IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

LEADER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,			
Plaintiff	C-Counterdefendant,	Civil Action No. 08-862-JJF/LPS	
V.			
FACEBOOK, INC., a	Delaware corporation	1,	
Defenda	int-Counterclaimant.		
	<u>VER</u>	DICT FORM	
A. <u>Leader's Pater</u>	nt Infringement Cla	ims Against Facebook	
1. <u>Literal</u>	<u>Infringement</u>		
Do you find that	t Leader has proven	by a preponderance of the evidence that Facebook	
has literally infi	ringed each and ever	y element of any of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent	
No. 7,139,761?			
YES		NO	
a. If you answered "Yes," please place a check mark next to the claims you			
f	ound to be infringed	•	
Claim 1:	Claim 4:	Claim 7:	
Claim 9:	Claim 11:	Claim 16:	
Claim 21:	Claim 23:	Claim 25:	
Claim 31:	Claim 32:		

2. <u>Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents</u>

If you found that Facebook did not literally infringe some or all of the claims of U.S.			
Patent No. 7,139,761 in Question 1, do you find that Leader has proven by a			
preponderand	ce of the evidence that l	Facebook has infringed	any of those claims under the
doctrine of ed	quivalents?		
YES _		NO	
a.	If you answered "Yes	s," please mark the clain	ms you found to be infringed
	under the doctrine of	equivalents.	
Claim 1:	Claim 4:	Claim 7:	
Claim 9:	Claim 11:	Claim 16:	
Claim 21:	Claim 23:	Claim 25:	
Claim 31:	Claim 32:		
3. Contr	rol or Direction		
With respect	to its infringement clai	ms against Facebook w	ith respect to claims 9, 11,
and 16, has L	eader shown by a prep	onderance of the evider	nce that Facebook controls or
directs the ac	cused actions of Faceb	ook end users and/or Fa	cebook employees?
a.	Facebook end users	YES	NO
b.	Facebook employees	YES	NO

Priority Date of U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761 4.

	Do you fi	nd that Lea	der has proven	by a preponderance of	f the evidence that U.S. Patent
Application No. 60/432255 (the "Provisional Application") fully discloses each and					
	every element of any asserted claim of U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761?				
	Y	ES		NO	
	a.	If you	answered "Ye	s," please mark the ass	serted claims of U.S. Patent No.
		7,139,	761 for which	you found that each ar	nd every element was fully
		disclo	sed by the Prov	visional Application.	
Claim	1:	_ Claim 4	4:	Claim 7:	
Claim	9:	Claim	11:	Claim 16:	
Claim	21:	_ Claim 2	23:	Claim 25:	
Claim	31:	_ Claim 3	32:		
В.	Facebook	k's Patent I	nvalidity Defe	enses	
	1. <u>O</u>	<u>n-Sale Bar</u>			
	Do you fi	nd that Fac	ebook has prov	en by clear and convi	ncing evidence that the asserted
	claims of	U.S. Patent	No. 7,139,761 a	are invalid because the	alleged invention was the subject
	of an inva	alidating off	er of sale?		
	Y	ES		NO	
	2. <u>P</u> 1	rior Public	<u>Use</u>		
	Do you fi	nd that Face	ebook has prov	en by clear and convir	ncing evidence that the asserted
	claims of	U.S. Patent	No. 7,139,761	are invalid because th	ne alleged invention was the
	subject of	f an invalida	ating public use	??	
	Y	ES		NO	

3. <u>Anticipation by iManage</u>

Do you find that Facebook has proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the
asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761 are invalid because they are anticipated by
iManage DeskSite 6.0 ("iManage")?

YES	NO

a. If you answered "Yes," please mark the claims you found to be anticipated.

Claim 1:	Claim 4:	Claim 7:
Claim 9:	Claim 11:	Claim 16:
Claim 21:	Claim 23:	Claim 25:
Claim 31:	Claim 32:	

4. <u>Anticipation by Swartz</u>

Do you find that Facebook has proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761 are invalid because they are anticipated by U.S.

Patent No. 6,236,994 B1 ("Swartz")?

T T C	NO
YES	NO

a. If you answered "Yes," please mark the claims you found to be anticipated.

Claim 1:	Claim 4:	Claim 7:
Claim 9:	Claim 11:	Claim 16:
Claim 21:	Claim 23:	Claim 25:
Claim 31:	Claim 32:	

5. Anticipation by Hubert

Do you find that Facebook has proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761 are invalid because they are anticipated by European Patent Application No. EP 1 087 306 A2 or U.S. Patent No. 7,590,934 B2 ("Hubert")?

YES	NO

a. If you answered "Yes," please mark the claims you found to be anticipated.

Claim 1:	Claim 4:	Claim 7:
Claim 9:	Claim 11:	Claim 16:
Claim 21:		Claim 25:
Claim 31:	Claim 32:	

6. Obviousness

Do you find that Facebook has proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761 are invalid on the ground of obviousness?

YES	NO
	- · · ·

a. If you answered "Yes," please mark the claims you found to be obvious.

Claim 1:	Claim 4:	Claim 7:
Claim 9:	Claim 11:	Claim 16:
Claim 21:	Claim 23:	Claim 25:
Claim 31:	Claim 32:	

Signatures		
Date		
FOREPERSON	_	
	-	
	-	