



Exchange Commission issued or not corrected by Albert R. Subbloie, Jr. and Gary R. Martino acting as officers and or directors of Tangoe, Inc. The plaintiffs were represented at the hearing by Phillip Kim of the Rosen Law Firm; Jeremy A. Lieberman and Lesley F. Portnoy of Pomerantz Grossman Hufford Dahlstrom & Gross LLP have also filed appearances on their behalf. Lewis Stein has retained these two firms as co-lead counsel and has additionally retained Bruce and Henry Elstein of Elstein and Elstein, P.C. as liaison counsel. The Rosen Law Firm is experienced in the handling of class action securities fraud litigation, including in the following cases in which plaintiffs received favorable outcomes, among others: *Hufnagle v. Rino Int'l Corp. et al*, 2:10-cv-08695-DDP-VBK (C.D. Ca.); *Rose v. Deer Consumer Products, Inc. et al*, 2:11-cv-03701-DMG-MRW (C.D. Ca.); *In re StockerYale, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 1:05-cv-00177-SM (D. N.H.); *Ray v. Lundstrom et al*, 8:10-cv-00199-JFB-TDT (D. Nebraska); *Farrar v. Flight Safety Tech Inc et al*, 3:04-cv-01175-CFD (D. Conn.). Pomerantz Grossman Hufford Dahlstrom & Gross LLP is experienced in the handling of class action securities fraud litigation, including in the following cases in which plaintiffs received favorable outcomes, among others: *Caiafa v. Comverse Technology, Inc. et al*, 1:06-cv-01825-NGG-RER (E.D.N.Y.); *In Re: Salomon AT&T, et al v. Salomon Smith Barney, et al*, 1:02-cv-06801-GEL (S.D.N.Y.); *In re: Medicis Pharmaceutical Corp. Securities Litigation*, 2:08-cv-01821-GMS (D. Ariz.). Elstein and Elstein, P.C. likewise has civil litigation experience in Connecticut's state and federal courts and experience in the handling of class action securities fraud litigation, including in *Strougo v. Phoenix Companies, Inc. et al*, 3:13-cv-00547-RNC (as

liaison counsel, with Pomerantz as lead counsel), currently pending in the District of Connecticut. Lewis Stein is the only plaintiff seeking appointment as lead plaintiff, and no other law firms have moved for appointment as lead counsel. The Court appoints The Rosen Law Firm and Pomerantz Grossman Hufford Dahlstrom & Gross LLP as co-lead counsel and Elstein and Elstein, P.C. as liaison counsel.

The Court further finds that Lewis Stein is the only movant for lead plaintiff before the Court, has the largest financial interest of the movants before the Court by virtue of his sole motion for appointment as lead plaintiff and his investment of approximately \$9,000 in his purchase of the common stock of Tangoe, Inc. (which counsel represents is the largest financial loss of any class member of which counsel is aware), and has vigorously pursued the interests of the class by moving for appointment as lead plaintiff. The Court also finds that there is no indication that the proposed lead plaintiff's interests are not coexistent with or that they are or in the future could be antagonistic to the interests of the proposed class members. On the basis of the foregoing factual findings, the Court concludes that Lewis Stein satisfies the criteria of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a)(4) in that he has established that he would fairly and adequately protect the interests of the proposed class and vigorously litigate the case fairly and adequately on behalf of all class members. Accordingly, the Court appoints Lewis Stein as Lead Plaintiff initially for the purpose of proposing lead counsel, thereafter pursuing class certification and if a class is certified representing the interests of the class.

