

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

LARRY W. RADER,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civ. No. 09-340-SLR/LPS
)	
ING BANK fsb, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

LARRY W. RADER,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civ. No. 09-544-SLR/LPS
)	
ING GROEP, N.V., et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

LARRY W. RADER,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	Civ. No. 09-781-SLR/LPS
)	
SHAREBUILDER SECURITIES)	
CORPORATION, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM ORDER


At Wilmington this 4th day of August, 2010, having plaintiff's motions for reconsideration and the papers submitted in connection therewith;

IT IS ORDERED that the motions¹ are denied for the reasons that follow:

¹*Rader v. Ing*, Civ. No. 09-340-SLR (D.I. 89); *Rader v. Ing*, Civ. No. 09-544-SLR (D.I. 85); *Rader v. ShareBuilder*, Civ. No. 09-781-SLR (D.I. 35).

1. The purpose of a motion for reconsideration is to “correct manifest errors of law or fact or to present newly discovered evidence.” *Max’s Seafood Café ex rel. Lou-Ann, Inc. v. Quinteros*, 176 F.3d 669, 677 (3d Cir. 1999). Accordingly, a court may alter or amend its judgment if the movant demonstrates at least one of the following: (1) a change in the controlling law; (2) availability of new evidence not available when summary judgment was granted; or (3) a need to correct a clear error of law or fact or to prevent manifest injustice. *See id.*

2. Plaintiff has failed to demonstrate any of the aforementioned grounds to warrant a reconsideration of the court’s orders.


United States District Judge