

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT**

BERNARD PITTERMAN et al.,
Plaintiffs,
v.
GENERAL MOTORS LLC,
Defendant. : CIVIL ACTION NO.
3:14-cv-967 (JCH)
: JULY 4, 2017

**AMENDED DEPOSITION DESIGNATION RULING RE: DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM F.
SULTZE¹**

| PAGE / LINE | OBJECTION² |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 5/11 – 6/1 | O/R |
| 8/19 – 8/23 | O/R |
| 9/2 – 10/17 | O/R |
| 12/6 – 13/4 | O/R |
| 24/11 – 24/24 (to “. . . withdrawn.”) | SUST. |
| 25/11 – 26/21 | O/R |
| 27/7 – 27/16 | SUST. |
| 29/4 – 29/11 | O/R |
| 29/24 – 30/4 | SUST. |
| 32/6 – 32/11 | O/R |
| 33/19 – 33/21 | O/R |

¹ As to each Rule 403 objection, the court weighed the probative value of the deposition testimony against any prejudicial effect. If the objection was overruled, the court in overruling the objection found the probative value outweighed the prejudicial effect.

² The shorthand “O/R” indicates that the objection to the testimony identified in Column One was overruled. The shorthand “SUST.” indicates that the objection was sustained.

| | |
|------------------------|-------|
| 33/22 – 34/1 | SUST. |
| 34/2 – 34/14 | O/R |
| 35/1 – 35/13 | SUST. |
| 35/25 – 36/3 | O/R |
| 37/20 (first sentence) | SUST. |
| 38/5 – 38/12 | O/R |
| 38/13 – 40/19 | SUST. |
| 45/15 – 45/24 | O/R |
| 47/15 – 47/23 | SUST. |
| 48/17 – 49/5 | SUST. |
| 49/24 – 50/3 | SUST. |
| 50/4 – 50/10 | SUST. |
| 50/11 – 50/22 | SUST. |
| 68/10 – 68/15 | O/R |
| 75/8 – 75/18 | SUST. |
| 90/8 – 90/13 | SUST. |
| 92/15 – 92/21 | SUST. |
| 92/22 – 93/3 | O/R |
| 94/19 – 94/25 | SUST. |
| 98/4 – 99/13 | O/R |
| 104/17 – 104/21 | O/R |
| 107/1 – 107/5 | O/R |
| 127/9 | SUST. |

| | |
|--------|-------|
| 130/13 | SUST. |
|--------|-------|

SO ORDERED.

Dated at New Haven, Connecticut this 4th day of July, 2017.

/s/ Janet C. Hall
Janet C. Hall
United States District Judge