

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

JOSIF KOVACO, :
Plaintiff, :
 :
v. : 3:11-cv-00377-WWE
 :
ROCKBESTOS-SURPRENANT CABLE :
CORP., :
Defendant. :

**MEMORANDUM OF DECISION ON PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR
RECONSIDERATION**

Plaintiff Josif Kovaco has moved for reconsideration of this Court’s decision to grant summary judgment on his discrimination and front and back pay claims. Plaintiff also asks the Court to certify its decision for interlocutory appeal if the Court adheres to its summary judgment decision.

Reconsideration will be granted only if the moving party identifies controlling decisions or data that the court overlooked that could reasonably be expected to alter the court's decision. See Shrader v. CSX Transp. Inc., 70 F.3d 255, 257 (2d Cir. 1995). A motion for reconsideration may not be used simply to relitigate an issue that has been decided adversely to the movant. Joyce v. Semple, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 150294 (D. Conn. 2012).

Plaintiff argues that a determination by the Social Security Administration that a person is disabled is not preclusive of job-qualification for purposes of the Americans with Disabilities Act (“ADA”) because the ADA allows for the possibility of reasonable accommodation. However, the Court did not overlook controlling decisions on this issue. See Order on Mot. for Summ. J. at 8-9 [Doc. # 106]. Rather, the Court found, based on the facts in this case, that plaintiff’s disability precluded his qualification as a maintenance mechanic, regardless of his requested accommodation of a golf cart. Indeed, the Court cited Mitchell v. Washingtonville Cent. School

