IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

PERSONALIZED USER MODEL, L.L.P.,)
Plaintiff, v.)))
GOOGLE, INC.,)
Defendant.)) C.A. No. 09-525 (LPS)
GOOGLE, INC.,)
Counterclaimant,)
v.)
PERSONALIZED USER MODEL, L.L.P. and YOCHAI KONIG,)))
Counterclaim-Defendants.)

VERDICT FORM

I. INFRINGEMENT

A. U.S. Patent No. 6,981,040 (the '040 Patent)

Has PUM proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the following Google products directly infringe the following claims of the '040 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents ("DOE")?

Please answer yes or no in each box. A "Yes" finding is for PUM. A "No" finding is for Google.

	Google Search (using the Kaltix twiddler)				Search Ads (using the		Content Ads/ YouTube (using the		
	Link Dilip Rephil		Rephil	Category NavBoost	Sessions Category	User Based Ads Quality model ("UBAQ"))		Content User Based Ads Quality model ("CUBAQ"))	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Literal	Literal	Literal	Literal	Literal	Literal	DOE	Literal	DOE
Claim 1									
Claim 22									

B. U.S. Patent No. 7,685,276 (the '276 Patent)

Has PUM proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the following Google products directly infringe the following claims of the '276 Patent, either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents ("DOE")?

Please answer yes or no in each box. A "Yes" finding is for PUM. A "No" finding is for Google.

	Google Search (using the Kaltix twiddler)						Content Ads/ YouTube (using the		
	Link Dilip		Rephil	Category NavBoost	Sessions Category	Search Ads (using the User Based Ads Quality model ("UBAQ"))		Content User Based Ads Quality model ("CUBAQ"))	
	Literal	Literal	Literal	Literal	Literal	Literal	DOE	Literal	DOE
Claim 1	1 2 3 4 4								
Claim 3									
Claim 7			4.00						
Claim 21									

II. INVALIDITY

A. ANTICIPATION

Do you find that Google has proven by clear and convincing evidence that any claim (or claims) of the asserted patents is (are) invalid as anticipated by a single prior art reference?

Please write in yes or no for each box. A "Yes" is a finding for Google (that the corresponding prior art reference anticipates the claim). A "No" is a finding for PUM (that the corresponding prior art reference does not anticipate the claim).

'040 Patent	Anticipated?	Prior art
		Mladenic
Claim 1		Montebello
		Wasfi
'276 Patent	Anticipated?	Prior art
Claim 1		Montebello

B. OBVIOUSNESS

Do you find that Google has proven by clear and convincing evidence that any claim (or claims) of the asserted patents is (are) invalid as obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention?

Please write in yes or no for each box. A "Yes" is a finding for Google (that the claim is obvious). A "No" is a finding for PUM (that the claim is not obvious).

'040 Patent	Obvious?
Claim 1	
Claim 22	
'276 Patent	Obvious?
Claim 1	
Claim 3	
Claim 7	
Claim 21	

III. GOOGLE'S BREACH OF CONTRACT COUNTERCLAIM

1.	Has Google proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the three-year statute of limitations that applies to the breach of contract claim against Dr. Konig was tolled?
	Please answer yes or no. A "Yes" finding is for Google. A "No" finding is for PUM.
	Yes No
2.	Has Google proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it acquired from SRI the right to assert SRI's breach of employment contract claim against Dr. Konig?
	Please answer yes or no. A "Yes" finding is for Google. A "No" finding is for PUM.
	Yes No
3.	Has Google proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Dr. Konig breached his employment agreement with SRI by failing to assign his invention to SRI?
	Please answer yes or no. A "Yes" finding is for Google. A "No" finding is for PUM.
	Yes No
4.	Has PUM shown by a preponderance of the evidence that Dr. Konig's invention was protected by Section 2870 of the California Labor Code?
	Please answer yes or no. A "Yes" finding is for PUM. A "No" finding is for Google.
	Yes No

U.S. Marshal that you are ready to render a verdict. Dated: Signed: Foreperson

When the jury has reached a verdict, you must each sign this verdict form and signal the