Exhibit B

JAMS ARBITRATION
COTT SIDELL,

Claimant,

-against-

Ref. No. 1425000992

L.P., STRUCTURED SETTLEMENTS, LLC (f/k/a LawCash structured Settlements, LLC), SSI-GP HOLDINGS, LLC, PLAINTIFF FUNDING HOLDINGS, INC. (d/b/a "Law Case"), PLAINTIFF FUNDING CORPORATION, RICHARD PALMA, HARVERY HIRSCHFELD, SELIG ZISES, DENNIS SHIELDS, JASON YOUNGER, and MARC WALDMAN,

Kespondents.	
	v
	Λ

INTERIM AWARD

DECISIONS ON RESPONDENTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THE AMENDED STATEMENT OF CLAIM AND ON CLAIMANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE COUNTERCLAIM

Attorneys for the Claimant:
David A. Slossberg, Esq.
Russell A. Green, Esq.
Hurwitz Sagarin Slossberg & Knuff, LLC
147 North Broad Street
P.O. Box 112
Milford, CT 06460-0112

Attorneys for the Respondents: John K. Crossman, Esq. Zukerman Gore & Brandeis, LLP 875 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022

Arbitrator: Jeanne C. Miller JAMS

HISTORY and ALLEGATIONS

ANALYSIS

A. RESPONDENTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THE AMENDED CLAIM

(3) Third Cause of Action – Tortious Interference With Contractual Relations against Respondents LawCash, SSLC ,SSGP, PFC, Palma, Hirschfeld, Zises, Shields, Younger, and Waldman

REDACTED

The respondents' motion to dismiss the Third Cause of Action is denied with leave to seek the arbitrator's permission to renew after the completion of discovery.

(8) Eighth Cause of Action – Violations of the 1968 Wiretap Act as amended by the Electronic Communications Protections Act (18 U.S.C. §2510 et seq.) (EPCA) against all respondents

REDACTED

Sidell has

successfully pled the elements of a claim under the EPCA. There is a question of the timing of the access that cannot be determined on a motion to dismiss and must be examined in discovery and, perhaps, in hearing. Respondents' motion to dismiss the Eighth Cause of Action is denied with leave to seek the arbitrator's permission to renew the motion following the completion of discovery.

(9) Ninth Cause of Action – Violations of the Stored Communications Act (18 U.S.C. § 2701) (SCA) against all respondents

REDACTED

For the same reasons stated above in discussing the Eighth Cause of Action, the questions of authorization and timing of the access cannot be decided on a motion to dismiss but are factual questions that Sidell can pursue in discovery and present at a hearing. Respondents' motion to dismiss the Ninth Cause of Action is denied with leave to seek the arbitrator's permission to renew the motion following the completion of discovery.

INTERIM AWARD AND DECISION ON RESPONDENTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THE AMENDED CLAIM

REDACTED

The Motion to Dismiss the Third Cause of Action is denied with leave to seek the arbitrator's permission to renew the motion following the completion of discovery.

REDACTED

Respondents' motion to dismiss the Eighth Cause of Action is denied with leave to seek the arbitrator's permission to renew the motion following the completion of discovery. Respondents' motion to dismiss the Ninth Cause of Action is denied with leave to seek the arbitrator's permission to renew the motion following the completion of discovery.

SIDELL'S MOTION TO DISMISS SSI's COUNTERCLAIM

SO ORDERED:

Jeanne C. Miller, Arbitrator

Dated: New York, NY June 15, 2009

AFFIRMATION

I, Jeanne C. Miller, Esq., do hereby affirm that this Award is true and accurate pursuant to CPLR Section 7507.

Jeanne C. Miller, Arbitrator

Dated: New York, NY June 15, 2009