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P R O C E E D I N G S is you /UZ /UZ /SAOEURBDZ THE COURT: Good morning. Be seated, everybody. THE LAWYERS: Good morning your Honor. As we notified the parties by the phone, the jury has indicated they have a verdict.

If nobody has any strenuous objections, I'm going to bring the jury in and hear what the verdict is.

Mr. Golden.
(At this time, the jury entered the courtroom.)
THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
THE JURY: Good morning.
THE COURT: I've been informed that you have reached a verdict.

I ask the Foreperson, is it true you have reached a verdict?

THE FOREPERSON: Yes.
THE COURT: I'm going to have my deputy go forward and get the verdict from you.
(The verdict sheet was handed over to the clerk.)
THE COURT: For the record, I have opened the verdict form. I'm now handing it to my deputy to read the verdict. THE CLERK: For the record, I have received a copy of the original verdict form in the case of Leader Technology, Inc., versus Facebook, Civil Action 08-862-LPS

The verdict form states under section A. Leader's Patent Infringement Claims against Facebook.

Subsection 1, literal infringement.
Do you find that Leader has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Facebook has literally infringed each and every element of any of the asserted claims of U.S. patent number 7,139,761?

The jury has checked the box yes.
Subsection A, under subsection 1 says if you answered yes, please place a check mark next to the claims you found to be infringed.

Claim 1, there's a blue $x$ next to it.
Claim 4, blue x.
Claim 7, x.
Claim nine, x.
Claim 11, x.
Claim 16, x .
Claim 21 x.
Claim 23, x.
Claim 25, x.
Claim 31, x.
Claim 32, x.
Subsection 2 reads infringement under the Doctrine of Equivalents.

If you found that Facebook did not literally infringe
some or all of the claims of U.S. Patent Number 7,129,761 in question 1, do you find that Leader has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Facebook has infringed any of those claims under the Doctrine of Equivalents?

The box is checked no.
Under subsection A, subsection 2, all the boxes are obviously not checked.

Subsection 3, Control or Direction.
With respect to the infringement claims again Facebook with respect to Claims, 9, 11 and 16 , has Leader shown by a preponderance of the evidence that Facebook controls or directs the accused actions of Facebook end users and/or Facebook employees?

Subsection A, Facebook end users. The box no has been checked.

Subsection B, Facebook employees. The box no has been checked.

Subsection 4, Priority Date of U.S. patent number 7,139,761.

Do you find that Leader has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that U.S. Patent Application No. 60/432255 (the Provisional Application) fully discloses each and every element of any asserted claim of U.S. Patent No. 7,129,761?

The box no has been checked.
Under subsection A. If you answered yes, please mark
the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761 for which you found that each and every element was fully disclosed by the Provisional Application.

None of those boxes are checked.
Subsection B. Facebook's Patent Invalidity Defenses. Subsection 1, On-Sale Bar.

Do you find that Facebook has proven by clear and convincing evidence that the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No., 7,129,761 are invalid because the alleged invention was the subject of an invalidating offer of sale?

The box yes has been checked.
Subsection 2, Prior Public Use.
Do you find that Facebook has proven by clear and convincing evidence that the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No., 7,139,761 are invalid because the alleged invention was the subject of an invalidating public use?

The box yes has been checked.
Section 3, Anticipation by iManage.
Do you find that Facebook has proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761 are invalid because they are anticipated by iManage DeskSite 6.0 iManage?

The box no has been checked.
Subsection A, if you answered yes, please mark the claims that you found to be anticipated.

None of those boxes are checked.

Section 4, Anticipation by Swartz.
Do you find that Facebook has proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the asserted claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,129,761 are invalid because they are anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,236,994 (Swartz)?

The box no has been checked.
If you answered yes, please mark the claims that you found to be anticipated.

None of those boxes are checked.
Section 5, Anticipation by Hubert.
Do you find that Facebook has proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the asserted claims U.S. Patent No. 7,129,761 are invalid because they are anticipated by European Patent Application No. EP 1087306 A2 or U.S. Patent No. 7,590,934 B2 (Hubert)?

The box no has been checked.
Under subsection A, if you answered yes, please mark the claims that you found to be anticipated.

None are checked.
Section 6, Obviousness.
Do you find that Facebook has proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,129,761 are invalid on the ground of obviousness?

The box no has been checked.

Subsection A, if you answered yes, please mark the claims that you found to be obvious.

None of them are checked.
THE CLERK: For the record, the signatures of the
jurors appear at the end of the form. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Golden. Mr. Andre, do you have any applications? MR. ANDRE: I would like to have the jury polled, your

Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Golden, poll the jury.
THE CLERK: Juror number 1, is the verdict read in open court true and accurate and your vote?

JUROR NO. 1: Yes.
THE CLERK: Juror number 2, was the verdict read in open court true and accurate and supported by your vote? JUROR NO 2: Yes.

THE CLERK: Juror number 3, was the verdict read in open court true and accurate and supported by your vote? JUROR NO. 3: Yes. THE CLERK: Juror number 4, was the verdict read in open court true and accurate and supported by your vote? JUROR NO. 4: Yes. THE CLERK: Juror number 5, was the verdict read in open court true and accurate and supported by your voted? JUROR NO. 5: Yes.

THE CLERK: Juror number 6, was the verdict read in open court and true and accurate and supported by your vote? JUROR NO. 6: Yes.

THE CLERK: Juror number 7, was the verdict read in open court true and accurate and supported by your vote? JUROR NO. 7: Yes. THE CLERK: Juror number 8, was the verdict read in open court true and accurate and supported by your vote? JUROR NO. 8: Yes. THE CLERK: Thank you. THE COURT: Thank you very much, ladies and gentlemen of the jury.

On behalf of the parties, the attorneys, the court, my colleagues, myself, I want to thank you very, very much for your time and diligence, your patience and your service. There are a few matters that I would like to discuss with you back in the jury room. You are not entirely free to go yet. But that moment is almost here.

Again, I do want to thank you very much. We'll have Mr. Golden show you back to the jury room and you can start to collect your things, and I will see you momentarily back there. (At this time, the jury left the courtroom.) THE COURT: You can all be seated.

I'm going to go back and meet with the jury. I will
ask them if any of them are willing to stick around to talk with the attorneys. If you do wish to talk with the jurors though, you need to state for me on the record that nothing you hear back there is going to be used in a post trial motion or in that appeal.

If you are prepared to abide by those terms, I'll let up to three people from each side come back and join me to talk to the jurors. Mr. Andre?

MR. ANDRE: We'll abide by those terms.
THE COURT: Mr. Rhoades?
MR. RHOADES: We have no reason to talk to the jury, but we accept your terms.

THE COURT: I will go back and get the conversation started to see if any of them wish to stay and meet with you all.

Mr. Golden will come in and bring you back there. We'll stand in recess for now.
(At this time, court stood in recess.)

