## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

		ECHNOLOGIES, INC	··,	)
		tiff-Counterdefendant	,	) Civil Action No. 08-862-LPS
		v.		)
FAC	EBOOK	K, INC.,		)
		corporation,		)
	Defe	ndant-Counterclaiman	t	) ) )
		SPI	ECIAL VERDI	CT FORM
A.	Lead	Claims Against Facebook		
	Liter	al Infringement		
	1.	Do you find that Leader Technologies has proven by a preponderance evidence that Facebook directly and literally infringes any of the ass of U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761?		
		YES		IO
		<b>a.</b> If you answered infringed:	"Yes" to Question	l, please mark the claims you found to be
nc.		Claim 1:	Claim 4:	Claim 7:
	•	Claim 9:	Claim 11:	Claim 16:
		Claim 21:	Claim 23:	Claim 25:
		Claim 31:	Claim 32:	

Leader Technologies Inc. v. Facebook

Dockets.Justia.co

2.	Do you find that Leader Technologies has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Facebook induced literal infringement of any of the asserted method claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761?							
	YES	NO_						
	a. If you answered "Yinfringed:	Yes" to Question 2, p	lease mark the claims you found to be					
	Claim 9:	Claim 11:	Claim 16:					
3. Do you find that Leader Technologies has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Facebook contributed to the literal infringement of any of the asserted method claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761?								
	YES	NO_						
	a. If you answered "Y	Yes" to Question 3, p	lease mark the claims you found to be					
	infringed:							
	Claim 9:	Claim 11:	Claim 16:					
Skip Question 4 if you answered "Yes" and found infringement of all the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761 under Questions 1, 2, or 3.								
Infrin	gement Under The D	octrine of Equivale	nts					
4.	If you did not find that Facebook literally infringes some or all of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761 under Questions 1, 2, or 3, do you find that Leader Technologies has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Facebook infringes any of those claims under the doctrine of equivalents?							
	YES	NO						
	<b>a.</b> If you answered "Yes" to Question 4, please mark the claims you found to be infringed under the doctrine of equivalents:							
	Claim 1:	Claim 4:	Claim 7:					
	Claim 9:	Claim 11:	Claim 16:					
	Claim 21:	Claim 23:	Claim 25:					
	Claim 31:	Claim 32:						

## B. Facebook's Patent Invalidity Claims Against Leader Technologies

## Anticipation

5.	Do you find that Facebook has proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761 are invalid because they are anticipated by prior art?						
	YES	NO	)				
	If you answered "	No," then skip to Qu	estion 6.				
	a. Mark the claims	you found to be antic	cipated by prior art:				
	Claim 1:	Claim 4:	Claim 7:				
	Claim 9:	Claim 11:	Claim 16:				
	Claim 21:	Claim 23:	Claim 25:				
	Claim 31:	Claim 32:					
Obvi	iousness						
6.		ms of U.S. Patent No	y clear and convincing evidence that any .7,139,761 are invalid because the prior				
	YES	NO	)				
	If you answered "	No," then skip to Sig	nature Section.				
	a. Mark the claims you found to be obvious:						
	Claim 1:	Claim 4:	Claim 7:				
	Claim 9:	Claim 11:	Claim 16:				
	Claim 21:	Claim 23:	Claim 25:				
	Claim 31:	Claim 32:					

Signatures			
Date			
FOREPERSON	-	_	
	-		
	_		