



**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and jurisdiction over the Parties.

2. For settlement purposes only, this action may be maintained as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 on behalf of a class under the Settlement Agreement (the “Settlement Class”), defined as follows:

a class comprising all Persons who own or who claim to own, for any period of time during a Compensation Period, any Covered Property, *provided*, that “Settlement Class” or “Class” does not include: (1) Right-of-Way Providers and their predecessors, successors, parents, subsidiaries, and affiliates, past or present; (2) federal, state, and local governmental entities; (3) Native American nations and tribes; or (4) any Person who files a valid and timely exclusion on or before the Opt-Out Deadline.

3. In light of the agreement to settle the Action and the resulting elimination of individual issues that may otherwise have precluded certification of a litigation class, the prerequisites to class certification under Rule 23(a) are satisfied, to-wit:

a. The Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;

b. There are questions of law and fact common to members of the Settlement Class, including the central question of their right to compensation for Settling Defendants’ occupation of Rights of Way with Telecommunications Cable Systems;

c. The claims of the Connecticut Class Representatives, Caitflo, L.L.C. and Calabash, L.L.C., are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class members.

d. The Connecticut Class Representatives, represented by counsel experienced in complex litigation, will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class.

4. In light of the agreement to settle the Action and the resulting elimination of individual issues that Defendants contend preclude certification of a litigation class, the questions of law and fact common to all members of the Settlement Class predominate over questions affecting only individual members of that Class, and certification of the Settlement Class is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient resolution of this controversy, satisfying Rule 23(b)(3).

5. If the Settlement Agreement is not finally approved by the Court or for any reason does not become effective, the Settlement Class shall be decertified, all Parties' rights to litigate all class issues will be restored to the same extent as if the Settlement Agreement had never been entered into, and no Party shall assert that another Party is estopped to take any position relating to class certification.

6. Caitflo, L.L.C. and Calabash, L.L.C. are hereby designated as the Class Representatives for the Settlement Class.

7. The following counsel are designated and authorized to act as Settlement Class Counsel: Nels Ackerson and Kathleen C. Kauffman, Ackerson Kauffman Fex, P.C.; Henry J. Price, Price, Waicukauski & Riley, LLC; Andrew W. Cohen, Koonz, McKenney, Johnson, DePaolis & Lightfoot, L.L.P.; Irwin B. Levin and Scott D. Gilchrist, Cohen & Malad, LLP; and Dan Millea and Stephen M. Rogers, Zelle Hofmann Voelbel & Mason LLP.

8. The terms and conditions set forth in the Settlement Agreement, including the provision for substantial cash payments to be made by Defendants to Class Members who become Qualified Claimants in return for the Release of Claims and conveyance of Telecommunications Cable System Easement Deeds, place the Settlement Agreement within the range of fair and reasonable settlements, making appropriate further consideration at a hearing

held pursuant to notice to the Settlement Class. The Court therefore preliminarily approves the Settlement Agreement and directs the parties to perform and satisfy the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement that are thereby triggered.

9. A hearing (the “Fairness Hearing”) shall be held on June 24, 2013, at 10:00 a.m. in Chambers before the undersigned at United States District Courthouse, Second Floor Annex, 915 Lafayette Boulevard, Bridgeport, CT 06604. The date of the Fairness Hearing will be included in the Notice and Summary Notice. The purpose of the Fairness Hearing will be to (a) determine whether the proposed Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and should be finally approved; (b) determine whether an order and judgment should be entered dismissing the claims of the Settlement Class Members and bringing the litigation of those claims to a conclusion; and (c) consider other Settlement-related matters and appropriate attorneys’ fees. The Court may adjourn, continue, and reconvene the Fairness Hearing pursuant to oral announcement without further notice to the Class Members, and the Court may consider and grant final approval of the Settlement Agreement, with or without minor modification, and without further notice to Class Members.

10. The Court appoints Rust Consulting, Inc., of Minneapolis, Minnesota, to serve as Claims Administrator.

11. The Court has reviewed the Notice of Class Action, Proposed Settlement, and Settlement Hearing (the “Notice”), and the Summary Notice, attached to the Settlement Agreement, as Exhibits C and D, respectively. The Court approves as to form the Summary Notice and the Notice. The Court also approves the method of directing notice to Class Members, as set forth in paragraphs 12 and 13 below.

12. As soon as practical following the receipt from Data Mapping Solutions, L.L.C. of updated Class Member identification information, the Claims Administrator shall prepare and cause individual copies of the Notice to be sent by United States Mail, first class postage prepaid, to members of the Settlement Class who currently own real property that underlies, adjoins, or includes a Right of Way on the Cable Side. The Claims Administrator also shall mail copies of the Notice to any other potential Class Members that request copies or that otherwise come to its attention.

13. As soon as publication schedules practically permit, but no sooner than five (5) days after the initial mailing of the Notice, the Claims Administrator shall cause the Summary Notice, the content of which shall be substantially as set forth in Exhibit D to the Settlement Agreement, to be published, as set forth in the plan of publication contained in the Declaration of Katherine Kinsella which the parties filed on August 24, 2012.

14. The Court finds that the foregoing plan for notice to Class Members will provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and is in compliance with the requirements of Rule 23 and applicable standards of due process.

15. Prior to the Fairness Hearing, counsel for Defendants and Settlement Class Counsel shall jointly file with the Court an affidavit from a representative of the Claims Administrator confirming that the plan for disseminating the Notice and the Summary Notice has been accomplished in accordance with the provisions of paragraphs 12 and 13 above.

16. Members of the Settlement Class who wish to exclude themselves from the Class must request exclusion within forty-five (45) days of the date of the initial mailing of Notice, and in accordance with the instructions set forth in the Notice. Class Members who do not submit timely and valid requests for exclusion will be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement

in the event it is approved by the Court and becomes effective, and by any orders and judgments subsequently entered in the Action, whether favorable or unfavorable, regardless of whether they submit a Claim Form to the Claims Administrator. Class Members who submit timely and valid requests for exclusion will not be bound by the terms of the Settlement Agreement or by any orders or judgments subsequently entered in the Action, and they may not submit a Claim Form to the Claims Administrator.

17. This Court finds that it has the authority under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 70 and as provided in the Settlement Agreement to direct all Class Members who own a current interest in a Qualifying Parcel and who have not requested exclusion from a Settlement Class, regardless of whether they file a Claim Form for Landowner Benefits, to grant a Claims Administrator Telecommunications Cable System Easement Deed to the Settling Defendants, as provided in the Settlement Agreement. Class Members shall be advised in the Notice and Summary Notice of the Court's delegation of authority to convey a Claims Administrator Telecommunications Cable System Easement Deed, unless they exclude themselves from the Settlement Class.

18. Class Members who do not request exclusion may submit written comments on or objections to the Settlement Agreement or other Settlement-related matters (including attorneys' fees) within forty-five (45) days of the date of the initial mailing of Notice. Any Class Member who has not requested exclusion may also attend the Fairness Hearing, in person or through counsel, and if the Class Member has submitted written objections, may pursue those objections. No Class Member, however, shall be entitled to contest the foregoing matter in writing and/or at the Fairness Hearing unless the Class Member has served and filed by first-class mail, postage prepaid and postmarked within forty-five (45) days of the date of the initial mailing of Notice,

copies of the statement of objection, together with any supporting brief and all other papers the Class Member wishes the Court to consider (which must include the name and number of this case), and a notice of appearance from any counsel for the Class Member who intends to appear at the Fairness Hearing, provided, however, that counsel is not necessary as the Class Member may appear and personally object. Any such objection, brief, notice of appearance, or other related document must be filed with the Court at the following address:

Clerk of Court  
United States District Courthouse  
Fourth Floor  
915 Lafayette Blvd.  
Bridgeport, CT 06604

and served on the following representative of Settlement Class Counsel:

Fiber Optic Class Counsel  
P.O. Box 441711  
Indianapolis, IN 46244

and on the following representative of the Settling Defendants:

Emmett Logan  
STINSON MORRISON HECKER, LLP  
1201 Walnut, No. 2900  
Kansas City, MO 64106-2150

Each statement of objection must identify (a) the name and address of the Class Member, (b) the name and address of the Class Member's counsel, if any, and, (c) in order to confirm Settlement Class membership, the legal description of the Class Member's Qualifying Parcel. Unless otherwise directed by the Court, any Class Member who does not submit a statement of objection in the manner specified above will be deemed to have waived any such objection.

19. During the Court's consideration of the Settlement Agreement and pending further order of the Court, all proceedings in this Action, other than proceedings necessary to

carry out the terms and provisions of the Settlement Agreement, or as otherwise directed by the Court, are hereby stayed and suspended.

20. If the proposed Settlement Agreement is not approved by the Court or for any reason does not become effective, the Settlement Agreement will be regarded as nullified, certification of the Settlement Classes for settlement purposes will be vacated, and the steps and actions taken in connection with the proposed Settlements (including this Order (except as to this paragraph) and any judgment entered herein) shall become void and have no further force or effect. In such event, the parties and their counsel shall take such steps as may be appropriate to restore the pre-settlement status of the litigation.

21. Neither the Settlement Agreement nor the provisions contained therein, nor any negotiations, statements, or proceedings in connection therewith shall be construed, or deemed to be evidence of, an admission or concession on the part of the Connecticut Class Representatives, Settlement Class Counsel, the Settling Defendants, any Class Member, or any other person, of any liability or wrongdoing by any of them, or of any lack of merit in their claims or defenses, or of any position on whether any claims may or may not be certified as part of a class action for litigation purposes.

22. The court retains jurisdiction over this action, the Parties, and all matters relating to the Settlement Agreement.

Date: November 2, 2012

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
Warren W. Eginton  
Senior United States District Judge