

must rely on one of three grounds: (1) an intervening change in controlling law; (2) the availability of new evidence; or (3) the need to correct clear error of law or to prevent manifest injustice. *Lazaridis v. Wehmer*, 591 F.3d 666, 669 (3d Cir. 2010).

There are numerous provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 60. Plaintiff does not indicate under which section he proceeds. While the filing also refers to “a notice of appeal,” the Court was advised that when Plaintiff brought the document in for filing, he stated the filing was not a notice of appeal, but a motion for reconsideration or a Rule 60 motion.

It is clear that Plaintiff is unhappy that I remanded this matter to State Court. His displeasure, however, does not merit reconsideration of the remand order or my recusal. Nor has Plaintiff raised sufficient grounds for relief under Rule 60. Because the Court finds that Plaintiff has failed to demonstrate any grounds to warrant a reconsideration of the Court's remand order, relief under Rule 60, or my recusal, the motion (D.I. 7) is DENIED.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE