Lamoureaux v. Puzio Doc. 14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

DONALD WAYNE LAMOREAUX,) CASE NO. 3:24-CV-01974 (KAD)
Petitioner,)
)
V.)
)
PUZIO,) JANUARY 27, 2025
Respondent.)

ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS (ECF NO. 13)

Kari A. Dooley, United States District Judge:

Before the Court is Petitioner Donald Lamoureaux's Motion for Leave to Proceed *in forma* pauperis. He requests to proceed in this habeas action, brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241, without paying the \$5 filing fee. Pet'r.'s Mot., ECF No. 13. For the following reasons, this motion is DENIED.

It is well settled that the decision to proceed *in forma pauperis* in civil cases is committed to the sound discretion of the district court. *See Rahimi v. Sec'y of Navy*, No. 3:19-CV-01852 (JAM), 2019 WL 6529458, at *2 (D. Conn. Dec. 4, 2019). In exercising this discretion, the Court must determine whether the burden of paying the fees for filing and service would either hamper the petitioner's ability to obtain the necessities of life or force him to abandon the action. *Adkins v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co.*, 335 U.S. 331, 339–40 (1948); *Potnick v. E. State Hosp.*, 701 F.2d 243, 244 (2d Cir. 1983) (*per curiam*).

Lamoureaux states in his Motion for Leave to Proceed *in forma pauperis* that he receives \$175 per month in VA disability benefits. Pet'r.'s Mot. at 1. Lamoureaux also reports having \$183.99 in his checking or savings account. *Id.* at 2. Lamoureaux lists no debts, financial obligations, or dependents. *See id.* Given Lamoureaux's cash on hand, the monthly VA disability

payments he receives, and his lack of expenses, the Court finds that the burden of paying the \$5

filing fee would neither hamper Lamoureaux's ability to obtain the necessities of life nor force him

to abandon the action. Adkins, 335 U.S. at 339–40. Accordingly, Lamoureaux's Motion for Leave

to Proceed in Forma Pauperis is DENIED. See Clark v. Pappoosha, No. 3:21CV1690 (CSH),

2022 WL 960296, at *2 (D. Conn. Mar. 30, 2022) (finding that plaintiff could pay the \$402 filing

fee when he had \$492.70 in his trust account); Koustas v. Williams, No. 3:18-CV-869 (VLB), 2019

WL 8112458, at *5 (D. Conn. May 22, 2019) (finding that § 2241 petitioner was able to pay the

required filing fee based on the total amount in his inmate account and his monthly income).

All further proceedings in this matter shall be held in abeyance for twenty (20) days

pending Lamoureaux's delivery of the filing fee in the amount of \$5 (money order or bank check

made payable to the Clerk of Court) to the Clerk's Office, 915 Lafayette Boulevard, Bridgeport,

CT 06604.

Failure to tender the filing fee within twenty (20) days of the date of this Order, on or before

February 17, 2025, will result in the dismissal of this action.

SO ORDERED at Bridgeport, Connecticut, this 27th day of January 2025.

/s/ Kari A. Dooley

KARI A. DOOLEY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2