# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ST. CLAIR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CONSULTANTS, INC.,	
Plaintiff,	Civil Action No. 09-354-LPS Civil Action No. 09-804-LPS CONSOLIDATED CASES
V.	)
ACER, INC., , et al.	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendants.	)
MICROSOFT CORPORATION,	)
Plaintiff,	)
V.	Civil Action No. 10-282-LPS
ST. CLAIR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CONSULTANTS, INC.,	) ) )
Defendant.	) )
	)

#### **DEFENDANTS' AMENDED JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION CHART**

Pursuant to Paragraph 9 of the Rule 16 Scheduling Order (D.I. 25), Defendants submit the following Amended Joint Claim Construction Chart.<sup>1</sup> Defendants herein amend the Joint Claim Construction Chart with good faith to cite additional aspects of the prosecution of the

According to Paragraph 9 of the Scheduling Order in place, the parties are required to submit a Joint Claim Construction Chart identifying for the Court the terms/phrases of the claims **in issue**, along with the parties' proposed constructions of the disputed claim language. Defendants (which for purposes of claim construction include Microsoft Corporation and Intel Corporation) submit that there are additional terms in issue, in excess of the fifteen so proposed herein, and which were identified to St. Clair on November 12, 2010 pursuant to the Scheduling Order. Defendants hereby attach those additional terms that they submit require construction as Exhibit 1. Defendants reserve all rights with respect to all terms that were originally at issue. St. Clair asserts that the Court's Order limits

patents at issue and to reference additional dictionary definitions. These amendments harmonize the Joint Claim Construction Chart with Defendant's Opening Claim Construction Brief (D.I. 92).<sup>2</sup>

Defendants file this amendment after attempting to obtain authorization from Plaintiff to file jointly. In efforts to obtain authorization, Defendants met and conferred with Plaintiff, noting that the amendments to the Joint Claim Construction Chart are merely to harmonize the Chart with citations of Defendant's Opening Claim Construction Brief (D.I. 92). Plaintiff has not provided the authorization to file jointly. The parties are available to discuss any issues related to the Joint Claim Chart if the Court wishes.

Defendants to no more than fifteen claim terms for construction, which are identified in the Joint Claim Construction Chart.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For simplicity, the Joint Claim Construction Chart has additionally been amended to only refer to claims expressly reciting a claim term at issue (as opposed to claims that are merely dependent upon a claim reciting a term at issue) and to more inclusively refer to the asserted claims reciting the claim terms at issue. Defendants hereby attach a marked-up version of the Joint Claim Construction Chart indicating the present changes to the chart as Exhibit 2.

`959, `929, `175, `025	Stipulated	Defendants'	Citations to	Plaintiff's	Citations to
Claim Terms/Phrase	Construction	Proposed	Support for	Proposed	Support for
	(if any)	Construction	Defendants'	Construction	Plaintiff's
			Constructions <sup>3</sup>		Constructions <sup>4</sup>
"powered modes" /		A mode or state	Abstract; Figs. 1, 2,	A mode or state	Abstract
"powered states"		that affects the	8-10; 2:45-48;	wherein the	Figs. 4 and 6-7
('929 Patent, Claims 1, 6,		power supply in a	3:46-49; 5:60-6:11;	computer receives	2:45-67
8-9, 11)		way other than	7:36-50; 11:38-41;	some amount or	3:46-64
		power adjustments	14:59-15:9;	level of power.	5:39 – 6:54
		via clock control	42:13-60; '929 File		7:19-8:6
			History, March 22,		11:38-41;
			1996 Amendment		16:1-17:15.
			at 2-9, 16-22; '929		
			File History, March		Claims 1, 3, 6, and
			6, 1997		8-11.
			Amendment at		
			1-33; '929 File		'959 Patent claims
			History, June 9,		1-3
			1997 Office Action		'175 Patent claims
			at 2.		1, 13, 23-28
			U.S. Patent No.		'929 Pros. Hist.,
			5,560,024 to		11/18/96 Amdt, pp.
			Harper.		9-12; 3/22/96
			1		Amdt, pp.11-13,
					16-22; 11/29/96
					Amdt, pp. 10-11;
					3/6/97 Amdt pp.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Unless otherwise noted, citations are to the `929 patent specification (e.g., column number:line number).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Unless otherwise noted, citations are to the `929 patent specification (e.g., column number:line number). The parties incorporate the corresponding passages in other asserted patents related to the '929 patent that are substantially duplicative of the specification and abstract citations to the '929 patent.

`959, `929, `175, `025 Claim Terms/Phrase	Stipulated Construction (if any)	Defendants' Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Defendants' Constructions <sup>3</sup>	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Plaintiff's Constructions <sup>4</sup>
					27-31; 6/9/97 Office Action, pp. 1-5.
					'025 Pros. Hist., 9/28/99 Amdt, pp. 15-18; 4/12/99 Office Action, p.2.; 1/4/99 Response on Petition, p. 2 and 2/2/99 Decision on Petition.
					'959 Pros. Hist., 12/12/95 Office Action, p. 3-4; 6/16/97 Office Action, p. 17-18; 11/7/97 Amdt pp. 22-23.
					'175 Pros. Hist., 4/30/96 Amdt, pp. 9-12; 10/27/97 Amdt pp. 20-23.

`959, `929, `175, `025 Claim Terms/Phrase	Stipulated Construction (if any)	Defendants' Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Defendants' Constructions <sup>3</sup>	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Plaintiff's Constructions <sup>4</sup>
					Extrinsic Evidence See generally powered modes or states of a computer in APM, ACPI, and Energy Star Specifications (all versions), and documents cited in the specifications.
					E.g., APM 1.0 states that "APM defines four power states: Ready, Stand-by, Suspended, and Off. Three of these states apply both to individual system components and to the system as a whole. The suspended state is a special low power condition that applies to the

`959, `929, `175, `025 Claim Terms/Phrase	Stipulated Construction (if any)	Defendants' Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Defendants' Constructions <sup>3</sup>	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Plaintiff's Constructions <sup>4</sup>
					system as a whole, and not the individual components."
					ACPI Specifications (all versions), and documents cited in an ACPI Specification.
					E.g., ACPI 1.0b states "Device power states are states of particular devices; as such, they are generally
					not visible to the user. For example, some devices may be in the off state even though the system as a
					whole is in the working state. Device states apply to any

`959, `929, `175, `025 Claim Terms/Phrase	Stipulated Construction (if any)	Defendants' Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Defendants' Constructions <sup>3</sup>	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Plaintiff's Constructions <sup>4</sup>
"a power switching		A plurality of	Fig.3; 7:19-23;	Electrical circuitry	device on any bus." 4:52-56 and
circuit"		memory cells associated with	7:36-65; 14:59-15:9; '929 Pros. Hist.	configured to switch power	6:28-31 Figure 1
('929 Patent, Claims 1, 6, 8, 9 and 11)		each power mode connected to a switch through a	3/22/1996 Amdt., pp. 16-17;	modes, states, or levels.	'929 Patent, Claims 1-6, 8, 10, and
('175 Patent, Claims 2, 3, 5, 6, 8-9)		multiplexer	11/18/1996 Amdt., pp. 4, 11, 13; 6/2/1995 Amdt.,		11-12 '175 Patent, Claims 2-12
('959 Patent, Claims 5 and 6)			pp. 3-11; 9/22/1995 Office Action, pp. 2-3; 6/18/1996 Office Action, pp. 1-8; '175 Pros. Hist. 4/30/1996 Amdt., pp. 6, 9; 6/2/1995 Amdt., pp. 1-13; '959 Pros. Hist., 6/2/1995 Amdt., pp.1-11. '929 patent at 7:36-47.		'929 Pros. Hist., 9/5/95 Office Action, p. 6; 3/22/96 Amdt, pp.11-22; 11/18/96 Amdt, pp. 9-18; 11/29/96 Amdt, pp. 10-11; 3/6/97 Amdt pp. 27-31; 6/9/97 Office Action, pp. 1-6.

`959, `929, `175, `025 Claim Terms/Phrase	Stipulated Construction (if any)	Defendants' Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Defendants' Constructions <sup>3</sup>	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Plaintiff's Constructions <sup>4</sup>
					'175 Pros. Hist., 4/30/96 Amdt, pp. 3-12; 3/7/96 Amdt. pp.12-13.
					'959 Pros. Hist., 6/16/97 Office Action, p. 17-18; 5/12/98 Notice of Allowability, p.1.
					Extrinsic Evidence: See generally the description of power switches in US 5,167,024 to Smith (e.g., Abst.; Figs. 1-3; 3:24-32; 7:3-27; and 9:1-49).
					See generally the power switching means described in US 4,317,181 to Teza
					Switching device –

`959, `929, `175, `025 Claim Terms/Phrase	Stipulated Construction (if any)	Defendants' Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Defendants' Constructions <sup>3</sup>	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Plaintiff's Constructions <sup>4</sup>
					"An electrical or mechanical device or mechanism, which can bring another device or circuit into an operating or nonoperating state." Dictionary of Electrical & Computer Engineering, McGraw-Hill (2004).  Also APM and ACPI Specifications, and documents cited by the APM and ACPI Specifications.

`959, `929, `175, `025	Stipulated	Defendants'	Citations to	Plaintiff's	Citations to
Claim Terms/Phrase	Construction	Proposed	Support for	Proposed	Support for
	(if any)	Construction	Defendants'	Construction	Plaintiff's
			Constructions <sup>3</sup>		Constructions <sup>4</sup>
"activity monitor"		Computer	Figs. 1-3; 1:38-59;	Computer	2:45-63; 3:1-20;
		hardware or	2:49-67; 4:6-7;	hardware and/or	3:46-49; 5:29-32;
('929 Patent, Claims 1, 6,		software,	4:60-5:10; 5:29-31;	software	7:1-4; 8:17-18;
8-9, and 11)		functionally and	5:44-63; 6:16-23;	configured to	11:5-16; 11:38-41;
('175 Patent Claims 1, 8,		structurally distinct	6:17-7:35; 8:14-18;	monitor or observe	6:21-24; 6:42-44;
17, and 28)		from the CPU that	10:33-51; 14:21-25;	activity of the	and 6:55-56
('959 Patent Claim 1)		observes activity of	15:25-17:42;	computer.	Figure 2
		the computer	18:19-28; U.S.		
			Application No.		'929 Patent, Claims
			08/285,169 Pros.		1-4, 6, 8-9, and
			Hist. 8/3/1994		11-12
			Amdt., pp. 8-9;		'175 Patent Claims
			'175 Pros. Hist.		1-3, 5-6, 8-9, 11,
			03/07/97 Amdt.,		17, and 28
			pp. 1-2, 12-13;		'959 Patent Claim 1
			10/27/1997 Amdt.,		
			p. 21;		'929 Pros. Hist.,
			`025 Pros. Hist.		3/22/96 Amdt, pp.
			4/28/99 Office		20; 11/18/96 Amdt,
			Action, p. 2;		pp. 10-13.
			10/4/99 Amdt., p.		
			16.		'175 Pros. Hist.,
					3/7/96 Amdt.
					pp.12-13; 10/27/97
					Amdt pp. 20-23.
					'025 Pros. Hist.,
					1/4/99 Response to

`959, `929, `175, `025	Stipulated	Defendants'	Citations to	Plaintiff's	Citations to
Claim Terms/Phrase	Construction	Proposed	Support for	Proposed	Support for
	(if any)	Construction	Defendants'	Construction	Plaintiff's
			Constructions <sup>3</sup>		Constructions <sup>4</sup>
					Petition Decision,
					pp. 2-3; 9/28/99
					Amdt, pp.15-18.
					'959 Pros. Hist.,
					5/13/96 Amdt, pp.
					10-13; 6/16/97
					Office Action, p.
					17-18; 5/12/98
					Notice of
					Allowability, p.1.

"magnitum the satisfies	Dati11	Figs 1 2, 1,20 50	Manitanin	2.45 62.2.1 20.
"monitors the activity	Estimates whether	Figs. 1-3; 1:38-59;	Monitoring or	2:45-63; 3:1-20;
level [of the] computer	the CPU and other	2:47-67; 3:1-20;	observing the	3:46-49; 5:29-32;
system,"	circuits are active	5:29-32; 5:44-63;	activity level of the	7:1-4; 8:17-18;
	or inactive based	6:17-61; 7:1-4;	computer.	11:5-16; 11:38-41;
"monitors the activity	on a proxy or	7:5-12; 7:24-35;		6:21-24; 6:42-44;
level of the computer	proxies for CPU	8:13-10:32;		and 6:55-56
system,"	and system activity	10:33-51; 10:55-57;		Figure 2
		10:66-11:16;		
"monitors the activity		11:42-51;		'929 Patent, Claims
level [of the] computer		14:21-25;		1-4, 6, 8-9, and
system,"		15:25-17:42;		11-12
		18:19-28; ; '175		'175 Patent Claims
"monitors the activity		patent, cl. 8; U.S.		1-3, 5-6, 8-9, 11,
level of the computer		Application No.		17, and 28
system,"		08/285,169 Pros.		'959 Patent Claim 1
		Hist. 8/3/1994		707 I decine Cidini I
"monitoring the activity		Amdt., pp. 8-9;		See also citations to
level of the computer		'175 Pros. Hist.		activity monitor
system"		03/07/97 Amdt.,		above.
((222 P) + G1 + 4		pp. 12-13;		above.
('929 Patent, Claims 1,		10/27/1997 Amdt.,		Extrinsic
6, 8, 9, 10, 11)		p. 21		
"monitors the activity		1		Evidence:
of the computer		`025 Pros. Hist.		See generally
system"		4/28/99 Office		monitoring a
"monitoring the activity		Action, p. 2;		computer (APM
of the computer		10/4/99 Amdt., p.		and ACPI
system"		16.		Specifications).
"monitoring activity of		See also '959		
said computer system"		patent, 10:55-57;		
('175 Patent, Claims 1,		'959 patent		
8, 13, 20, 23)		10:23-43.		

"monitors the processing activity of said computer system" ('175 patent claim 28) "monitoring said computer" "monitoring said computer system" ('025 Patent, Claims 1, 30, 33, 38, 42, 43, 45, 48) ('959 Patent, Claims 2, 7, 17)				
"activity value"  ('929 Patent, Claim 6)  ('175 Patent, Claims 1, 8, 13, 17, 20, and 23, 28)  ('959 Patent, Claim 1)	A predetermined numeric value assigned to a specific activity of the computer	Fig. 5; 3:1-10; 3:11-21; 8:17-22; 8:29-56; 8:58-62; 9:19-22; 10:66-11:33; Chart 1; Table 2; U.S. Application No. 08/285,169 Pros. Hist. 6/13/1995 Amdt., pp. 11-12.  "associate: 3. [t]o connect in the mind or imagination." Am. Heritage Dict. (3d 1992)	A numeric value associated with an activity.	3:1-45; 8:7-50; and 10:66- 11:16 Figure 3  '929 Patent, Claim 6, 9-10 '175 Patent, Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8, 11, 13, 17, 20, and 23-28  '959 Pros. Hist., 6/16/97 Office Action, p. 17-18; 5/12/98 Notice of Allowability, p.1.  See also citations to activity count

				below.
"activity count"  ('929 Patent, Claim 6)  ('175 Patent, Claims 1, 8, 13, 17, 20, 23, 28)  ('959 Patent, Claim 1)	A running sum of activity values	Figs. 5-7; 3:11-20; Eq. 1; 8:17-9:35; 10:66-11:16; 39:15-20;U.S. Patent No. 5,396,635, Abstract; 8:17-56; 10:66-11:16; Chart 1; Table 2; '175 patent, 90:40-42, 93:47-51; U.S. Application No. 08/285,169 Pros. Hist. 6/13/1995 Amdt., pp. 11-12; '959 File History, 5/13/1996 Amdt., p. 10; 11/8/1996 Amdt., pp. 9-10. "accumulation: [a] mass heaped up on or collected." "accumulate: [t]o gather or pile up; amass." Am. Heritage Dict. at 12-13 (3d 1992).	A numeric accumulation of activity values.	3:1-29; 8:7-56; and 11:5-16 Figure 3  '929 Patent, Claim 6-7, 9-10  '175 Patent, Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8, 11, 13, 17-28  '959 Patent, 1-2, 4-6, 7-17  '959 Pros. Hist., 5/13/96 Amdt, pp. 10-13; 11/8/96 Amdt, pp. 8-13; 6/16/97 Office Action, p. 17-18; 5/12/98 Notice of Allowability, p.1.  '025 Pros. Hist., 9/28/99 Amdt, pp.15-18.

"predetermined group of computer devices"  ('929 Patent, Claims 1, 8, 11)  "predetermined group of the computer system devices/circuits"  ('929 Patent, Claims 1, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11) ('959 Patent, Claims 5, 6)	Group of computer components to be coupled to the power supply as selected by the power switching circuit	Figs. 1, 3-4; 3:46-60; 5:64-6:14; 7:36-43; 14:58-17:16; 37:28-38; '929 File History, Response to September 22, 1995 Office Action at 16-17, 19-21; '929 File History, Response to June 18, 1996 Office Action at 16-17; '929 File History 11/18/1996 Amdt. at 13, 17; 3/22/1996 Amdt. at 16-17.	No construction required.	Abstract Figs. 4 and 6-7 2:45-67 3:46-64 5:39 – 6:54 7:19-8:6 11:38-41; 16:1-17:15.  Claims 1, 3, 6, and 8-11.  '959 Patent claims 1-3, 5-6  '929 Pros. Hist., 9/22/95 Office Action, pp. 5-6; 3/22/96 Amdt pp. 11-12, 16-21; 11/18/96 Amdt, pp. 9-16; 11/29/96 Amdt, pp. 10-11; 3/6/97 Amdt pp. 27-31; 6/9/97 Office Action, pp. 1-6.
---	---	---	---------------------------	--

				'025 Pros. Hist., 1/4/99 Response on
				Petition, p. 2-3.
				'175 Pros. Hist., 4/30/96 Amdt, pp. 5-6.
				See generally APM and ACPI Specifications.
				E.g.,
				"Examples of
				devices are LCD panels, video
				adapters, IDE
				CD-ROM and hard disk controllers,
				COM ports, etc."
"operating modes"	Power modes that have a plurality of	'025 Patent, 3:3-9; 3:45-60;	No construction required as the	Abstract
('025 Patent, Claims 1,	activity states	4:19-21;5:58-6:9;	term "operating	2:45-48; 2:60-67;
30, 33, 37, 38, 42, 43, 45,		5:64-6:14;	modes" is defined	3:1-49; 5:38-42;
and 48)		53:2-38; '929 Pros. Hist., 11/18/1996	by each claim itself.	5:60-63; and 6:11-14
('959 Patent, Claims 2, 7,		Amdt., pp. 2,	115011.	0.11-14
& 17)		10-11.		'025 Patent, Claims
				1, 30, 33, 38, 42,
				43, 45, and 48

				'025 Pros. Hist., 1/4/99 Response to Petition Decision pp. 2-3; 4/12/99 Office Action, p.2; 9/28/99 Amdt, pp.15-18.  See also citations to power modes above.  Extrinsic Evidence: See generally states of a computer in APM, ACPI, and Energy Star Specifications, including all documented cited in any of those specifications
"idle threads" ('025 Patent, Claims 42 and 48)	Independently executing software subroutines that receive DOS idle function calls	28:21-43; 31:48; 32:17; '025 Patent, 2:50-52, 3:3-21; 3:46-58; 4:59-5:9;5:53-61; 6:53-59 8:9-14;	All of a set of idle threads or software code segments executing on the computer system.	1:44-48; 2:50-54; 3:1-3; 3:11-20; 5:1-10; and 8:17-20 Table 1

0.16.61.0.10.14	12 140
8:16-61; 9:10-14;	42 and 48
10:40-50;	
10:59-11:2,	'959 Pros. Hist.,
11:6-23; 11:37-47;	6/16/97 Office
11:49-61; Table 1	Action, p. 17-18.
columns 21-50	
(column 37 in	'025 Pros. Hist.,
particular); Table 2	1/4/99 Amdt pp.
columns 51-52;	2-3; 9/28/99 Amdt,
53:64-54:15;	pp.15-18.
56:40-41;	
58:14-16; 959	'175 Pros. Hist.,
Pros. Hist.,	3/7/96 Amdt
6/16/1997 Amdt.,	pp.12-13.
pp. 17-18; '025	
Pros. Hist.,	Extrinsic Evidence:
09/28/1999 Amdt,	"thread a
p. 15-16;	process that is part
4/12/1999 Amdt, p.	of a larger process
15;	or program."
,	Microsoft Press
U.S. Patent No.	Computer
5,560,024 to	Dictionary 467 (3 <sup>rd</sup>
Harper; U.S. Patent	E. 1997).
No. 5,355,501 to	_, _, ,,,
Gross	
WO93/06545	
"thread 2. A	
portion of a	
program that can	
r 0	

T T		
	operate	
	independently."	
	Webster's New	
	World Dictionary	
	of Computer Terms	
	(6th 1997).	
	"threading: A	
	programming	
	technique used in	
	some code	
	generators in which	
	the "code" consists	
	of a sequence of	
	entry points of	
	routines. The	
	threaded code is	
	interpreted by	
	executing an	
	unconditional	
	branch to the	
	destination	
	indicated by a word	
	of the code; on	
	completion the	
	routing thus	
	activated terminates	
	by again executing	
	an unconditional	
	branch to the entry	
	point indicated by	
	the next code	
	the next code	

		word." Dictionary of Computing Oxford (3d 1990)  "threaded: pertaining to a program consisting of calls to several separate subprograms."  Webster's New World Dict. of Comp. (3d 1998)		
"clocking said CPU at a second frequency less than said first frequency or by not maintaining clocking of said CPU"  ('959 Patent, Claims 2 and 7)  ('025 Patent, Claims 1, 38, 42)	Providing a clock signal to the CPU at a second frequency that is less than the first frequency, or not providing a clock signal to the CPU	'025 Patent Abstract, Fig. 3; 3:61-64; 8:1-8; 16:25-43; 53:32-35; 56:11-14; cls. 1 and 38.	Operating the CPU at a second frequency that is less than the first frequency or by not maintaining the CPU such that the frequency is substantially reduced to zero.	6:32-35 and 6:44-48 '025 Patent, Claims 1 and 38 '959 Pros. Hist., 6/16/97 Office Action, p. 17-18; 5/12/98 Notice of Allowability, p.1. '025 Pros. Hist., 1/4/99 Amdt pp. 2-3; 9/28/99 Amdt, pp.15-18.

`130 Claim	Stipulated	Defendants'	Citations to	Plaintiff's	Citations to
Terms/Phrase	Construction (if	Proposed	Support for	Proposed	Support for
	any)	Construction	Defendants'	Construction	Plaintiff's
			Constructions <sup>5</sup>		Constructions <sup>6</sup>
"power switching	Governed by 112,	<u>Function:</u>	Fig. 1; Abst.;	<u>Function:</u>	See e.g., power
means for	<u>¶6.</u>	Selecting either the	1:6-51; 1:55-66;	Selecting one of	switches 12;1:55 –
selecting one of		first supply voltage	2:59-3:3; 3:12-19;	said supply	2:1; 3:1-18; and
said supply		or the second	4:25-27, 5:1-7;	voltages as said	4:22-67
voltages as said		supply voltage as	6:33-34.	card voltage.	
card voltage"		the card voltage			Abstract and Fig. 1
				Corresponding	
('130 patent,				Structure:	Claims 1, 6-10, and
claims 1, 6-10, and		Corresponding		Power switches	12
12)		Structure:			
		Power switches 12			'130 Pros. Hist.
		configured to			11/27/95 Office
		switch the selected			Action, pp. 2-3;
		supply voltage onto			6/10/96 Reasons
		the VCC card line			for Allowance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Unless otherwise noted, citations are to the `130 patent specification (e.g., column number:line number).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Unless otherwise noted, citations are to the `130 patent specification (e.g., column number:line number).

`163 Claim Terms/Phrase	Stipulated Construction (if any)	Defendants' Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Defendants' Constructions <sup>7</sup>	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Plaintiff's Constructions <sup>8</sup>
"A data processing system comprising a processor, a plurality of special-purpose buses each connected to the processor, and bus processing means"  ('163 patent, claims 1 and 27)		The CPU, special purpose buses, and bus processing means of claims 1 and 27 must be integrated on a single semiconductor chip	Abstract; 2:63-3:7; 3:46-50; 5:1-30; 6:15-33; 6:55-7:49; U.S. Application No. 07/744,710 Pros. Hist. 9/17/1993 Amdt., p. 10-11; U.S. Application No. 08/452,246 Pros. Hist. 11/20/1995 Amdt., p. 3-4, 14; 3/21/1996 Amdt., p. 1, 8-9; U.S. Patent No. 5,129,090	A data processing system that includes a processor, a plurality of special-purpose buses each connected to the processor, and bus processing means.	3:46-57 Figs. 1-2 Claims 1 and 27
"special-purpose buses each connected to the processor and to one of the external		Separate and distinct buses connected to the CPU and to a corresponding external device	(Bland). Fig. 2; 2:63-3:2; 5:39-43; 6:34-40; 8:3-7; U.S. Application No. 07/744,710 Pros. Hist.	Buses, where each bus is connected to the processor and to one of the external devices, and each	6:34-40 and 9:32-36 Figs. 1-2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Unless otherwise noted, citations are to the `163 patent specification (e.g., column number:line number).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Unless otherwise noted, citations are to the `163 patent specification (e.g., column number:line number).

`163 Claim Terms/Phrase	Stipulated Construction (if any)	Defendants' Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Defendants' Constructions <sup>7</sup>	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Plaintiff's Constructions <sup>8</sup>
devices and each operating at a different bus bandwidth corresponding to the bus bandwidth associated with the bus device to which it is connected"  ('163 patent, claims 1, 13, and 27)		through the common bus. Each bus. transfers signals using a transfer rate specific to its corresponding external device.	9/17/1993 Amdt., p. 10-11; 6/23/1994 Amdt., p. 15-16; 12/23/1994 App. Appeal Brf., p. 4; U.S. Application No. 08/452,246 Pros. Hist. 11/20/1995 Amdt., p. 1-6; 3/21/1996 Amdt., p. 5, 8-9; U.S. Patent No. 5,129,090 (Bland)	bus operates at a different bus bandwidth corresponding to the bus bandwidth associated with the bus device to which it is connected.	Claims 1, 13, and 27
"common bus connecting the plurality of external bus devices to the plurality of special-purpose buses"  ('163 patent, claims 1, 13, and 27)		A set of signal lines connected between the external bus devices and the special purpose buses and shared by the external bus devices.	Figs. 1, 2, 11, 12; 1:42-45; 3:58-4:3; 5:4-45; 7:42-49; U.S. Application No. 07/744,710 Pros. Hist. 9/17/1993 Amdt., p. 10-12; 6/27/1994 Amdt., p. 11-20;; 12/23/1994 App. Appeal Br., p.	A single common bus connecting the two or more external bus devices to the two or more special-purpose buses.	3:46-65; 5:1-35; and 6:30-50 Figs. 1-2 Claims 1, 13, and 27

`163 Claim	Stipulated	Defendants'	Citations to	Plaintiff's Proposed	Citations to
Terms/Phrase	Construction (if	Proposed	Support for	Construction	Support for
	any)	Construction	Defendants'		Plaintiff's
			Constructions <sup>7</sup>		Constructions <sup>8</sup>
			4-15; U.S.		
			Application No.		
			08/452,246 Pros.		
			Hist. 11/20/1995		
			Amdt. pp. 3-4; 3/21/1996 Amdt.		
			pp. 8-9; U.S.		
			Patent No.		
			5,129,090		
			(Bland).		
			IEEE, Standard		
			Dictionary of		
			Electrical and		
			Electronics Terms 112 (3d		
			ed. 1984); IEEE,		
			The New IEEE		
			Standard		
			Dictionary of		
			Electrical and		
			Electronics		
			<u>Terms</u> 140-41		
			(5th ed. 1992);		
			Microsoft Press,		
			Computer Dictionary 58		
			(2d ed. 1994);		
			Microsoft Press,		

`163 Claim	Stipulated	Defendants'	Citations to	Plaintiff's Proposed	Citations to
Terms/Phrase	Construction (if	Proposed	Support for	Construction	Support for
	any)	Construction	Defendants'		Plaintiff's
			Constructions <sup>7</sup>		Constructions <sup>8</sup>
			<u>Computer</u>		
			Dictionary 68		
			(3d ed. 1997).		

`617 Claim Terms/Phrase	Stipulated Construction (if any)	Defendants' Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Defendants' Constructions <sup>9</sup>	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Plaintiff's Constructions <sup>10</sup>
"when the input data [at least a subset of the input data] has not been recently updated" ('617 patent, claim 1, [17])		In response to the input data not changing for a preset detection period.	Figs. 1, 2A, 2B, 3-6; title; abstract; 2:16 - 3:29; 3:37-55; 4:11-31; 4:34-45; 5:18 - 7:67; 8:43-11:49.  "update (1) (supervisory control, data acquisition, and automatic control) The process of modifying or reestablishing data with more recent information (2) (A) (data management) To change information in accordance with information that is more recent than that which was previously	When at least a part or portion of the input data has not been recently updated.  Examples from the patent include: when at least a portion of the input data has not been updated:  1) since the last data reading; 2) during a period that is set adaptively; 3) during a period that is set by a user or by an application program; 4) during a default period; and	1:29-34, 2:3-7, 2:17-30; 3:2-11, 3:19-29, 3:37-55, 4:21-27, 4:35-39, 5:18-44, 5:51-61, 6:7-28, 9:43-57, 10:42-11:8 Table 1, FIG. 2B, FIG. 3, FIG. 4, FIG. 5 Claims 1-2, 11, 17, 19, 24, and 27. Also: Claims 3, 4, 9, 12, 13, 15, 18, 25, 28, 30 Abstract, Title Extrinsic Evidence: U.S. Patent No. 7,042,461, 1:18-30, 2:16-40, 3:32-48, Fig. 1 and file

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$  Unless otherwise noted, citations are to the `617 patent specification (e.g., column number:line number).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Unless otherwise noted, citations are to the `617 patent specification (e.g., column number:line number).

`617 Claim Terms/Phrase	Stipulated Construction (if any)	Defendants' Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Defendants' Constructions <sup>9</sup>	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction	Citations to Support for Plaintiff's Constructions <sup>10</sup>
			available." IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms 1167 (6th Ed. 1996).  "update To change a system or data file to make it more current." Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary 486 (3rd Ed. 1997).  "whenin the event that: IF" Webster's Ninth New Collegiate Dictionary 1342 (1991).	5) based on a frame inactivity threshold.	history (10/22/04 Office Action, pp. 2-6); Intel June 2005 White Paper, DN 307508-001, p. 12.

Each party reserves the right to rely on the opposing party's citations. Each party further reserves their rights to respond to arguments concerning intrinsic and extrinsic evidence cited.

Dated: December 10, 2010

#### /s/ Linhong Zhang

William J. Marsden, Jr. (#2247)
Tara D. Elliott (#4483)
Linhong Zhang (#5083)
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
222 Delaware Avenue, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor
P.O. Box 1114
Wilmington, DE 19801
wjm@fr.com
tde@fr.com
lwzhang@fr.com

Attorneys for Microsoft Corporation

#### OF COUNSEL:

Lauren A. Degnan Ruffin B. Cordell FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1425 K Street, N.W., 11<sup>th</sup> Floor Washington, DC 20005 lad@fr.com

Attorneys for Microsoft Corporation

#### /s/ David E. Moore

Richard L. Horwitz (# 2246) David E. Moore (# 3983) Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP Hercules Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor 1313 N. Market Street Wilmington, DE 19899 rhorwitz@potteranderson.com dmoore@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendant Lenovo (United States) Inc.

#### OF COUNSEL:

Charles E. Miller
Brian D. Siff
Robert G. Gingher
Dickstein Shapiro LLP
1633 Broadway
New York, NY 20029
LENOVO-St.Clair@dicksteinshapiro.com

Attorneys for Defendant Lenovo (United States) Inc.

#### /s/ David E. Moore

Richard L. Horwitz (# 2246) David E. Moore (# 3983) Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor 1313 N. Market Street Wilmington, DE 19899 rhorwitz@potteranderson.com dmoore@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendant Dell, Inc.

#### OF COUNSEL:

Constance S. Huttner Vinson & Elkins LLP 666 Fifth Avenue, 26<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10103 chuttner@velaw.com

Christopher V. Ryan
Juliet M. Dirba
David D. Hornberger
Vinson & Elkins LLP
2801 Via Fortuna, Suite 100
Austin, TX 78746
st.clair-dell-grpplist@velaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant Dell, Inc.

#### /s/ David E. Moore

Richard L. Horwitz (# 2246) David E. Moore (# 3983) Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor 1313 N. Market Street Wilmington, DE 19899 rhorwitz@potteranderson.com dmoore@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendants Acer, Inc., Acer America Corporation and Gateway, Inc.

#### OF COUNSEL:

Kai Tseng
James Lin
Michael C. Ting
Craig Kaufman
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe
1000 Marsh Road
Menlo Park, CA 94025
Acer\_St.ClairOrrickteam@orrick.com

Attorneys for Defendants Acer, Inc., Acer America Corporation and Gateway, Inc.

#### /s/ David E. Moore

Richard L. Horwitz (# 2246) David E. Moore (# 3983) Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor 1313 N. Market Street Wilmington, DE 19899 rhorwitz@potteranderson.com dmoore@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendants Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba America, Inc.

#### OF COUNSEL:

Jeffrey K. Sherwood Dickstein Shapiro LLP 1825 Eye Street NW Washington, DC 20006-5403 zz-Toshiba-St.Clair@dicksteinshapiro.com

Attorneys for Defendants Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba America, Inc.

#### /s/ David E. Moore

Richard L. Horwitz (# 2246) David E. Moore (# 3983) Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor 1313 N. Market Street Wilmington, DE 19899 rhorwitz@potteranderson.com dmoore@potteranderson.com

Attorneys for Defendant Intel Corporation

#### OF COUNSEL:

Chad S. Campbell
Timothy J. Franks
PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN PA
2901 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2000
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
perkins-intel-stclair@perkinscoie.com

Attorneys for Defendant Intel Corporation