



Although Judge Bates recognized that “*Boumediene* remains, at least for now, controlling precedent,” he ultimately found that “the decision does not *definitively* resolve the jurisdictional questions” at issue in *Maqaleh*, Slip Op. at 3 (emphasis in the original), because:

1. there “may ultimately be significant difference between the Guantanamo detainees and those confined at Bagram;” and
2. even if the MCA eliminates federal jurisdiction for statutory habeas petitions, “the Court will still need to address petitioner’s contention that he enjoys a constitutional or common-law right to habeas review.”

*Id.* at 2.

Finally, Judge Bates held that the Court retains “both the authority to determine its own jurisdiction, and the related power to . . . make orders to preserve the existing conditions and the subject of the petition.” *Id.* at 3 (internal citations omitted).

Respectfully submitted,

**/s/ A. Katherine Toomey**

Eric L. Lewis  
D.C. Bar No. 394643  
Dwight P. Bostwick  
D.C. Bar No. 427758  
A. Katherine Toomey  
D.C. Bar No. 426658  
Baach, Robinson & Lewis PLLC  
1201 F Street, NW, Suite 500  
Washington, DC 20004  
Tel: (202) 833-8900  
Fax: (202) 466-5738

**/s/ Tina Foster**

Tina Foster  
International Justice Network  
P.O. Box 610119  
Bayside, NY 11361-0119  
Tel. (917) 442 9580  
Fax. (917) 591 3353

Dated: July 24, 2007