

1313 North Market Street P.O. Box 951 Wilmington, DE 19899-0951 302 984 6000 www.potteranderson.com

Partner
Attorney at Law
dmoore@potteranderson.com
302 984-6147 Direct Phone
302 658-1192 Fax

August 21, 2012

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

The Honorable Leonard P. Stark United States District Court District of Delaware 844 North King Street Wilmington, DE 19801

Re: Personalized User Model LLP v. Google Inc., C.A. No. 09-00525-LPS

Dear Judge Stark:

I write on behalf of Defendant Google in reference to Plaintiff's request for a trial date (D.I. 369). In my prior response letter of July 31, 2012 (D.I. 371), I informed the Court that the PTO had issued Actions Closing Prosecution (ACPs) rejecting every asserted '276 claim. On August 17, 2012, the PTO issued a Final Office Action and Right to Appeal Notice (RAN) on the same grounds. As stated in my July 31 letter, Google respectfully submits that, rather than setting a trial date, the case law from this District counsels in favor of staying patent litigation where (as here) a parallel reexamination of the asserted patent(s) has progressed to the Final Office Action and RAN stage. (D.I. 371 at 2).

Respectfully,

/s/ David E. Moore

David E. Moore

DEM/nmt/1071809/34638

cc: Clerk of the Court (via hand delivery)
Counsel of Record (via electronic mail)

¹ The re-examination of the *other* asserted patent, the '040 Patent, has been listed on the PTO website as "Ready for Examiner Action After ACP" since June 22, 2012 – just one month after the '276 re-examination was so listed as ready for examiner action. Thus, Google expects a Final Office Action and RAN in the '040 re-examination soon as well.

² Under PTO Rules, Plaintiff's appeal of the Final Office Action for the '276 Patent must be docketed no later than September 17, 2012.