Soto v. Colvin Doc. 20

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

HECTOR L. SOTO, :

Plaintiff,

vs. : No. 3:14ev0513(WIG)

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, :

Acting Commissioner,

Social Security Administration, :

Defendant. :

-----X

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S CONSENT MOTION FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT WITH REVERSAL AND REMAND [DOC. # 18]

Defendant, Carolyn W. Colvin, Acting Commissioner of the Social Security

Administration, has moved this Court to enter judgment under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. §

405(g), with a reversal and remand of this cause to the Commissioner for further action. Counsel for Defendant represents that he has contacted Plaintiff's counsel, Meryl Spat, Esq., who consents to the relief sought in this motion.

Under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), the Court has the power to enter a judgment with a reversal and remand of the cause to the Commissioner for further proceedings. *See Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 297 (1993); *Melkonyan v. Sullivan*, 501 U.S. 89, 98 (1991). Remand for further development of the record is appropriate when gaps exist in the administrative record or when the administrative law judge ("ALJ") committed legal error. *See Parker v. Harris*, 626 F.2d 225, 235 (2d Cir. 1980).

Here, the Commissioner has determined, and Plaintiff's counsel concurs, that remand of this case is necessary for further development of the record and additional administrative proceedings. Upon remand, the Social Security Administration Appeals Council will remand

this case to an administrative law judge ("ALJ"), who will give Plaintiff the opportunity for a

new hearing and to submit additional evidence in accordance with 20 C.F.R. §§ 404.331,

404.350. The ALJ will reassess Plaintiff's maximum residual functional capacity, and, in so

doing, will reevaluate the medical and other opinions of record. If necessary, the ALJ will also

obtain vocational expert testimony to determine whether Plaintiff can perform his past relevant

work and/or make an adjustment to other work that exists in significant numbers. The ALJ will

then issue a new decision.

Accordingly, the Court hereby GRANTS the Defendant's Consent Motion for Entry of

Judgment Under Sentence Four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) with Reversal and Remand of the Cause to

the Defendant [Doc. # 18]. Additionally, Plaintiff's Motion to Remand [Doc. # 15] is

GRANTED to the extent set forth in this Ruling.

This is not a Recommended Ruling. The parties have consented to the Magistrate

Judge's entering a final order in this case without the need for entry of a recommended ruling and

review by a District Judge. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 73(b). The Clerk is directed to enter a separate

judgment in favor of Plaintiff in this matter under Rule 58(a), Fed. R. Civ. P., to remand this

cause to the Commissioner for further administrative proceedings in accordance with this Order,

and to close this case.

It is SO ORDERED, this 13th day of November, 2014, at Bridgeport, Connecticut.

/s/ William I. Garfinkel

WILLIAM I. GARFINKEL

United States Magistrate Judge

2