




address the motion to dismiss. The parties were advised that any objections to the Magistrate Judge's conclusions and recommendations were to be filed in writing within ten days of service of the Recommendation and that failure to file objections to the Memorandum and Recommendation would preclude the parties from raising any objection on appeal. [Doc. 32, at 29]. The period within which to file objections has expired and no written objections to the Memorandum and Recommendation have been filed.

The Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's recommendation is supported by the record and the law. Accordingly, the Court hereby accepts the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation.

It is noted that even though the specific recommendation of the magistrate Judge does not address it, the text of the Magistrate Judge's Memorandum and Recommendation reflects that he recommends the denial of the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for improper venue.

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is **DENIED** and that the Alternative Motion to Transfer Venue [Doc. 9] is **GRANTED** and this matter is hereby transferred to the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut, New Haven Division.

Signed: November 30, 2009

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Martin Reidinger", written over a horizontal line.

Martin Reidinger  
United States District Judge

