

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

PERSONALIZED USER MODEL, L.L.P.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	
)	
GOOGLE, INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	C.A. No. 09-525 (LPS)
_____)	
GOOGLE, INC.,)	
)	
Counterclaimant,)	
)	
v.)	
)	
PERSONALIZED USER MODEL, L.L.P.)	
and YOCHAI KONIG,)	
)	
Counterclaim-Defendants.)	

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Court’s Order (D.I. 606), granting in part and denying in part Google’s Motion to Exclude Certain Opinions of Dr. Michael Pazzani, the Court strikes the following portions of Dr. Pazzani’s expert report:

- On page 7, the title in the table of contents, “SRI DID NOT ACQUIRE RIGHTS TO THE INVENTIONS IN THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT”;
- Paragraph 2;
- The following sentence from paragraph 6: “In addition, as part of my job at Rutgers, I oversee the technology commercialization office and interpret Rutgers patent policy”;
- On page 284, the heading “SRI DID NOT ACQUIRE RIGHTS TO THE INVENTIONS IN THE PATENTS-IN-SUIT”;
- Paragraph 577;

- The second and third sentences of paragraph 578.

SO ORDERED this _____ day of March 2014.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE