19325 VLW		
	1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
\ <i>\</i>	2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
	3	x
	4	ALLIANCE FOR GLOBAL JUSTICE,:
	.5	et al.,
	6	Plaintiffs, : Civil Action Number
	. 7	vs. : 01 CV 00811
	. 8	THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, :
	9	et al.,
	10	Defendants. :
	11	x
	12	
	13	DEPOSITION OF JEFFREY HEROLD
	14	
	15	Washington, D.C.
	16	Thursday, July 21, 2005
	17	
٠.	18	
	19.	
	20	REPORTED BY:
	21	VICTORIA L. WILSON
1	22	
		ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC. Nationwide Coverage 202-347-3700 800-336-6646 410-684-2550
	H	202-347-3700 800-336-6646 410-684-2550

1	leadership of the group, where a route and a portion
2	of the roadway would be agreed upon, sufficient
3	staffing would have to be available from the police
4	department to allow for the safe passage of the
_. 5	march, and then the marchers would have to stay
6	within those parameters as agreed to with the
7	leadership of the group throughout the procession.
8	Q Would it be the practice of police to
9	provide an escort?
10	A If that escort was available.
11	Q And would it be the practice of the police
12	to accompany the march as it proceeded?
13	A Yes.
. 14	Q With respect to the offense of parading
15	without a permit, is that, as the District views it,
16	within the policy of the District that that is an
17	arrestable offense?
18	A Yes.
19	Q That is to say that the District's view at
20	April 2000 that's what we are referring to.
21	A Yes.
22	Q in April 2000, it was within the policy

1	of the District to arrest individuals who were
2	believed to or, in fact, had paraded without a
3	permit?
4	A Yes.
. 5	Q Is the District aware that the council of
6	the District of Columbia decriminalized certain
7	traffic offenses?
8	MR. KOGER: This is this now calls for a
9	legal opinion, necessarily, which is beyond the scope
10	of the 30(b)(6).
11	MR. MESSINEO: No, it actually pertains in
12	this inquiry and I agree that one might interpret
13	it as that but that's not what the question actually
14	was phrased as. I'm asking about the District's
15	awareness and knowledge.
16	MR. KOGER: It is the "decriminalization"
17	that we have an issue with.
18	MR. MESSINEO: Let me let me proceed in
19	a different route and see if this obviates your
20	issue.
21	BY MR. MESSINEO:
22	Q Let me hand you this next exhibit.

1

. 5

7

6

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

: 19

20

21

22

To establish probable cause to arrest an individual for parading without a permit, would the District have to know or believe that there was a law enforcement officer who observed the conduct that ostensibly constituted parading without a permit?

Α Yes.

And would that individual be what is referred to as an arresting officer -- or let me restate it. What is an arresting officer?

The arresting officer is the person who A actually takes custody of a person that's been arrested.

Is that, necessarily, talking about April 2000 -- and let me be more specific -- be more specific now. In the context of the April 15th, 2000 mass arrests in the vicinity of 20th and K, as a general matter, were the arresting officers persons who observed the conduct that is ostensibly parading without a permit?

No.

The arresting officers would, in that specific instance, be -- have what relationship to

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC. Nationwide Coverage

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22.

the arrestee? What did they know about the arrestee?

A They would be provided information by either the incident commander or others that observed the illegal conduct and the -- they would then take the person into custody on that information.

Q Where would it be recorded as to the identity of what officer, in fact, observed the illegal conduct?

A The information provided to the arresting officer would be documented on the field arrest form.

Q Would the field arrest form in that documentation identify the -- the name of the individual officer who actually saw what ostensibly was parading without a permit committed by the individual arrestee?

A No.

Q Where would that information be deposited, maintained, that is to say, the identity of the law enforcement officer who observed a particular arrestee engaged in the allegedly offensive conduct of parading without a permit?

A In September of 2000.

	1
•	2
	3
•	4
. !	5
6	5
7	7
8	1
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

21

22

Q We	will go	through the mechanics moment by
moment of th	is whole	series of events leading up to
the arrests	but I und	erstand that, based on your
knowledge, yo	ou may be	able to answer some of these
things summan	rily. If	you take a look at Exhibit
Number 29, do	you reco	ognize what that document is?

A I have never seen a document like this before.

Q Okay. It purports to be a collateral list. What is collateral?

A Money that's paid to the court to secure release.

Q Is it -- and you see, of course, under "Officer's Name," and I understand that not having seen this format before, you do not necessarily know what that column would represent, appears the name Radzilowski repeatedly.

A Yes.

Q Do you have any reason to believe that

Commander Radzilowski was involved in the processing

of collateral in these April 15th mass arrests?

A I don't have that information, whether he

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

202-347-3700

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

was or was not.

2

3

4

.5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. MESSINEO: You know, I'm sorry, we can

MR. KOGER: Whatever is easier.

agree to keep this separate, if you would like.

MR. MESSINEO: All right. Let's mark it as 30 and we will keep it with the exhibits.

(Herold Deposition Exhibit 30 identified.)
BY MR. MESSINEO:

Let me just represent some information to Q you so you don't think that I'm trying to be -- it was testified to by Ms. Gant that the records division does not and never received any of the 759 field arrest forms. It is also testified in this deposition by Ms. Gant that the records division never received and has no idea where might be any of the MPD 163s. So I understand that you may or may not be able to answer these questions; however, as a representative of the District, I'm asking them because the information is relevant to plaintiffs' claims. You -- I have handed you what is Exhibit B, which has been produced in discovery in this case, which is approximately, looks like, 204 like -- like

2

3

4

٠5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

(18

19

20

21

22

MR. MESSINEO: Okay. We can take a break. THE WITNESS: Okay. Good.

(Recess.)

BY MR. MESSINEO:

- I want to ask two questions just to establish what I think has been established. You do not have testimony to provide today as to the identity of any officers who may have observed conduct constituting parading without a permit linked to specific arrestees?
 - Not linked to specific arrestees.
- What -- what information do you have about the identity of persons who have observed events relating to parading without a permit that are more generalized?
 - Those would be personal observations.
- Okay. And as we go through the chain of events, we will go through that. Other than what you have testified to just a moment ago, there isn't other information that you possess regarding the identity of persons who would be witnesses to the specific arrestees, being able to say this person did

21

22

Q

1	that at this place at this time?
2	A No, I couldn't do that. I couldn't say
3	that.
4	Q So it would be futile and pointless at this
5	moment, for example, for us to go through all 200
6	pages of Exhibit B or to go through the CJIS
. 7	printouts or to go through the collateral list and
8	ask you name by name who saw this person doing
9	something unlawful?
10	A I would agree with you.
11	Q Okay. We won't do that, then. Returning
12	to your deposition, which is marked the transcript
13	is an exhibit from earlier. Here it is. It is
14	Exhibit Number 26.
15	THE WITNESS: Excuse me. Okay.
16	BY MR. MESSINEO:
17	Q You were provided a copy of this transcript
18	back around the time of the deposition or within a
:19·	few months thereafter?
20	A Yes.
11	

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

And did you have the opportunity to make

202-347-3700

any connections to it?

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

1	the Department of Justice. Discussions surrounding
2	that incident indicated to me that there were orders
3	given to move from the street at the Department of
4	Justice.
.5	Q You do not know who relayed that
6	information to you?
7	A I don't recall at this time.
8	Q You do not the District does not know of
9	the identity of persons within the District that
10	would be able to say that they were a witness to
11	this?
12	A I could not identify a witness.
13	Q This was not to your knowledge, this was
14	not recorded, the any attempts to direct people at
15	the Department of Justice back onto the sidewalk?
16	A I know of no such recordings.
17	Q Was a police line formed?
18	A That's not the information that I have been
19	able to that's not the information I have.
20	Q Based on your your information, there
21	was verbal persuasion used to encourage people to
22	step back onto the sidewalk?

	1
:	2
3	3
4	Į
. 5	;
6	
7	
8	
9	-
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	

19

20

21

22

Q They did not, therefore, tell you, "Make
mass arrests"? Let me be more let's let me
restate it. They gave you the authority to make mass
arrests but they did not mandate that mass arrests be
made?

- A I was directed to effect the arrests of the group if the group refused to leave the street.
- Q Within the policies and practices of the MPD at the time, would it be appropriate to arrest, in this specific context, a person who had solely marched on the sidewalk the entire length of the march?
 - A Repeat that, please.
- Q In terms of within the policy and practice that existed at the time, would it have been appropriate for the MPD to arrest a person who had solely marched on the sidewalk the entire length of the march?
 - A No.
 - Q Why not?
- A Again, arrests are made based on probable cause. Someone majoring on the sidewalk is not

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

202-347-3700

. Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

2

3 4

5

7

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

engaged in unlawful activity, therefore, should not and would not be arrested.

Let me ask you, then, about -- I'm going to read to you a few questions and answers from the deposition on May 4th, 2005, of Commander Michael Radzilowski. I'm going to ask you whether this is, in fact, an accurate or inaccurate statement, then, on what the District's policy and practice was at the The question is, "Would it be appropriate just time. within the practices of the MPD to arrest within the specific context a person who had solely marched on the sidewalk the entire length of this march?" response from Radzilowski is, "Would it be the general policy?" The question is, "Yes." His response is, "Yes." The follow-up question is, "Why?" And Commander Radzilowski says, "Because he would be part of that group individually and as a group that was incommoding the sidewalk and the street." The follow-up to that is, "Even if he or she within his or her own personal actions did not violate any traffic law, didn't cross against a light, for example, and remained on the sidewalk

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

410-684-2550

202-347-3700

	•
	1
	2
	3
	4
-	5
4	5
7	7
٤	}
9)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

A	Provided	he wasn	't involv	ed in	unlawful	L
activity,	there is	no proba	able ćaus	e that	exists	to
arrest tha	it person.	It is	incumben	t upon	the	
department	to arres	t only u	ipon esta	blishme	ent of	
probable c	ause.					

And it is not -- it is not parading without a permit, it is not considered to be unlawful activity for an individual to accompany on the outskirts, on the sidewalk, specifically, what the police understand to be an unlawful march through the street by a large contingent of persons?

A person walking on the sidewalk with folks in the street should not be charged with parading without a permit.

Okay. And it is incumbent, then, where the police would be making mass arrests for parading without a permit, to ensure that within the group they arrested, there were no such persons except for persons who had, in fact, marched on the street?

An arrest for parading without a permit is A based upon probable cause to arrest for parading without a permit. I can't state it any other way.

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage

2

3

19325 VLW	
	.1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
,	16
	17
	18
	.19
	20
	li li

21

22

ove:	r the amplified or the loudspeaker	of the police
car	for the group to leave the street	and continue on
the	sidewalk.	

So you're encountering the group and you're in the rear of the procession at approximately 19th Street and I?

Yes.

And the group, as a body, as a general Q matter, is moving westbound from that point?

Α Correct.

Is it contained as one -- is it moving as one group or as multiple groups?

Α Excuse me. Sorry. Please repeat the question.

Sure. Was this one unified group or did it break into multiple groups as it was proceeding from this point westward?

It was somewhat fragmented at this point.

How did the -- what was the appearance of that fragmentation?

It was more strung out than a tightly knotted cohesive group.

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

202-347-3700

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

	1		
	The group had become so strung and		
	fragmented that I can't say if I was in the middle or		
	the end. I was amongst a large gathering of folks in the street		
	4 the street.		
٠	Q Were there protesters your cruiser comes down 20th: comes		
	down 20th; correct?		
	A Yes.		
9	Your cruiser turns right, you and		
	cruiser turn right onto Pennsylvania?		
10	A Right.		
11	Q You drive down one block?		
12	A Block-and-a-half or so.		
13			
14	Q Okay. Then do you make a maneuver with your vehicle to turn it around?		
. 15	A Yes.		
16			
17	Q At this point are there other law		
18	enforcement personnel in the vicinity?		
.	A Yes.		
19	Q Who was there?		
20	A By name, I don't know.		
1	Q By physical description?		
2	A I remember special operations division		

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.
Nationwide Coverage

202-347-3700

800-336-6646

-	
1	motorcycles that were there with us.
2	Q Where were they oriented? Where were they
. 3	physically positioned is what I mean?
4	A They were again, this was a fragmented
. 5	group. They were towards the front of a portion of
6	the group.
7	Q Were the motorcycles on Pennsylvania
. 8	Avenue?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Were they on I Street? And I understand
11	that I and Pennsylvania converge at a certain point
12	so if they were at that point of convergence, you can
13	tell me that, too.
14	A I remember the motorcycles on 20th I'm
15	sorry on Pennsylvania Avenue heading back to the
16	east.
17	Q Heading from 21st towards 20th?
. 18	A Correct.
19	Q Did you pass through them with your
20	vehicle?
21	A No.

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.
Nationwide Coverage

I don't mean in any harmful way.

202-347-3700

800-336-6646

1.

3

. 5

4

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

. 19

20

21

22

group, not contained to the back or to the front or to the sides. I reasonably assumed that everybody heard me since these folks that were reacting to my voice were interspersed within the group.

Were they interspersed between the group of Q 400 or within the entirety of the group?

The group of 400 that I have been concentrating on.

So your basis is that persons reacted physically with a gesture and those persons who so reacted were interspersed throughout the 400 that you were focused on and that's what gives you the basis to believe that those around them also heard what you were saying?

Yes.

What about the remainder of the persons above the 400 all the way up to these number that is less than a thousand that constitutes the entirety of the procession?

I don't know anything about what -- where they went, what they did. I dealt with these -- the 400. There were many, many, many people that were

2

3

4 . 5

- 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

not in that block so whether they heard or didn't hear really had no effect on what I was doing with the 400 that were stopped and arrested.

Is it your testimony, then, that the only persons who were stopped and arrested as the police lines were effected were the 400 that you were focused on?

Α Yes.

No one outside of the 400 that you were focussed on were caught up in between the police lines that surrounded that one block on 20th Street?

Yes.

How were you able to identify those 400 as distinct individuals from the up to 600 others that were part of the march?

The group remained on Pennsylvania Avenue between my police car and a group of motorcycles. This group remained intact as it turned north on 20th Street. There were others that were in the park and along the sidewalk on 20th and remaining on I Street. These individuals remained in place as the group that I had been dealing with entered that block

1	Q So it was such a precision maneuver that
2	this line was effected literally in the midst of the
3	movement of persons that were going up 20th Street
4	northbound from the 400 that you had been monitoring
5	and that prevented anyone else from getting caught in
6	the arrest zone?
7	A I wouldn't call it a precision movement
8	when, in all actuality, that line was established
9	prior to everyone that I felt should be arrested from
0	entering into the arrest zone essentially folks
1	that were part of that group of 400 that I intended
2	to arrest were still on I Street when that police
3	line was brought across I Street. So less people
4	than I intended to arrest wound up being arrested.
5	Q Let me just see if I have a map.
5	A Okay.
7	MR. MESSINEO: Take a break if you want.
3	THE WITNESS: Please.
9	(Recess.)
)	BY MR. MESSINEO:
L	Q You felt that the District had probable

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

cause to arrest about 400 individuals alternately for

1	parading without a permit or failure to obey; is that
2	accurate?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What was the probable cause to arrest those
, 5	persons that the up to 600 others?
6	MR. KOGER: Foundation.
7	BY MR. MESSINEO:
8	Q Was there probable cause that existed to
9	arrest any of those other persons that consist of the
10	group that was the up to 600 others?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Was there probable cause to arrest every
13	one of them?
14	A No.
15	Q Who who, among those additional up to
16	600, was there probable cause to arrest? You can
17	answer by category. I don't think
18	A Part of the group of 400 that we have been
19	discussing that were cut off by the police line that
20	didn't make it into the block, there was probable
21	cause to arrest them. Others that were in I Street
22	or on I Street, others that were on 20th Street in

1	the street, others that were on Pennsylvania Avenue
2	in the street, parading in the street and failing to
3	leave the street, there was probable cause to arrest.
4	Q That's and failing to leave the street upon
.5	hearing a directive to leave the street?
6	A Any anyone parading in the street,
7	whether they heard or not heard did or did not
8	hear this warning were subject to arrest for parading
9	without a permit.
10	Q Now, you were monitoring a group of 400?
11	A Yes.
12	Q The police lines were established on the
13	southern part such that actually some portion of that
14	400 was not trapped inside the arrest zone?
15	A Correct.
16	Q And it is your testimony that from the
17	other areas that we described on I Street, the
18	sidewalks abutting I Street in either direction,
19	those individuals did not get trapped inside the
20	arrest zone; is that correct?
21	A They were not subject subjected to
22	arrest; correct.

1	Q Is it your understanding some number, then,
2	less than 400 were subjected to arrest?
3	A We are estimating numbers. This group was
. 4	about 400. About 400 were arrested. Some of that
.5	group of 400 or more didn't make it into the block so
6	what I am saying is that somewhere around 400 people
7	were arrested in that block as to the way I remember.
8	Q The numbers are important here. Somewhere
9	around 400 were arrested within the block. That's
10	what you testified to.
11	A That's my estimation.
12	Q And the group that you were monitoring and
13	focused on were about 400?
14	A It was that very group; however, that whole
15	group was not arrested.
16	Q Then doesn't it seem obvious from the
17	numbers that some number of individuals who were
18	outside of that group were arrested?
19	MR. KOGER: Argumentative. Foundation.
20	You may answer.
21	THE WITNESS: Maybe I misspoke because we
22	have been doing this for so long.

. 5

BY MR. MESSINEO:

Q Sure.

A There was an original group that was somewhere around 400. That's the group that I monitored, gave direction to, and wound up in this block. A portion of that group, because of the establishment of the southern police line, did not make it into that block. Therefore, a smaller group, whether it be 20 or 30 people smaller, did not get arrested. So just to keep round numbers.

Q Sure.

A And, again, if I had 420 people that were in the street and I intended to arrest those 420 and the police line was established and only 400 made it into the block, that's how those numbers roll out. It is not -- if that answers what you are saying.

Q How far off are your numbers?

A I -- as I have been stating from the very beginning, all these numbers that I have mentioned are estimates. It could have been 2,000 people at DOJ and it could have been 800 people in the block. They are estimates and I testified to that from the

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

1	beginning, that these numbers are estimates.
2	Q So you have no idea whether there were 400
3	or 800 people in the
4	A Again, those numbers that I testified to
_. 5	are estimates.
6	Q But I want to
7	A Sir, they are estimates.
8	Q I'm not fighting with you on this. They
9	are estimates and there are margins of error in
10	estimates. I'm trying to ascertain what the margin
11	of error is estimated to be in your estimate.
12	A Okay.
13	Q Are you there? Because if you are talking
14	about 400 but it might be 800, that's a significant
15	variation from if you are talking about 400 but you
16	mean it could be 375 to 425.
17	A When you estimate the difference between
18	a crowd the size of 400 and 600 is marginal. You
19	can't a casual observer can't tell that
20	difference. So if I give you an estimate of 400, you
21	can put a plus or minus 200 on that estimate. The
22	same with the estimate of a thousand; you can plus or

2

3

4

.5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

. 22

ļ

minus two -- two, 300 when you are talking about a thousand and still that's about as close as you are going to get without doing a head count.

Q So when you say 400, you mean it could have been as small as 200 and it could have been as large as 600?

A No, that's not what I mean. You can tell the difference between 200 and 400. 200 is a much smaller group than 400.

Q 600 is 50 percent more than 400, not to get -- not to get into too much mathematics but I need to understand --

A I understand.

Q -- what the constraints are in your estimate because you have said 200 -- you could have 200 as a margin of error. I have taken that and said, well, then there could be 200 to 600 when you say 400 and you say no, that's not right. And so I'm trying to -- I would like for you to tell me.

A I would like to be able -- I would like to be able to give you a more accurate number than what I am giving you. It works -- looking at a crowd from

.5

22.

my perspective	is a ratio. 200 to 400 is a different		
ratio from 400	to 600. I can't get you a better		
answer from my	observations than the answers I have		
already given you.			

Q After there is the arrest zone set up and several hundred individuals arrested therein, how much time elapsed between the establishment of the two police lines that constitute the arrest zone and when handcuffs were first placed on individuals? And I understand that the process of handcuffing several hundred may take some span of time so I'm actually looking to ascertain how much time elapsed from the establishment of the arrest zone to when handcuffs initially began to be put on people's wrists, or flexcuffs, as it may be.

A As I recall, it was 20 minutes, half an hour, maybe.

Q And during that 20 minutes to half an hour, what was going on?

A We were -- or there was the arrival of prisoner transportation. The infrastructure for arresting a significant number of people was being

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

put together. There was taunts at the police officer from folks in the crowd. There was another group now that had established itself to the south of I Street, which caused the establishment of a second police line to hold a group of protesters away from the police line that had been established to effect the arrests. And then after that, it was a lot of standing around until things were put in place.

Q During the 20 to 30 -- 20 minutes to half an hour, was there consultation between you and members of MPD command staff?

A There were discussions between myself and command staff.

Q Okay. Between yourself and whom?

A Chief Gainer, Chief Ramsey, Commander Acosta, Captain Sharkey.

Q This is all occurring in the 20 minutes to half an hour before the first flexcuffs are applied to arrestees?

A Correct.

Q During that period of time, what communications occurred between you and command

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

202-347-3700

410-684-2550

22

1 staff?

2

3

. 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A The communications surrounded the decision to make arrests.

Q And who was -- was there one huddle or meeting of persons or were there multiple meetings, multiple meetings with you and individuals, just if you can use your own words to tell me how that transpired?

A There was one -- one meeting between myself and the commanders that I identified.

Q And were there other persons a part of this communication who are not command staff that, consequently, you haven't identified yet in response to my questions?

A The meeting that I am talking about occurred between myself, Chief Ramsey, Chief Gainer Chief Acosta or Commander Acosta.

Q Sharkey?

202-347-3700

A Captain Sharkey was in and out of the meeting. She was involved with prisoner processing.

Q Physically where did the meeting take place?

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

410-684-2550

22

÷ . :

2

3

4

. 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

. 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

probable cause for these arrests consists solely of conduct alleged to have occurred from your arrival on the scene to the moment of the arrest zone?

A No.

Q What is the District's position upon what conduct formed the basis for the probable cause to arrest these individuals?

A All the activity that occurred from the time the group left their permitted area and traversed through the city to the time they were arrested.

Q And they left their permitted area when they left the Department of Justice?

A Right.

Q And that includes their traveling on the street constantly, willfully refusing orders and directives to get up on the sidewalk as they traversed from 10th over to 20th?

A Correct.

Q What are the range of offenses for which the District asserts probable cause existed to arrest all those who were arrested? You have identified ---

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

202-347-3700

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

parading without a permit and failure to obey. there others?

Α No.

With respect to failure to obey, can you please identify so the record is clear all of the orders that are encompassed within -- that were, in fact, disobeyed? Maybe there was one; maybe there was more; it is for you to tell me.

The orders and directives that were provided to the group from the time they left 10th Street to 19th Street to the orders and directives that were provided by me personally from that point until the time of arrest.

And that second set of orders, the ones provided by you personally, you are referring to the amplified orders that were given while you were on Pennsylvania Avenue between 21st and 20th through use of amplified sound where you repeatedly told people that if they didn't comply with certain directives, they would be arrested?

Yes.

All right. What we will do is take a look

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

410-684-2550

202-347-3700

2

3

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

. 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

at some video?

A Now, you promise it doesn't bounce too much so I don't need my Dramamine.

MR. SMITH: Red Cross is down the hall. BY MR. MESSINEO:

- Q They only speak French.
- A That wouldn't help much. I don't know how to say Dramamine in French.
- Q Can you hand me the little clicky thing.

 This is, for the record, a tape that was produced in discovery already. It is the -- it is the portion of video taken by Steven Olson. There is a time code on the tape itself that reflects minutes, actual time of day. That's what we will be using as a reference point when we go through this.
 - A Hold on one second, please.

 (Pause.)
- Q By the way, before I look at that, did Chief Ramsey approve these mass arrests?
 - A There was concurrence.
- Q What's the distinction between concurrence and approval?

22 .

	A It appeared to me that he agreed with the
	actions that had been taken.
	decrons that had been taken.
,	Q He took no actions to cause a cessation of
4	the mass arrests; correct?
. 5	A Correct.
ϵ	Q He had the authority to do so had he wished
7	to; correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And you were communicating with him in
10	advance about the basis for these arrests, in advance
11	of even the first flexcuff being being placed on
12	an arrestee; is that correct?
13	A That conversation occurred with Executive
14	Assistant Chief Gainer, not Chief Ramsey.
15	Q Ramsey was not a party to that?
16	A I recall the conversation being between
17	myself and Chief Gainer.
18	Q Ramsey I'm sorry. I didn't mean to
19	interrupt you.
20	A Without Chief Ramsey there.
21	Q He was not present?
22	A I don't recall him being a party to the
11	

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

202-347-3700

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

MTYA	
	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
1	11
	12
	13
	14
	. 15
•	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	Н

22

communication	to	be	hearing	it.	Was	he?
---------------	----	----	---------	-----	-----	-----

- A I do not believe so.
- Q How far away was he from the communication?
- A 15 feet.
- Q I swear I thought you said before that Gainer, Ramsey, Acosta and at time Sharkey were parties to this communication.
- A Yes. To a communication that -- the specific laying out of the details of what happened was a conversation I had with Chief Gainer. Then there was a larger gathering.
 - Q Okay. So there were two conversations?
 - A Yes.
- Q All right. Let's break them down, then, because I don't think that was clear from the original testimony. So let's start with the first conversation. The first conversation was -- who were participants to the first conversation?
 - A Myself and Chief Gainer.
 - Q In this communication, what transpired?
- A I laid out why the arrests were going to be made.

	Did he put any questions to you about the
	2 basis for these arrests?
;	A I'm sorry?
4	Q Did he put any questions to you about the
. 5	·
6	A No.
7	Q None at all?
8	A No.
9	Q Was he listening to you?
10	A He appeared to be.
11	Q Did he convey to you his approval of the
12	arrests in the course of this communication?
13	A Repeat that, please.
14	Q Did he convey his approval to you of these
15	arrests in the course of this communication?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Was it then a subsequent communication with
18	you and anyone from command staff that pertained to
19	these the basis for these arrests?
20	A The communication was repeated the same
21	conversation. That's when the entire group was
22	there.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

20

21

2.2

19325 VLW	,
	•
	4
	5
	ϵ
	7
	8
	. 9
-	10
	11
<u> </u>	12
	13
	14
	15
·	16
	17
	1.8
•	19

	A	Not	while	I	was	laying	out	the	reasons	that
the	arrest	s we	ere be:	ing	, mac	îe.				

And just to reconfirm this because you have altered how many conversations there were since I asked this before.

MR. KOGER: That mischaracterized the testimony. There may have been a misunderstandingabout testimony as to an ongoing or multiple conversations but the characterization of the testimony in there is unnecessary and unhelpful.

MR. MESSINEO: The record speaks for itself in that regard but your objection is noted.

BY MR. MESSINEO:

With respect to this -- the following questions, did Chief Ramsey, after you communicated to him the basis for the arrests, did he at any time take any action to cause a cessation of the mass arrests?

- Α No.
- Did he have the authority to do so?
- Α Yes.
 - Did Chief Gainer have the authority to do

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

202-347-3700

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

1	1	
	1	
١.		÷.
	500	1
	1	Ì
	Λ.	- 7

so?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A Yes.

To this day, setting aside what happened out on the scene and may have affected effects as they transpired but to this day, has Chief Ramsey ever expressed disapproval of these mass arrests?

A No.

0 Did Chief Gainer?

No.

Is it the District of Columbia's position Q that these mass arrests were conducted in a manner consistent with policy?

Yes.

And that these arrests were -- would be repeated were the same circumstances to occur again?

MR. KOGER: Calls for speculation.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. MESSINEO: Let's take a look at this video now. We are starting at April 15th, 2000 at 3:36:15.

(Video played.)

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE ON VIDEO: Step up on

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

. Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

202-347-3700

2

3

. . 5

6

7

-8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

conduct of the persons while they were in the arrest zone convey to you that there were individuals who were leaders or organizers of the -- this group?

- Not that I recall.
- Were there persons with bull horns that Q revealed themselves by their conduct and use of bull horns to appear to be leaders or organizers?
- There were people with bull horns but nothing that would indicate that they were organizers.
- What, if anything, would draw your attention to an individual as an organizer/leader, and I'm asking you to answer this personally based on your many years of experience with protests.

A lot of the times they will dress differently. For me, speaking of me, it will occur -- I'll know who the leaders of these organized groups are because during the permit process I will meet with them and we will figure out how to -- how to make this thing work. So I will become personally involved that way. Other demonstrations that I get involved in, I will seek them out. Sometimes I'm

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage

202-347-3700

800-336-6646



2

3

4

. 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

question as to be independent specifically of the last snippet or to encompass facts other than reflected in the last video tape. BY MR. MESSINEO: Q The inquiry is as to the District of Columbia's position based on all the information available to it. Ask the question again, please. Was the demonstration and march that ultimately ended up in the mass arrests on April 15th, 2000, a peaceful demonstration? There was no violence involved in that Α demonstration. Was there vandalism? Q Α Not that I am aware of. Was there assaults against police officers? 0 Α No. (Video played.) MR. BECKER: -- police and all of the media who are witnessing to remember that in 1970 in the justice department when there was big demonstrations during the Vietnam War, they did the same thing.

2

3

.5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

. 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

were arrested?

A We are speaking specifically of this group or are we speaking of general instructions given to unit commanders that day? Because if you are speaking -- then I have to retract my answer if we are talking specific to this group.

Q We are talking about specific to this group?

A Then please restate that question and the answer that I gave in response to the question, I misunderstood your question.

Q Were unit commanders instructed -- this is in connection with the April 15th, 2000 mass arrests -- were unit commanders instructed to issue warnings to the crowd to disperse?

A No.

Q Was there consideration as to whether to issue warnings to the crowd to disperse?

A Repeat that, please.

Q Did the District or the MPD consider whether to issue warnings to the crowd to disperse?

A No.

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

202-347-3700

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

410-684-2550

	why were no warnings to disperse issued?
2	A There was no reason to disperse the crowd.
3	Q You favored arrest over dispersal?
4	A No.
, 5	Q Under what circumstances would a crowd be
6	dispersed and assembled?
7	A If the crowd was static and it involved a
8	static demonstration, that would require a dispersal.
9	Q This was not a static demonstration?
10	A No. No, this was not a static
11	demonstration.
12	Q Was it concentrated in a relatively small
13	area?
14	A No.
15	Q It was expanded over what breadth of area?
16	A This demonstration lasted over 20 blocks.
17	Q Well, there are some demonstrations in the
18	District of Columbia that are sufficiently large that
19	actually the procession or the march spans itself 20
20	blocks; is that accurate?
21	A Yes.
22	Q This was not such a demonstration; correct?

19325 VLW		
	1	
	2	:
•	3	
	4	
	. 5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	i
	10	
	11	
	12	
	13	
	14	
	1.5.	
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	- 11	

21

22

1

A	Correct	
-	COLLECE	

- This demonstration, in terms of the amount of space that it -- it was concentrated in, was limited to about one block; isn't that correct?
 - Α No.
 - How many blocks?
 - At points up to five.
- The period between the time it -- when you -- when you reached it around 19th Street and the arrests, what was the largest area over which the demonstration was concentrated or not so concentrated, as it may be?
- When I first observed it, it was probably Α two-and-a-half to three blocks in length.
- And at the time that you were giving directives to people to get out of the street down between 21st and 20th, what was the concentration or lack of concentration of the demonstration at that time?
- It was essentially spread out everywhere between about 19th and I through 21st -- between 21st and 22nd and Penn.

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

202-347-3700

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

were off the record so I'm not being -- I'm actually 1 not being flippant saying that. 2 3 And I'm not trying to be flip in my answers 4 either. .5 There is no -- I think both of us are in Q agreement that there is a lack of flipness on either 6 7 side of the table. MR. KOGER: There is a lack of what? 8 9 MR. MESSINEO: Flipness. 10 THE WITNESS: 11 So we agree on something else. 12 BY MR. MESSINEO: 13 But, genuinely, I am -- it is a question that I need to have answered. I want you to be --14 15 Can I have a second to consult with Tom? 16 Yes. 17 MR. KOGER: That would be Mr. Koger on the 18 record. 19 THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry. 20 (Recess.) 21 MR. KOGER: The representation to be made is that we have not identified and our documents do 22

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

202-347-3700

.5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

not identify to us any arresting officers from the April 2000 mass arrests and, accordingly, at this point are unprepared to present any for deposition next week as intended. Should that change, I will notify all counsel immediately but I don't know how to change that at this status.

BY MR. MESSINEO:

- Resuming to what may be -- may be the end -- I can't always anticipate your answers and so when I make my time estimates, sometimes I'm off.
 - I understand. Α
- My last question to you was is it the District of Columbia's position that no element of this Manual for Mass Demonstrations and Responding to Civil Disturbances would encompass or pertain to the mass arrests that were engaged in on April 15th, 2000?
 - That is not correct.
- Okay: What elements of this manual encompass those mass arrests?
- In reviewing the table of contents of the manual and the limited documentation that's in front-

ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

202-347-3700

Nationwide Coverage 800-336-6646

17

18

• 19

20

21

22