



[Back](#) [Refresh](#) [Options](#)

Possible malicious prosecution claims against David Rosen.

it's rather clear that rosen's "suit" is completel...	AK47	06/12/07
"it's rather clear that rosen's "suit" is com...	IronMonkey	06/12/07
Clearly you haven't taken the bar exam. Insinuating that so...	Nutmeg Stud	06/12/07
weird post. why'd you pick "bar exam" as your insu...	AK47	06/12/07
A lot of schools don't do defamation in torts, saving it for...	Nutmeg Stud	06/12/07
are you suggesting that those schools don't do defamation in...	AK47	06/12/07
Actually, the First Amendment was deferred to an upperclass ...	Nutmeg Stud	06/12/07
you attended a ttt. ALL good lawschools discuss defamation i...	AK47	06/12/07
I probably am stupid to forego billing at \$300/hour to try t...	Nutmeg Stud	06/12/07
some advice: if you're trying to appear smarter than someone...	AK47	06/12/07
"In the majority of jurisdictions, the statement is act...	denuf	06/12/07
In Ohio, it's called public disclosure of private facts. Ge...	Nutmeg Stud	06/12/07
So one would be estopped from using truth as a defense? Or i...	Offensive Message Board	06/12/07
Falsity is generally not an element when broadly disclosing ...	Nutmeg Stud	06/12/07
"malicious prosecution" for a civil suit. lol. YF...	and and	06/12/07
wow, kill yourself right now. that, or never post under that...	AK47	06/12/07
Some states do call it malicious prosecution, even when you'...	anonlurker11	06/13/07
...	rennug	06/13/07
...	AK47	06/12/07
not to mention a rule 11.	AK47	06/12/07
are you bald? just askin.	The Elder of Zion ®	06/12/07
wtf dood no	AK47	06/12/07
ok... b/c i imagine you as a bald, angry dude.	The Elder of Zion ®	06/12/07
is it the way i come off in posts? i'm short and jittery, no...	AK47	06/12/07
Do you think the ACLU would support the posters' right to fr...	palmtree	06/12/07
I think cadwalader should defend them as a recruiting move	F.I.S.T.	06/12/07
No. This is not a governmental restriction on speech with c...	Nutmeg Stud	06/12/07
Here's a group that might: http://www.tjcenter.org/ Th...	Levi-Civita symbol	06/13/07
hah, emo distress.	Rod Roddy	06/12/07
the whole thing smacks of the 2 does having consistently bad...	Alpha & Omega	06/12/07
Some of the claims appear patently frivolous. I don't see an...	aventinus	06/12/07
I disagree. Far-left academics don't let the merits or subst...	Offensive Message Board	06/12/07
What I don't understand is why the complaint is so badly wri...	Two Does	06/13/07
West Tunisian Narratives of Feminist Struggle in Mid-century...	Doe I	06/13/07
If GTO has been looking to take out his life's frustrations ...	anonlurker11	06/13/07
I wouldn't be surprised if there are email exchanges between...	Munchies	06/13/07

[Post new message in this thread](#)

[Top](#) [Next](#) [Reply](#)

Date: June 12th, 2007 8:29 PM

Author: AK47

it's rather clear that rosen's "suit" is completely baseless. nothing the "defendants" have said or done satisfies any of the requirements for negligent or intentional infliction of emo distress, nor, obviously, does "DOE III" is a CGWBT" amount to actionable defamation. david rosen, a yale law professor, knows that the claims in his "complaint" are baseless and will inevitably be thrown out of court. he's bringing the suit nonetheless - and it appears that he's doing so for malicious reasons. we cannot let this stand.

(http://www.autoadmit.com/thread.php?thread_id=644338&forum_id=2#8248367)

[Top](#) [Previous](#) [Next](#) [Reply](#)

Date: June 12th, 2007 8:31 PM

Author: IronMonkey

"it's rather clear that rosen's "suit" is completely baseless"

Certainly not w/r/t some defendants

"nothing the "defendants" have said or done satisfies any of the requirements for negligent or intentional infliction of emo distress"

I wouldn't be so sure.

"nor, obviously, does "HI is a CGWBT" amount to actionable defamation"

Hey, you finally got something right!

"david rosen, a yale law professor, knows that the claims in his "complaint" are baseless and will inevitably be thrown out of court"

Why, that almost looks like defamation right there!

(http://www.autoadmit.com/thread.php?thread_id=644338&forum_id=2#8248371)

[Top](#) [Previous](#) [Next](#) [Reply](#)

Date: June 12th, 2007 11:10 PM

Author: Nutmeg Stud

Clearly you haven't taken the bar exam. Insinuating that someone has herpes is defamation per se. In the majority of jurisdictions, the statement is actionable even if true.

I don't know that this will survive an SMJ challenge because the (c) claims look attackable, but the defamation case isn't flimsy.

(http://www.autoadmit.com/thread.php?thread_id=644338&forum_id=2#8248947)