.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Doc. # 51) is AFFIRMED and ADOPTED. It is

FURTHER ORDERED Plaintiff’s Motion for Reconsideration (Doc. # 26) is GRANTED.

DATED: March 5, 2019

BY THE COURT:

  
CHRISTINE M. ARGUELLO  
United States District Judge