

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT**

STEPHANIE BIEDIGER, KAYLA
LAWLOR, ERIN OVERDEVEST,
KRISTEN CORINALDESI, individually and
o/b/o all those similarly situated, LESLEY
RIKER, o/b/o her minor daughter L.R.,
L.R., o/b/o all those similarly situated, and
ROBIN LAMOTT SPARKS,
Plaintiffs,

v.

QUINNIPIAC UNIVERSITY,
Defendant.

No. 3:09cv621 (SRU)

CONFERENCE MEMORANDUM

On May 1, 2009, I held a phone conference on the record with Jonathan Orleans, representing the plaintiffs, and Mary Gambardella, representing the defendant. The purpose of the conference was to discuss the defendant's concerns regarding the timeliness of the plaintiffs' expert disclosure, as required under Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

I suggested that the deposition of the expert witness be moved from Sunday, May 3, 2009 to Tuesday, May 5, 2009 to accommodate the defendant's request for more specific information regarding the expert's opinion before taking her trial deposition. I further suggested that counsel participate in conference call on May 3, along with the expert witness, for the purpose of making a relatively complete expert disclosure ahead of her deposition.

I offered the following suggestions, which counsel said they would consider. First, I offered to move the date of the preliminary injunction hearing to May 14, 2009 if that would better accommodate the parties' needs.¹ Second, I suggested having the expert witness testify at

¹ The parties have since declined that option.

the preliminary hearing via video conferencing technology. Counsel are directed to report to chambers if they would like to take advantage of either of those suggestions.

Dated at Bridgeport, Connecticut, this 4th day of May 2009.

/s/ Stefan R. Underhill

Stefan R. Underhill

United States District Judge