Parson et al v. Pierce et al.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

ERNEST PARSON, et al.,)
Plaintiffs,)
V.) Civ. No. 15-325-SLR
DAVID PIERCE, et al.,)
Defendants.)

MEMORANDUM

- 1. **Introduction**. Plaintiffs, inmates at the James T. Vaughn Correctional Center ("VCC"), Smyrna, Delaware, filed this lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act. They proceed pro se and have paid the filing fee.¹ This case was severed from Civ. No. 12-1120-SLR on April 22, 2015. On July 14, 2016, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint. (D.I. 22) Pending is plaintiffs' motion to compel. (D.I. 23)
- 2. Amended Complaint. Plaintiffs, who are Muslim, raise religious discrimination claims. On June 20, 2016, the court granted defendants' motion for a more definite statement and ordered plaintiffs to file an amended complaint that complied with certain requirements as set forth in the accompanying memorandum. (See D.I. 20, 21) Having review the amended complaint, the court finds that it substantially complies with the June 20, 2016 order.
- 3. **Motion to Compel**. Plaintiffs have filed a motion to compel and ask the court to enter an order compelling non-parties to answer interrogatories. (D.I. 23) Pursuant

Doc. 24

¹The court notes that none of the plaintiffs have sought leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

to Fed. R. Civ. P. 33, interrogatories are served upon parties. There is no obligation upon non-parties to answer plaintiffs' interrogatories. Therefore, the court will deny the motion to compel. Should plaintiffs seek discovery from non-parties, they should refer to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30 that provides for depositions by oral examination.

4. **Conclusion**. For the above reasons, the court: (1) finds plaintiffs' amended complaint substantially complies with the court's June 20, 2016 order; and (2) will deny plaintiffs' motion to compel (D.I. 23). A separate order shall issue.

Dated: October 31, 2016

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE