



specifying which issues were resolved and which remain.

In addition, Rule 37(b)1 requires that any discovery motion be accompanied by a memorandum of law “contain[ing] a concise statement of the nature of the case and a specific verbatim listing of each of the items of discovery sought or opposed, and immediately following each specification shall set forth the reason why the item should be allowed or disallowed.” Copies of the discovery requests must be included as exhibits.

In opposition, the defendants note that the plaintiff has not complied with any of these requirements. The plaintiff’s motion to compel and motion for court order are denied.

## II. Motion for Extension of Time

The defendants seek an extension of time, until November 17, 2012, to respond to the plaintiff’s September 17, 2012 discovery requests. In opposition, the plaintiff states that no extension should be granted as the defendants have had since April 2012 to respond to his discovery requests and the court stated on September 2, 2012, that no further extensions would be required. The defendants seek an extension to respond to discovery requests served by the plaintiff after the conference with the court. As these requests had not yet been served, the court’s statement does not apply to the September 17, 2012 requests.

The deadline for filing dispositive motions in this case is October 30, 2012. If the defendants’ motion is granted in full, the plaintiff will not have the requested discovery in sufficient time to respond to the motion. Accordingly, the

