Davis et al v. Connecticut Community Bank Doc. 396

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

STEPHEN R. LEVINSON; RICHARD E. LAYTON; AND DR. R. LAYTON P.A. 401(K) PLAN PLAINTIFF, v. WESTPORT NATIONAL BANK; DEFENDANT.	CIVIL ACTION No. 3:09cv269(VLB)
AUDREY SHORT, INDIVIDUALLY AND FAYE SHORT, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE FOR THE FAYE S. ALBERT RETIREMENT PLAN, ALBERT RETIREMENT PLAN. PLAINTIFFS, v. CONNECTICUT COMMUNITY BANK, N.A. DEFENDANT.	CIVIL ACTION No.3:09-cv-1955(VLB)
SOL DAVIS, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS TRUSTEE OF THE SOL DAVIS RETIREMENT PLAN, ET AL. PLAINTIFFS, v. CONNECTICUT COMMUNITY BANK, N.A. OWNER OF WESTPORT NATIONAL BANK DEFENDANT.	CIVIL ACTION No. 3:10cv261(VLB JUNE 20, 2013

ORDER OVERRULING PLAINTIFFS' OBJECTION TO DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO THE COURT'S MAY 31, 2013 ORDER [Dkt. 376]

Plaintiffs' objection is denied as untimely, as it was not raised at the time of the Joint Trial Memorandum, as ordered by the Court. [*Levinson* Dkt. 464, 488, 510; *Short* Dkt. 130, 154, 174; *Davis* Dkt. 111, 121, 266, 289.] The Court has the

Dockets.Justia.com

authority to manage its docket. *See Jamison v. Fischer*, No. 11-civ.-4697, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 144307, at *18 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 27, 2012) ("Courts have inherent authority to set and enforce deadlines for the management of litigation.") (citation omitted). Both parties, to the extent that they did not raise objections in timely motions in limine as instructed by the Court, have waived their objections. *Cf. Whitserve, LLC v. Godaddy.com, Inc.*, No. 3:11-cv-948, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 50620, at *10 (D. Conn. Apr. 8, 2013) (noting that a court has "substantial authority regarding the management of discovery") (citation omitted). The parties are reminded that it is the Court's responsibility to charge the Jury on the law. Experts may not reach legal conclusions in their testimony. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 702; *Hygh v. Jacobs*, 961 F.2d 359, 363-64 (2d Cir. 1992); *Memorandum of Decision Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendant's Motion in Limine*, *Levinson* Dkt. 456. The Court reminds the parties that they should be guided by the limitations prescribed in Rule 702 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, and to prepare their witnesses accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/
Hon. Vanessa L. Bryant
United States District Judge

Dated at Hartford, Connecticut: June 20, 2013