

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

APPLE INC.,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	
)	C.A. No. 10-167-RK
HIGH TECH COMPUTER CORP., a/k/a/)	
HTC CORP., HTC (B.V.I.) CORP., HTC)	
AMERICA, INC. and EXEDEA, INC.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

DEFENDANTS' UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME

Defendants High Tech Computer Corp., HTC (B.V.I) Corp., HTC America, Inc. and Exedeia, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants") hereby move this Court for an Order extending the time within which Defendants are required to move, answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint in this action through and including May 21, 2010.¹ The grounds for Defendants' request are as follows:

1. On March 2, 2010, Plaintiff Apple Inc. ("Plaintiff") filed its Complaint alleging infringement of ten patents.
2. The summonses for service of process were also issued on March 2, 2010 but no returns of service have been filed yet.
3. Defendant HTC Corporation is a foreign entity for whom service will need to be attempted through the Delaware long-arm statute or otherwise.
4. Accordingly, to streamline service of process, counsel for Defendants has agreed to accept service of the Complaint on behalf of all Defendants provided Defendants be given

¹ Defendants have made the same request and filed a similar motion in the related case styled *Apple Inc. et al. v. High Tech Computer Corp. et al.*, C.A. No. 10-166-RK.

additional time to move, answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint. In addition to accepting service, Defendants have also waived any challenges to any defects in service of process, should any defects in service of process exist. This is the first request by Defendants and the additional time will permit Defendants to adequately prepare their response to the Complaint. All other defenses are specifically reserved by Defendants.

5. Plaintiff does not oppose the requested extension.

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request that the Court enter the attached Order extending the time within which Defendants are required to move, answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint through and including May 21, 2010.

/s/ Frederick L. Cottrell, III
Frederick L. Cottrell, III (#2555)
Cottrell@rlf.com
Jeffrey L. Moyer (#3309)
Moyer@rlf.com
Kelly E. Farnan (#4395)
Farnan@rlf.com
Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.
One Rodney Square
920 N. King Street
Wilmington, DE 19899
(302) 651-7700
Attorneys for Defendants

Dated: March 31, 2010

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on March 31, 2010, I caused to be served by **electronic mail and hand delivery** copies of the foregoing document and electronically filed the same with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following:

Richard K. Herrmann
Mary B. Matterer
Amy A. Quinlan
Morris James LLP
500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1500
Wilmington, DE 19801
rherrmann@morrisjames.com
mmatterer@morrisjames.com
aquinlan@morrisjames.com

I further certify that on March 31, 2010, I caused to be served by **electronic mail** copies of the foregoing document upon the following counsel of record:

Robert G. Krupka
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
333 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, CA 90071
bob.krupka@kirkland.com

Gregory S. Arovas
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022
greg.arovas@kirkland.com

Bryan S. Hales
Marcus E. Sernel
Kirkland & Ellis LLP
300 North LaSalle
Chicago, IL 60654
bryan.hales@kirkland.com
marc.sernel@kirkland.com

Kenneth H. Bridges
Michael T. Pieja
Brian C. Kwok
Wong, Cabello, Lutsch, Rutherford & Brucculeri
LLP
540 Cowper Street, Suite 100
Palo Alto, CA 94301
kbridges@WongCabello.com
mpieja@WongCabello.com
bkwok@WongCabello.com

/s/ Frederick L. Cottrell, III

Frederick L. Cottrell, III (#2555)
cottrell@rlf.com