

EXHIBIT A

written comments are being accepted concerning the significance of the nominated properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation. Comments may be forwarded by United States Postal Service, to the National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1849 C St., NW., MS 2280, Washington, DC 20240; by all other carriers, National Register of Historic Places, National Park Service, 1201 Eye St., NW., 8th floor, Washington DC 20005; or by fax, 202-371-6447. Written or faxed comments should be submitted by May 16, 2011. Before including your address, phone number, e-mail address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

J. Paul Loether,

*Chief, National Register of Historic Places/
National Historic Landmarks Program.*

ALABAMA

Montgomery County

Montgomery Greyhound Bus Station, 210 S. Court St., Montgomery, 11000298

ARIZONA

Pima County

Gordon House, 6225 N. Camino Escalante, Tucson, 11000299

ARKANSAS

Faulkner County

Lasley's College Apartments, 1916 & 1922 Bruce St., Conway, 11000300

Logan County

Booneville Methodist Episcopal Church South, 355 N. Broadway, Booneville, 11000301

Prairie County

Wingmead, W. side of AR 33, ½ mi. S. of Eason Rd., Roe, 11000302

White County

Missouri Pacific Railway Caboose #928, Next to UPRR on Market St., SW. of Vine St., Bald Knob, 11000303

Woodruff County

Mathis—Hyde House, 400 N. 2nd St., Augusta, 11000304

CONNECTICUT

Hartford County

Freshwater Plantation, Bounded by Alden Ave., Enfield & Franklin Sts. & Connecticut R., Enfield, 11000305

MARYLAND

Carroll County

Koons, Jacob, Farm, 1151 Otterdale Mill Rd., Taneytown, 11000306

MASSACHUSETTS

Norfolk County

Fuller Brook Park, Dover Rd. to Maugus Ave., Wellesley, 11000307

MICHIGAN

Ontonagon County

Ontonagon School, 301 Greenland Rd., Ontonagon, 11000308

Wayne County

Detroit Yacht Club, 1 Riverbank Rd., Belle Isle, Detroit, 11000309

PENNSYLVANIA

Philadelphia County

United States Custom House, 200 Chestnut St., Philadelphia, 11000310

WISCONSIN

Waukesha County

Visitation Convent Complex, 13105 Watertown Plank Rd., Elm Grove, 11000311

[FR Doc. 2011-10346 Filed 4-28-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4312-51-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-771]

In the Matter of Certain Electronic Devices, Including Mobile Phones, Mobile Tablets, Portable Music Players, and Computers, and Components Thereof; Notice of Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on March 28, 2011, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Nokia Corporation of Finland, Nokia Inc. of White Plains, New York, and Intellisync Corporation of White Plains, New York. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic devices, including mobile phones, mobile tablets, portable music players, and computers, and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,209,911 (“the ‘911 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 6,212,529 (“the ‘529

patent”); U.S. Patent No. 6,141,664 (“the ‘664 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,558,696 (“the ‘696 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 6,445,932 (“the ‘932 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 5,898,740 (“the ‘740 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 7,319,874 (“the ‘874 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and a cease and desist order.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2011).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on April 25, 2011, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic devices, including mobile phones, mobile tablets, portable music players, and computers, and components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 1, 2,

5, 6, and 9–14 of the '911 patent; claims 1, 21, 25–27, 51, and 52 of the '529 patent; claims 3, 4, 21, 26, 28, 38, 43, 44, 61, 67, 68, 77, and 78 of the '664 patent; claims 1, 3, 5, 9, 11–14, 16, 18, 19, 21–23, and 25 of the '696 patent; claims 1–3, 5, 9, and 15 of the '932 patent; claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 of the '740 patent; and claims 1–6, 8–15, and 21 of the '874 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists or is in the process of being established as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

Nokia Corporation, Keilalahdentie 4, (P.O. Box 226), FIN-00045 Nokia Group, Espoo, Finland.
Nokia Inc., 102 Corporate Park Drive, White Plains, NY 10604. Intellisync Corporation, 102 Corporate Park Drive, White Plains, NY 10604.

(b) The respondent is the following entity alleged to be in violation of section 337, and is the party upon which the complaint is to be served:
Apple Inc., 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, CA 95014.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Paul J. Luckern, Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondent in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)–(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of the respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to

the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: April 25, 2011.

By order of the Commission.

William R. Bishop,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2011-10348 Filed 4-28-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-567]

In the Matter of Certain Foam Footwear; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review a Remand Initial Determination; Finding of a Violation of Section 337; Request for Written Submissions Regarding Remedy, Bonding, and the Public Interest

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") remand initial determination ("ID") and has found a violation of section 337 in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission is requesting written submissions regarding remedy, bonding, and the public interest.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clint Gerdine, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by

contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on May 11, 2006, based on a complaint, as amended, filed by Crocs, Inc. ("Crocs") of Niwot, Colorado. 71 FR 27514-15 (May 11, 2006). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. CC1337), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain foam footwear, by reason of infringement of claims 1–2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,993,858; U.S. Patent No. D517,789; and the Crocs trade dress (the image and overall appearance of Crocs-brand footwear). The complaint further alleged that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337, and requested that the Commission issue a permanent general exclusion order and permanent cease and desist orders. The complaint named eleven (11) respondents that included: (1) Collective Licensing International, LLC of Englewood, Colorado; (2) Double Diamond Distribution Ltd. ("Double Diamond") of Canada; (3) Effervescent Inc. ("Effervescent") of Fitchburg, Massachusetts; (4) Gen-X Sports, Inc. of Toronto, Ontario; (5) Holey Shoes Holding Ltd. of Canada; (6) Australia Unlimited, Inc. of Seattle, Washington; (7) Cheng's Enterprises Inc. of Carlstadt, New Jersey; (8) D. Myers & Sons, Inc. of Baltimore, Maryland; (9) Inter-Pacific Trading Corp. of Los Angeles, California; (10) Pali Hawaii of Honolulu, Hawaii; and (11) Shaka Shoes of Kaliua-Kona, Hawaii. The Commission terminated the investigation as to the trade dress allegation on September 11, 2006. A twelfth respondent, Old Dominion Footwear, Inc. of Madison Heights, Virginia, was added to the investigation on October 10, 2006. All but two respondents have been terminated from the investigation on the basis of a consent order, settlement agreement, or undisputed Commission determination of non-infringement. The two remaining respondents are Double Diamond and Effervescent.

On April 11, 2008, the ALJ issued his final ID finding no violation of section 337. The ALJ's final ID made no finding on whether either asserted patent was unenforceable due to inequitable conduct. The ALJ's final ID also included his recommendation on remedy and bonding should the Commission find that there was a violation. On July 25, 2008, after review, the Commission affirmed the ALJ's final