EXHIBIT 26 PART 4

ader Technologies Inc. v. Facebook Inc

Doc. 627 Att. 29

- 1 someone who is sort of ordinary skill in the art
- 2 that was a fellow named Marcello Caltaldo, who's
- 3 a post-doc in my research lab.
- And I gave him the provisional
- 5 application and asked him to, you know, build a
- 6 web application that, you know, that embodies
- 7 this technology.
- 8 O. And was he able to do that?
- 9 A. Yes, he was. And he provided --
- 10 there's another document here that has been
- 11 added into evidence.
- 12 Q. Sure. I believe that is PTX 1125.
- 13 That's provided in the binders.
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 Q. If we can show that on the screen.
- 16 Is this what you're referring to Dr. Caltaldo
- 17 had provided?
- 18 A. Yes, that's it. That's what he
- 19 provided to me as a result of my request.
- 20 Q. And we're just looking at the
- 21 front page. Are there more pages behind that?
- A. Yes, there's actually seven or
- 23 eight, six or seven more pages of source code.
- 24 That's -- the document here consists of source

- 1 code like this.
- 2 Q. And if we could turn back to the
- 3 front page. Okay. Can you explain what this
- 4 is, especially in connection with the reference
- 5 to a generic application skeleton?
- A. Yes, that does sound rather odd,
- 7 doesn't it? The idea is that is to create sort
- 8 of just kind of a simple application that
- 9 embodies this technology.
- 10 So something that would allow you
- 11 to -- that would provide context that would
- associate applications and data with those
- contexts would allow a user, you know, to move
- 14 from one context or work space to another, to
- 15 track those movements. So to basically, you
- 16 know, do the things that the provisional
- 17 application described.
- 18 Q. Is your understanding that all
- 19 Marcella Caltaldo had used was the provisional
- 20 application in building this particular
- 21 application?
- A. Yes. That's all I provided to
- 23 him.
- And I asked him later and he said

- 1 that was the only thing that he had used in
- 2 producing this document.
- 3 Q. If we turn to the second page of
- 4 Exhibits 1125 and we see this code.
- 5 A. Mm-hmm.
- 6 Q. Just generally, what is this kind
- of code? Can you just walk us through it and
- 8 explain what's included in 1125?
- A. So what we're looking at here is
- 10 the first -- it's two main parts.
- The first part, as you can see up
- 12 at the top, is called WebApp. So what this code
- is doing is kind of setting up a collection of
- workspaces and showing a relationship among
- 15 them.
- 16 It has a functionality that would
- allow a user to select from menus to select, you
- 18 know, a particular web or collection of
- 19 workspaces to select a webslice, which is
- 20 another way of creating a collection of
- 21 workspaces in sort of a workflow arrangement.
- 22 And so select a particular
- 23 workspace within that. So that's kind of what
- 24 the first part does here. It allows the user to

- 1 construct something like that.
- Then if we move ahead, there's a
- 3 second part where there's the word board at the
- 4 top Class: Board. And I think it's on Page 6 a
- 5 little farther.
- No. It's back. There we go.
- 7 And what this is doing is, you
- 8 know, setting up a workspace. And so we see
- 9 here that it has associated with it data items.
- 10 So that would be -- you know, could be any sort
- 11 of data, photos, documents, whatever.
- 12 Applications are associated with
- 13 it and users are associated with the workspace.
- And also, if we scroll further down, we can see
- 15 that you could access the boards of the
- 16 workspaces that are part of the workflow.
- And as we go on, we'll see that it
- 18 also -- I think it's on the next page. Makes
- 19 available to -- yeah, at the top here.
- 20 Q. And just for the record, you're
- 21 referring to Page 7 of this document?
- 22 A. Oh, I'm sorry. Actually I think
- 23 it begins on the previous page, but rather than
- 24 worrying about it, let me just describe how you

- 1 do it.
- 2 This is showing you how --
- 3 different workspace functionalities in the
- 4 WebApp are provided.
- 5 But it also shows that as a user
- 6 moves from one workspace to another, it
- 7 continues to make all of the items from the
- 8 previous workspace available to that user. And
- 9 if the user moves to another workspace and
- 10 accesses some of that the data or applications,
- 11 then it updates metadata reflecting that move
- 12 from one workspace to another.
- 13 Q. When you are using the word
- 14 workspace, can you just explain what you mean by
- 15 that?
- A. So workspace on my tutorial, if
- 17 you recall, I described the workspace kind of
- 18 like an analogy of somebody working on the desk.
- 19 They have a calender, stapler, whatever the
- things that are that you need, the tools, you
- 21 know, to do work collected on one place. A
- 22 workspace is like that, you know, but on the
- 23 screen.
- 24 So you have the things that you

- 1 need to do something. You have applications.
- 2 You have all kinds of data documents you could
- 3 -- pictures you can upload.
- 4 You have all that kind of in one
- 5 place. And so that's what's associated with
- 6 that are, you know, those types of data, things
- 7 that you've uploaded and the applications that
- 8 you use and your identity.
- 9 So that's basically what a
- 10 workspace is.
- 11 Q. I noticed that in the provisional,
- 12 you have text and code and then the issued
- 13 patent has diagrams.
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. What provides more detail for
- someone like yourself to make and build the
- invention of the '761 patent?
- 18 A. Well, the diagrams are helpful,
- 19 but the code is actually much more helpful for
- 20 one skilled in the art. If I could use an
- 21 analogy, it's as if you have a cookbook where
- 22 you have some recipes and a bunch of pictures of
- sauteing and whipping up egg whites and so on.
- 24 And those pictures are helpful, but for someone

- 1 skilled in the art, you could just say, for
- 2 example, this is classic French cuisine and that
- 3 communicates a great deal of information to
- 4 someone about how to go about making this
- 5 recipe.
- 6 Q. In your opinion, does it matter
- 7 whether the provisional is shorter in length
- 8 than the actual issued patent which is the '761
- 9 patent?
- 10 A. No. Source code is a very sort of
- 11 dense way of conveying information. The
- diagrams take up, you know, much more space,
- unfortunately, and so I think there's 20 some
- 14 diagrams.
- 15 So you just kind of expect that
- 16 the '761 patent with many diagrams would be much
- 17 longer.
- 18 Q. Okay. So let's dive into the
- 19 patent now, so let's take a look at Claims 1, 4
- 20 and 7 --
- Z1 A, All right.
- 22 0. -- once we have it up here on the
- 23 screen. Let's see if we can shorthand some of
- 24 the claim language, so when we take a look at

- 1 Claim 1 and after the computer-implemented
 2 network-based system that facilitates management
 3 of data, we have the next paragraph that starts
 4 a computer-implemented context component of the
 5 network-based system.
 6 And it continues all the way down
- past a couple commas and ends with the user

 defined data and metadata stored on a storage

 component of the network-based system. And do

 you see that?
- 11 A. Yes, I do.
- 12 Q. Can I call that the context
 13 component of Claim 1? Are we talking about the
 14 same thing?
- 15 A. Yes. Okay.
- 2. And then if we turn to the next element, which starts a computer-implemented tracking component and it continues all the way through the end of the claim or the -- yes, the end of the claim where it says wherein the user accesses the data from the second context.
- You'll understand when I say

 tracking component of Claim 1, I'm referring to

 all of that.

1	A. Okay. Good.	
2	Q. Could you just generally and	
3	briefly describe what your understanding of what	
4	Claim 1 covers?	
5	A. All right. So what you called the	
6	context component, we have to go back to the	
7	claim construction order to understand what's	
8	meant by context here.	
<u>,</u> 9	And the claim construction order	
10	says that a context is environment. So an	
1.1	environment is, you know, what I've been calling	
12	a workspace. It is a place that has you	
1.3	know, lets a user do some work, contains the	
14	things that the user needs to do something.	
15	So what the first element is	
16	saying is that the '761 invention has a context	
17.	component, so it has that kind of a workspace.	
18	And one of the things that it does is to use	
19	that context data to sort of update metadata	
20	every time you use or upload something to your	
21	workspace.	

context component will attach some -- will use

that context information to update your

So by uploading something, the

22

23

24

- 1 metadata.
- 2 So the second element is a
- 3 tracking component. Again, this sort of keeps
- 4 track of a user moving from one workspace to
- 5 another, if you will.
- And what this element says that
- 7 when a user works -- moves from one workspace to
- 8 another, and then accesses from the second
- 9 workspace, accesses data that was uploaded into
- 10 the first workspace, it updates the metadata
- 11 with that tracking information about that
- 12 action.
- 13 Q. Why don't we turn to the
- 14 provisional application PTX 3.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 O. And see where these elements are
- 17 described. Now, does the entire provisional
- 18 application inform your opinion that each of the
- 19 elements of the asserted claims are disclosed in
- the provisional?
- A. Yes. Reading this as a whole, it
- 22 -- well, it's responsible for my opinion that it
- 23 does disclose all the elements.
- Q. So right now we'll just go through

- 1 a few examples of that. Does that sound right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. So if we take a look at the
- 4 summary of the invention here, I believe it's
- 5 Paragraph 16.
- 6 Would you please explain what this
- 7 tells you and how it relates to the claims of
- 8 the '761 patent?
- 9 A. Okay. As you can see, it says
- 10 that the tool automatically stores contextual
- information relating to an item of communication
- 12 and utilizes that contextual -- I believe the
- 13 words information is missing from performance of
- 14 communication tasks.
- So that tells me that it's storing
- 16 this contextual information and using it later.
- 17 So it's stored in some permanent kind of form.
- 18 Q. And is there anything in the code
- that's also helpful with respect to the context
- 20 component element of Claim 1?
- 21 A. I think there are a couple of
- 22 things that are helpful.
- Q. If you turn to the first page of
- 24 the code, I think it will --

and .	A. Right. All right.
2	So if you look at these import
3	statements, these import statements represent
4	taking code that's, you know, common code class
5	libraries, code that exists sort of outside and
6	imports them into this application.
7	So this is very common in most
8	programming languages. You have certain
9	certain kind of sort of boiler plate codes.
10	Things are used all the time over and over and
11	over again.
1.2	And usually you just take those
13	common things and import them for use in your
14	own application. Now, what's interesting is
15	that by looking at the kinds of things that get
16	imported here, you know, you can get a pretty
17	good idea of some of the things that the
18	application is doing.
19	So if we look at the fourth and
20	fifth lines where it says import com, you know,
21	persist and persist.vbsf. So that tells us that
22	there's some form of persistent storage here.
23	And vbsf, in particular, is a
24	middleware package that makes it easier to store

- things in a relational database when you're using object-oriented language. So to sort of hopefully not confuse you with the technology,
- 4 this is all written in object-oriented style, a
- 5 particular style of programming.
- And yet, apparently they're going
- 7 to use a relational database to store their
- 8 permanent data. And the only reason you would
- 9 have vbsf around is because you want to do that.
- 10 You want to use -- store things in a relational
- 11 data.
- 12 So that's saying that there's some
- 13 permanent kind of storage and it's in a
- 14 relational database. If you look down at the
- 15 very last import statement, it talks about
- 16 session state.
- 17 Session state, again is a common
- 18 term. And session state sort of captures --
- 19 remember we talked about session, that you might
- log into your, you know, website, for example,
- 21 and start a session, authenticate it, then do a
- 22 bunch of things. And then you end the session.
- 23 Well, somewhere you have to store
- 24 this information that, Gee, this person is

- logged in, and they're now on this page. And
- 2 they're now going to another page.
- 4 kind of tracking what a user is doing in that
- 5 session and when the session is over. So this
- 6 tells you that that kind of information is going
- 7 to be stored and it's going to be stored in this
- 8 type of analogy.
- 9 Q. Maybe we can turn to another place
- 10 in the code. I believe it has the Bates Number
- 11 LTI 7576.
- A. Mm-hmm.
- 13 Q. There's a line, add new
- 14 relationships. If you could blow that section
- 15 up.
- 16 Thank you.
- 17 A. Right. This is showing us that
- information like -- it talks about -- see where
- 19 it has group key field, for example. There's
- 20 lots of places in here where he's talking about
- 21 keys. That sort of tells you that something is
- 22 being stored in a relational database.
- 23 So this is storing basically
- 24 relations between workspaces and information

- about what's in a workspace in the database in
- 2 permanent form.
- 3 So this is where it is using the
- 4 context information to update the metadata.
- 5 Q. Okay. Do you need a pointer?
- 6 Would that be helpful?
- 7 A. Oh, you know what, I have one
- 8 right here.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. I just forgot about it. Yeah.
- 11 So as I was saying, the various
- 12 places it talks about key, and key fields. That
- is indicative of saving something in a
- 14 relational database.
- And so what this is saying, to
- 16 reiterate, is that it's saying that things like
- 17 the users that are associated with the workspace
- and relations of between workspaces are all
- being stored in this permanent kind of storage
- in a relational database. So that represents to
- 21 me using context information to update the
- 22 metadata.
- 23 O. Can you give me some examples?
- Well, so what we've just talked about, does that

- 1 really relate to the context component of Claim
- 2 1.
- 3 A. Yes, that relates to the context
- 4 component.
- 5 Q. Can we turn to some examples that
- 6 relate to the tracking component of Claim 1?
- 7 A. Sure. Let me get another.
- 8 Q. So we start with the description
- 9 of embodiments here in the patent. And I
- 10 believe Paragraph 22.
- 11 A. Right.
- 12 Q. Could you please explain here what
- this provides to one of ordinary skill in the
- 14 art?
- 15 A. Right. So it says here towards
- the end, as users create and change their
- 17 contexts, the files and applications
- 18 automatically follow, dynamically capturing
- 19 those shifts in context.
- 20 So this signals to me that the --
- 21 when the user changes context access data from
- 22 other contexts, that that information is
- 23 recorded.
- Q. Okay. And I believe there's one

- 1 other place in the text, if we go to the example
- 2 which starts on -- well, it's on LTI 747, the
- 3 last paragraph.
- 4 If you can enlarge it. Dr.
- 5 Herbsleb, could you please explain what this
- 6 tells you?
- 7 A. Sure. So this is talking about
- 8 how the system decides what content belongs
- 9 where in the system. And so it says location
- 10 may be determined by detecting changes in
- 11 structure, detecting temporary location and
- using a routing algorithm before and after the
- 13 change to adjust the affect of the location of
- 14 the affected content.
- 15 So what this is saying, the
- 16 content that is associated with the board is
- 17 stored in metadata. And that when using a
- 18 routing algorithm, which they call a webslice,
- 19 there's sort of dynamically associating the
- 20 content with each of the workspaces. And,
- 21 again, that the location of a content relative
- 22 to the workspaces is what's captured in
- 23 metadata. That's done by tracking information
- that follows users from workspace to workspace.

Q. And are there places in the code 1 2 that we can look to that help you understand that there's a tracking component of Claim 1 3 found in this provisional application? 4 5 A. Yes. Maybe we can turn to the first 6 7 page of the code there in PTX 3. Ř Well, again, this is just reminding you that we have session state, which 9 is kind of a temporary storage about the 10 session, and we have up here vbsf, which is 11 12 storing things in a relational database. That would be where metadata would be stored. 13 It's relatively permanent. 14 And then we have another location 15 16 in the code. I believe it's on LTI 757. 17 0. Right. I think the section that started 18 19 add new relationships, if you could -- sub-form -- if you could blow that up. 20 Thank you. 21 Mm-hmm. So here it's showing A. 22 23 adding relationships between a workspace and

content, again, showing that that's done with,

24

- 1 you know, using the relational database. So
- 2 this is, again, illustrating how, you know, the
- 3 tracking component updates a workspace.
- Q. So, in your opinion, are all the
- 5 elements of Claim 1 disclosed in the provisional
- 6 application?
- 7 A. I think all the elements of Claim
- 8 1 are disclosed here.
- 9 O. And that's based on the entire
- 10 disclosure, not just limited to these examples;
- 11 is that right?
- 12 A. Right. So to sort of describe how
- to look at this, the text sort of describes
- 14 what, you know, describes the disclosure. When
- 15 we look at source code what we're seeing is
- 16 hints about how someone would actually make and
- 17 use this.
- 18 Right. So the source code that's
- disclosed here is not a complete implementation
- 20 of everything described in the text. That would
- 21 be much larger.
- 22 So what the source code is doing
- is just disclosing enough information about how
- 24 this is intended to work, that one of ordinary

- 1 skill could then use this to actually make
- 2 something.
- 3 So it's not the case that the
- 4 source code is a complete implementation. It's
- 5 not intended as that.
- 6 It's just more information for
- 7 someone trying to make and use this invention.
- 8 Q. Okay. Let's turn to Claim 4 and
- 9 7.
- 10. A. Okay.
- 11 Q. And if we could take a look at
- 12 Claims 4 and 7, is it your understanding that
- these are dependent claims on Claim 1?
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 O. And so is it your opinion that the
- 16 additional element found in Claim 4 is disclosed
- in the provisional application?
- 18 A. Yes, it is. The additional
- 19 element here is saying a little bit about what
- 20 the context information has to include. Right.
- It has to include a relationship
- 22 between a user and at least one of the
- 23 application, application data and user
- 24 environment. So that's an addition.

```
Why don't you briefly describe
 1
                0.
 2
       Claim 7 and then we will go to the provisional?
                    Okay. So a claim -- what Claim 7
 3
 4
       is saying that the data created in one context
       is associated with data created in the second
 5
       context. That's what's new about that.
 6
 7
                Q.,
                    Okay. All right.
 8
                    If we could turn to PTX 3 and go
       to LTI 743, the first paragraph.
 9
                    Mm-hmm.
10
                \Lambda
11
                0
                    What does this tell you in terms
12
       of as it relates to Claim 4?
                A. Yeah. This -- so this is
13
       basically almost the same language at Claim 4
14
              It relates to new structures and methods
15
       for creating relationships between users
16
       applications and files and folders, which is
17
       essentially what it said in Claim 4.
18
19
                O. And if we could take a look at
       where in this application we refer to Claim 7.
20
       I believe we can turn to LTI 749.
21
22
                A.
                    Mm-hmm.
23
                    And if you could just blow up that
                0.
```

There you go.

24

page there.

Great. So remember this claim has 1 Α. 2 to do with creating associations between workspaces. So the location of content may be 3 determined by detecting changes in structure, 4 detecting the temporary location to the content 5 of the boards in the routing of algorithms 6 before and after the change and adjusting the 7 location of the affected content as part of the 8 9 change in structure. All of that is a lot of language. 10 11 That's a little bit difficult to decipher. But 12 it's basically saying that there is this routing algorithm that associates different workspaces 13 by virtue of saying that they are the locations 14 for some particular content. 15 All right. So the routing 16 algorithm creates a link between the workspace 17 and says, Here are the workspaces where this 18 19 content belongs. Is it your opinion then that 20 Claims 4 and 7 are fully disclosed in the 21 provisional application? 22 It's my opinion that they're 23 Yes. 24 fully disclosed.

- 1 Q. Let's turn now to Claim 9, 11 and
- 2 16. And actually there we go.
- 3 So I'm going to break these claims
- 4 up, so we don't have to read the entire claim
- 5 element every time.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. When we refer to -- well, so
- 8 looking at Claim 9, we have a
- 9 computer-implemented method of managing data and
- 10 then the first element has creating data within
- 11 a user environment. Continues on after the
- 12 colon, the data in the form of at least files
- 13 and documents.
- 14 Do you see that after the comma?
- 15 A. Yes, I do.
- 16 O. And then that will be Element 1 of
- 17 Claim 9.
- The next element will start
- dynamically associating metadata with the data.
- 20 And it continues on to include information
- 21 related to the user, the data, the application
- 22 and the user environment.
- 23 Can I refer to that as Element 2
- 24 of Claim --

- 1 A. Sure.
- 2 0 -- 9?
- 3 Okay. And if I put element one
- 4 and two together, would it be easier to just
- 5 refer to that as the context component --
- A. Yeah. That's very much like the
- 7 description of the context component in Claim 1.
- 8 Q. -- or how would you do that?
- 9 So we could refer to it either way
- and we'll be talking about the same thing when
- 11 we refer to Claim 9; right?
- 12 A. Right.
- 13 O. And then the remainder of the
- 14 claim has this element three that starts
- 15 tracking movement of the user and continues on.
- 16 And then the next element, which
- is four, starts dynamically updating the stored
- 18 metadata all the way through the end of the
- 19 claim. Do you see that?
- 20 A. Mm-hmm. Yes.
- 21 O. And those can be elements three
- 22 and four of Claim 9. Is that okay?
- 23 A. Yes. Yes.
- Q. And can we refer to that also as

- the tracking component of Claim 9?
- 2 A. Yes. I believe that those
- 3 together describe the tracking component.
- 4 O. How is Claim 9 different than
- 5 Claim 1?
- A. Well, Claim 9 adds a few new
- 7 things. So it introduces language of user
- 8 environment instead of context means the same
- 9 thing.
- 10 It talks about web-based computing
- 11 platform. That's one of the major differences
- is that this requires something that's web based
- and is a platform for user interaction.
- 14 So that's the main difference in
- 15 the context component. And I think that's the
- 16 same down here, just a web-based kind of big
- 17 difference between this and Claim 1.
- 18 Q. And it continues throughout Claim
- 19 9, this web based --
- A. Down to Claim 9. So web based
- 21 here in part of the description is the tracking
- 22 component as well.
- 23 Q. Is it your opinion that all the
- 24 elements of Claim 9 are disclosed in the

```
1 provisional application?
```

- 2 A. Yes, that's my opinion. They're
- 3 all disclosed.
- Q. Okay. Let's take a look at the
- 5 provisional application. It's PTX 3.
- And well, for all the reasons
- 7 you've already testified about, does that
- 8 support your opinion that all the elements of
- 9 Claim 9 are fully disclosed in the provisional?
- 10 A. Right. So the discussion we had
- 11 before about the context component and the
- tracking component that all, you know, applies
- here.
- 14 The thing that is the additional
- 15 element for Claim 19, that it's web based.
- 16 O. Okay.
- 17 A. So we need to look for something
- 18 new to support that.
- 19 Q. Can we turn to the code at LTI
- 20 756?
- 21 A. 756?
- 22 0. Six. Yes.
- 23 A. That's 46. Fifty-six.
- 24 There we go.

And then it goes on to 572 1 :O. Right. If we look at where it 2 Α starts, let's see, at the bottom public form, 3 get form on 746. So you see discussion here of 4 5 forms. You see discussion of, on the next 6 page, of sub-forms and pages, concrete pages and 7 8 so on. 9 This is all language that describes creating web pages. So by form, they 10 mean this form. Form is an area within a web 11 page. So the codes here reveal that this is, in 12 fact, a web-based system. 13 Why don't we turn to then Claims 14 0. 15 11 and 16. Is it your understanding that Claims Il and 16 are dependent on Claim 9? 16 That's my understanding. 17 Yes. What is the addition that's added 18 to Claim II and then 16? 19 So Claim 9 adds indexing the 20 \mathbb{Z} content to user environment. So with that one, 21

So Claim 16 talks mainly -- the

more than one user to user access environment.

0.

Α.

And how about Claim 16?

22

23

24

```
1 addition is this, that you can access this from
```

- 2 a portable wireless device.
- 3 Q. And do you have an opinion as to
- 4 whether or not Claims 11 and 16 are fully
- 5 disclosed in the provisional application?
- 6 A. Yes. I think they are fully
- 7 disclosed.
- 8 O. Okay. Let's take a look at the
- 9 provisional PTX 3. If we can take a look at LTI
- 10 747. I believe, Paragraph 22.
- 11 A. So --
- 12 Q. And can you explain how this
- 13 relates to your opinion with respect to Claim
- 14 117
- 15 A. Okay. So this sort of shows that
- 16 multiple users are intended to be able to access
- 17 files. So they create changes in context files
- 18 and applications, automatically following
- dynamically capturing those shifts in context.
- 20 So, you know, users are supposed
- 21 to be able to access their files from multiple
- 22 context or environments, which is part of Claim
- 23 11. So I think we can continue on to the next
- 24 reference relevant to Claim 11, which -- is so I

- 1 was thinking again of the code where it talks
- about the codes that we looked at before that it
- 3 talks about keys. I'll find it here in a
- 4 second.
- So, for example, on LTI 758, the
- 6 top half of the page. So, again, this just kind
- 7 of shows this discussion of these key and key
- 8 fields and so on that the data are intended to
- 9 be stored. See the keys and it's in a
- 10 relational database.
- And if you had any sort of a
- 12 sizeable relational database, you would prefer
- 13 index for that. Index is -- I think of a little
- 14 -- by the index of the back of the book that's
- 15 sort of for each major entry, it tells you where
- 16 that word can be found.
- 17 So this is just referring to an
- 18 index that the computer can use to locate
- 19 content. So it creates basically an index.
- 20 And if you're using a relational
- 21 database and storing lots and lots of
- 22 information, you would naturally need an index
- 23 to find it. Going through, going through every
- item and order would be way too slow.

```
Q. Okay. So let's turn to Claim 16
 1
 2
       which has the other element of a portable
       wireless device.
 3
                Α.
                    Okav.
 4
                    In the provisional application,
 5
                0.
       can you give us an example of where a
 6
 7
       provisional application, one of ordinary skill
       in the art would understand that that is
 8
       disclosed in the provisional application?
 9
10
                Α.
                    Sure. I think we go to.
                     PTX 3, please.
11
                Q_{-}
                     I think we go to LTI 747.
12
                Α.
                    You said 747?
13
                Q.
                     I believe so.
14
                Α.
                                    Yes.
15
                Q.
                     Okay.
                     That's one of the places we want
16
                Α.
       to look. So here's how I was thinking about
17
       this, that this describes the kinds of data that
18
       would be associated with user workspace.
19
20
                     And among things listed we have
       phone calls, for example. So phone calls are,
21
       according to this invention, intended to be
22
       accessed or intended to be, you know, part of
23
```

24

the user workspace.

And if we go to LTI 746, the 1 preceding page, Paragraph 17, we see once again 2 that integrates two or more different 3 communication applications such as telephony. 4 So clearly they had telephony in mind as one of 5 the things, you know, associated with this 6 7 workspace. Well, in 2002, it was, you know, 8 universally possible to access your stored phone 9 call or your voice mail, you know, through a 10 cell phone. I mean, it just wouldn't make sense 11 in this time period to have workspace, and that 12 included your phone calls and your voice mail 13 14 and would not let you access it from a cell 15 phone. Of course you would build it so 16 17 you can access is from a cell phone. So that is, in my view, accessing information or it's 18 accessing the user workspace from a verbal 19 20 wireless device, which is your cell phone. O. Is it your opinion that the 21 provisional application fully disclosed each and 22 every element of Claims 9, 11 and 16? 23 24 It's my opinion it discloses Α. Yes.

- 1 every element of those claims.
- 2 Q. Okay. We're going to keep moving
- 3 along. Let's go to Claim 21 here.
- 4 A. All right.
- 5 Q. So if we take a look at Claim 21,
- 6 this is broken up into five different elements.
- 7 You see the first element will be creating data?
- 8 A. Mm-hmm.
- 9 0. It continues on of a web-based
- 10 computing platform using an application. So you
- 11 will understand when I refer to that as element
- 12 one?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. The next element will start
- dynamically associating metadata and continues
- on to the end where it says into the user
- 17 workspace.
- 18 Do you see that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. That will be element two.
- 21 The next element is tracking user
- of -- the movement of the user. It ends with
- 23 the web-based computing platform. You'll
- 24 understand that as element 3?

- 1 A. Right.
- Q. And the next element is
- 3 dynamically associating the data and continues
- 4 on through and says and data from the second
- 5 user workspace. And do you see that?
- 6 A. Mm-hmm.
- 7 O. That will be Claim 4 or element
- 8 four of Claim 21.
- 9 And finally, the last element
- 10 which is indexing the data, and it ends with
- from a corresponding plurality of different user
- 12 workspaces; right?
- 3 So I'll refer to that as element
- 14 five.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. Can you explain how Claim 21 is
- 17 different than the claims we've already talked
- 18 about?
- 19 A. Well, Claim 21 is again very
- 20 similar, although it talks about a
- 21 computer-readable medium for storing
- 22 instructions. But the elements of the claim are
- 23 very similar to what we've seen before. It does
- 24 again mention indexing down at the end.

- 1 It describes a context component.
- 2 It describes a tracking component.
- 3 So, you know, for the reasons that
- 4 I've described before, these are disclosed in
- 5 the provisional application for exactly the same
- 6 citations and uses.
- 7 Q. With respect to indexing the
- 8 data, --
- 9 A. Mm-hmm.
- 10 Q. -- that particular element, is
- 11 there a place that we can look to in the
- 12 provisional application in the code that might
- be helpful that informs your opinion that all
- the elements of Claim 21 are, in fact, disclosed
- 15 in the provisional?
- 16 A. Yeah. I think I would point us
- 17 back to the same place we looked at before in
- 18 terms of when we looked at indexing, when we see
- 19 that relational database is being used to store
- 20 the data and to store the metadata. And it just
- 21 would not be sensible to do that any way except,
- 22 you know, by indexing.
- That's just almost essential,
- otherwise it would take forever to sort of go

```
I through everything to see if it's there. You
```

- 2 would just naturally do this.
- 3 Q. And for the record, are you
- 4 referring to what has LTI 758 at the bottom
- 5 there?
- A. Yes. Yes, that's what I'm
- 7 referring to.
- 8 Q. Okay. We're in the last set of
- 9 claims. Let's look at Claim 23, 25, 31 and 32.
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. And as soon as we have that up.
- 12 Can you generally describe what Claim 23
- discloses and how it's different than what we've
- 14 already talked about?
- 15 A. Well, so what claim -- so we're
- 16 looking at 23. Okav.
- 17 So this is now
- 18 computer-implemented system. This is again, you
- 19 know, basically describing a context component,
- 20 but it says now it's on a web-based server,
- 21 okay, which is a little bit different
- 22 terminology than has been used so far.
- 23 And it also talked about assigning
- 24 one or more applications to the first user

- 1 workspace and capturing context associated with
- 2 the user interaction while in that workspace.
- 3 So that's a little bit different than what we
- 4 see.
- 5 The second element describes
- 6 tracking change information, right, which is a
- 7 little bit different associated with a change in
- 8 access of the user from the first workspace to
- 9 the second user workspace and dynamically
- 10 storing the change on the storage component as
- 11 part of the metadata, wherein the user accesses
- 12 the data from the second user workspace.
- 3 So this describes slightly
- 14 differently, but this is very similar to the
- 15 tracking component that we've looked at already.
- 16 Q. Okay. So we can refer to Claim
- 17 23, the two elements. The first element being
- 18 the context component that would be the entirety
- of the element and the second element being the
- 20 tracking component, meaning the remainder of the
- 21 claim; is that fair?
- 22 A. Yes, that makes sense.
- 23 Q. Okay. Could you provide an
- 24 example in the provisional application where it

- informs your opinion that all the elements of
- 2 Claim 23 are disclosed in the provisional
- 3 application?
- 4 If you can turn to PTX 3, I think
- 5 it starts LTI 747. Paragraph 23, if we could
- 6 enlarge that.
- 7 A. Mm-hmm. So here they're using the
- 8 board to mean workspace in this claim. It's the
- 9 same example workspace, same exact thing as a
- 10 workspace, collection of data and functionality
- 11 related to a user defined topic.
- 12 So this is sort of showing that
- the application functionality is related to a
- 14 board. So data functionality is related to the
- 15 boards.
- 16 If you look down at the bottom,
- the data application may be grouped in a board
- 18 based on the identity of the tag (data and
- 19 application. So if application can be grouped
- 20 inside of a board there, it obviously referred
- 21 to inside of a board, which is what the claim
- 22 requires.
- 23 Q. Is it your opinion that all the
- 24 elements of Claim 23 are disclosed in the

- 1 provisional application?
- A. Yes, it's my opinion.
- 3 O. If we can take a look now at the
- 4 dependent claims, which are 25, 31 and 32.
- 5 Could you briefly explain what the differences
- are or what the additions are to Claim 25, 31
- 7 and 32?
- 8 A. All right. So Claim 23, the
- 9 context component, which is the thing that we
- 10 have been talking about before captures
- 11 relationship data associated with the
- 12 relationship between the first user workspace
- and at least one user workspace. So they are
- saying that has to be a component by what's
- 15 captured by the context component.
- 16 So it's being a little more
- 17 specific about that.
- 18 So Claim 31 introduces the idea
- that the metadata is stored in at least one of a
- 20 relational or object storage methodology.
- 21 That's something new there.
- And so Claim 32 is saying once
- 23 again that storing the metadata in the storage
- 24 component in association with the data

- 1 facilitates many-to-many functionality, which
- 2 means more than one user being able to access
- 3 more than one data file via the metadata.
- 4 So that's the, you know, new parts
- 5 that have been introduced?
- 6 Q. Is it your opinion that in reading
- 7 the entire provisional application, that all the
- 8 elements of Claim 25, 31 and 32 are fully
- 9 disclosed?
- 10 A. Yes. It's my opinion that all of
- 11 them have been fully disclosed.
- 12 O. Can we take a look at the
- 13 provisional application, which is PTX 3 and can
- 14 you provide a few examples where these
- 15 additional examples from Claim 25, 31 and 32 are
- 16 covered?
- 17 A. Sure. 747, Paragraph 22, if you
- 18 can blow that up, please. Thank you.
- 19 Yeah. So the Claim 25 says there
- 20 has to be -- a context component has to capture
- 21 relationship data associated with a relationship
- 22 between the first user workspace and at least
- 23 one other user workspace. So as users create
- 24 and change their context files and applications

- 1 automatically follow dynamically capturing those
- 2 shifts in context.
- 3 So a shift in context is the
- 4 movement from one workspace to another capturing
- 5 the relationship between those workspaces. So
- 6 that I think pretty well discloses Claim 25.
- 7 Q. Are there other places as well in
- 8 this provisional application that would disclose
- 9 that element?
- 10 A. Sure.
- 11 Q. Maybe we could turn to the next
- page and if we can look at the last paragraph.
- 13 What does this tell you?
- 14 A. Mm-hmm. So this is saying that if
- 15 you have a collection of workspaces, which has
- 16 -- they mean hereby webs, the content is
- 17 associated with a routing algorithm referred to
- 18 here as a webslice.
- 19 So, in other words, using this,
- 20 this is a relationship between workspaces and
- 21 content. So the webslice directs where the
- 22 content goes. It knows which workspaces the
- 23 content is associated with that creates a
- 24 connection, a relationship between those

- 1 workspaces because they share the same content.
- 2 Q. Okay. Why don't we turn to Claim
- 3 31.
- 4 And let's look at it actually in
- 5 the actual provisional itself for the additional
- 6 element of Claim 31.
- 7 Can we go to PTX 3, please? LTI 7
- 8 -- yeah, the first page of the code there.
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 Could you please explain what we
- 11 have here and how that relates to Claim 31?
- 12 A. Sure. So I think I mentioned
- 13 earlier if you see this import statement for
- 14 vbsf, that does indicate an intention to store
- 15 data in a relational database. So it makes it
- 16 pretty clear that that's the technology that's
- 17 used for storing the storage.
- 18 Q. In the code of the provisional
- 19 application, there are other references to vbsf;
- 20 isn't that right?
- 21 A. Right. There are a number of
- 22 places where in the comments it refers to vbsf
- 23 as, you know, where something's being stored,
- 24 which is, you know, a further indication that

- that's what is supposed to be happening there.
- 2 Q. Okay. If we could maybe turn to
- 3 LTI 757. I think there might be another example
- 4 of that that we can look at towards the bottom.
- 5 A. Yeah. These are a couple of
- 6 examples that these particular collections get
- 7 relationship collection. These are stored and
- 8 retrieved from a relational database.
- 9 Q. Okay. Very good.
- 10 We're going to add on 32. Let's
- 11 take a look to see where that last element of
- 12 Claim 32 is disclosed in the provisional, an
- 13 example of that. So maybe we can turn to
- 14 Paragraph 1 under the Field of Invention of the
- 15 provisional application PTX Number 3.
- 16 Thank you. Can you please explain
- whether or not this is an example of how that
- 18 last element of Claim 32 is disclosed?
- 19 A. So management storage
- 20 electronically creating a relationship between
- 21 user applications files and folders. So users
- 22 name more than one file, means more than one. I
- 23 mean, that's what the many to many means.
- So here we're seeing that the

- 1 intention is to create relationships between
- 2 more than one user and more than one file which
- 3 is what the claim says.
- 4 Q. Based on your understanding, is it
- 5 your understanding that the provisional
- 6 application meets all the requirements such that
- 7 one can claim priority to the provisional
- 8 application for the asserted claims of the '761
- 9 patent?
- 10 A. Yes, that is my opinion.
- 11 Q. Is it your opinion that one of
- 12 ordinary skill in the art would be able to take
- the provisional application and make and use the
- 14 invention of the asserted claims of the '761
- 15 patent?
- 16 A. Yes, it is. It is my opinion that
- 17 using both the text and the code, one could --
- one of ordinary skill in the art could do that.
- 19 Q. An is that opinion based on your
- 20 review of the provisional application and the
- 21 '761 patent as well as the work that was done by
- 22 Mr. Marcello Caltaldo?
- A. Yes. Those are the two bases.
- 24 One is my own review. The other

- 1 is actually handing it to a person of ordinary
- 2 skill in the art and saying, Please make one of
- 3 these, and he made one. So I assumed that one
- 4 could do that.
- 5 Q. And just to make sure I didn't
- 6 miss any claim, I want to make sure that we got
- 7 that. It is your opinion that each and every
- 8 element of the asserted claims we've talked
- 9 about for all the reasons we've discussed today
- 10 is, in fact, disclosed in the provisional
- 11 application?
- 12 A. It is my opinion each and every
- 13 element of every claim is disclosed.
- Q. Okay. Let's turn to now the prior
- 15 arts references.
- 16 Did you have a chance to review
- 17 Dr. Greenberg's report?
- A. I did. I reviewed his report.
- 19 Q. And do you understand that he's
- 20 asserting certain references as prior art to the
- 21 asserted claims of the '761 patent?
- 22 A. Right. I do understand that.
- 23 O. Okay. What is your understanding
- 24 of what constitutes prior art?

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

LEADER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware corporation,	} Trial Day 7 } }
PLAINTIFF,	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\
·v.	C.A. No. 08-862-JUF-LPS
FACEBOOK, INC., a Delaware corporation,	
DEFENDANT.)

Tuesday, July 27, 2010 9:00 a.m.

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE LEONARD P. STARK, United States District Court Magistrate

APPEARANCES:

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON, LLP BY: PHILIP ROVNER, ESQ.

-and-

KING & SPALDING LLP BY: PAUL ANDRE, ESQ. BY: JAMES HANNAH, ESQ.

Counsel for Plaintiff

- 1 in the world is practicing today, Facebook does
- 2 not infringe it. And why? Because Facebook
- 3 never updates the stored metadata. The stored
- 4 metadata. We'll talk about that.
- 5 And then these three claims here
- 6 have a unique defense to them. These are the
- 7 ones that require one of the Facebook users to
- 8 do something, to practice one of the necessary
- 9 steps, and the question is whether we control or
- 10 direct the Facebook users, and I'm going walk
- 11 you through that.
- 12 And then I'm going walk you
- 13 through the invalidity arguments, and then I'm
- 14 going to end with this whole discussion that
- 15 we've been having in this case about whether
- 16 they sold or offered to sell something. That
- 17 touches on a pretty important point of
- 18 credibility that I want to come back to.
- 19 This is our position. Obviously
- 20 we do not infringe. Remember the relationship
- 21 of independent claims to dependent claims. The
- 22 dependent claims simply are everything in the
- 23 independent claim plus something else. You add
- 24 one more step to it, so if you find that there's

- 1 quality we've seen in this courtroom, the
- 2 experts, the Facebook folks, would they have
- 3 thought to make this wirelessly? If you think
- 4 they would have, it's obvious.
- 5 Then for obviousness we can
- 6 combine their summary of the invention,
- 7 wireless, be able to access data remotely via
- 8 wireless. This is the last piece of the story.
- 9 The piece I want to focus on for a
- 10 minute, this is the piece of the story that's
- 11 really a classical jury issue because you have
- 12 to believe somebody on this one. This is the
- 13 story that involves what people are really good
- 14 at, ordinary people. Is someone's story true?
- 15 So let me explain what the issue
- 16 is. The law says that you can't jump the gun.
- 17 If you're going to file for a patent, the law
- 18 says that you can't jump the gun. If you need
- 19 to file a patent, then you need to file it, and
- 20 for one year beforehand you're given a grace
- 21 period, but if more than one year before the
- 22 filing you're out in the market trying to offer
- 23 it for sale or demonstrating it, all bets are
- 24 off. The inventor is completely in control of

- 1 provisional and map it to the final, what does
- 2 it look like? This is what's missing from the
- 3 provisional. What I'm showing you is the final.
- 4 That's not full disclosure, and it's a
- 5 requirement because you're asking the federal
- 6 government to give you the monopoly of a patent,
- 7 so you have to disclose it fully.
- Now there's a timeline. This
- 9 becomes the effective date because now that's
- 10 one year before they actually filed the
- 11 application because the provisional is gone.
- 12 Look at all this activity right up to the
- 13 deadline here, so now the story is, we weren't
- 14 offering to sell the thing that had the special
- 15 sauce in it. We weren't offering to sell
- 16 Leader2Leader that had the invention in it. We
- 17 were offering to sell something else.
- 18 Mr. McKibben was on the stand
- 19 twice, and twice he did not put before you the
- 20 versions of the product. He never showed you
- 21 the product, did he? And he didn't say it has
- 22 this one or this one or this one. It's just
- 23 sort of on December 11, 2002, the very moment in
- 24 time they filed the provisional, that's the

- 1 copyrighted it. And the date, What does he say
- 2 that he's selling? What's the goal? To
- 3 implement a Leader2Leader to
- 4 enterprise-collaboration environment. Okay
- 5 Never offered to sell.
- 6 He has an extensive body of
- 7 financial information. He's going to try to get
- 8 \$8.5 million of the government's money based on
- 9 that change? DTX 184 at the bottom. He's
- 10 saying he didn't offer to sell a product that
- 11 has the product in it because if you believe he
- 12 sold it on December 10th or 9th or 8th of 2002,
- 13 this lawsuit that he brought isn't going to fly,
- 14 but look what he's saying at the time, not when
- 15 he's in trial, but eight years ago. In writing,
- 16 people.
- 17 This is October 10th. We have
- 18 verbally committed to selling a system. What
- 19 system was he trying to sell? So based on that
- 20 change two months before the invention is
- 21 completed, is he selling last year's Corvette or
- 22 the one with the Bluetooth, the secret sauce?
- 23 Do you really believe he would be trying to sell
- 24 these guys the system that doesn't have this

1 great invention? Does that make sense to you?

- 2 It's your call. You make the
- 3 decision, but nonsense he invented this thing in
- 4 1999 and he's invested \$10 million into it, and
- 5 we're right on the cusp of the invention, and
- 6 he's not talking about the one with the patented
- 7 technology in it. He's selling last year's
- 8 model without the Bluetooth. That what he says.
- 9 The Limited. This is the -- this
- 10 is an interesting one. He needs
- 11 Mr. Schlessinger to confirm that they've got a
- 12 deal so he can go over the to the VC and get the
- 13 deal and then he'll get money. Investment
- 14 money. That's what he's doing with this one:
- 15 This one is getting closer to the strike of
- 16 midnight, when this lawsuit turns into a
- 17 pumpkin. November 21st.
- 18 And, Your Honor, I'll finish
- 19 within ten minutes.
- I'd like to offer the sweetheart
- 21 deal. Sweetheart deal. That's an offer. Only
- 22 question is, what is the offer?
- 23 And there was a lot of effort to
- 24 separate out Leader2Leader to LeaderPhone, but

- 1 we had a good meeting. But again I
- 2 don't know who my audience because I
- don't remember who this person is."
- 4 Do you get my point? When he has
- 5 a purpose, a commercial purpose, he sometimes
- 6 uses something called hyperbole, which is an
- 7 overstatement to make a point. He has every
- 8 reason to thread this needle, ladies and
- 9 gentlemen, because if he doesn't, the lawsuit he
- 10 brought against Facebook, that dog won't hunt.
- 11 And this jury instruction, I'd ask
- 12 that you look at this because this is the
- 13 instruction you have to look at to assess
- 14 credibility. What it tells you is if there are
- 15 parts of the story that are contradictory and
- 16 inconsistent, you can ask yourself whether you
- 17 want to leave the whole story. That's what it
- 18 says. That's 1.7.
- So I'll leave you with this. This
- 20 is a very serious case to Facebook. This is an
- 21 invention which counsel says solved everything
- 22 which nobody else is using. Facebook is not
- 23 using. Facebook does not infringe. This patent
- 24 -- this patent is invalid, and Facebook takes it