

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

1201 NORTH MARKET STREET
P.O. BOX 1347
WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19899-1347

—
(302) 658-9200
(302) 658-3989 FAX

KAREN JACOBS
(302) 351-9227
kjacobs@mnat.com

April 16, 2014

The Honorable Leonard P. Stark
United States District Court
844 North King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Re: *Personalized User Model, L.L.P. v. Google, Inc.*
C.A. No. 09-525 (LPS)

Dear Judge Stark:

As Thursday, April 17, 2014 is the 28th day since the jury rendered its verdict in this case, we write on behalf of PUM to confirm our understanding that the time for filing post-trial motions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 50(b) and 59 has not yet begun to run because no judgment has yet been entered. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(b) (stating that the time for filing such motions is “no later than 28 days after the entry of judgment”); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 50(b) (same). Thus, the jury verdict alone does not trigger the statutory time period for filing these motions, and the time period for briefing to be set by the Court will only begin to run following entry of judgment. PUM provided this letter to Google, and Google responded that it believes this letter to be unnecessary as the deadlines are clear from the rules.

Respectfully,

/s/ Karen Jacobs

Karen Jacobs (#2881)

cc: Clerk of the Court (by hand)
All Counsel of Record (by e-mail)