## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION,

Plaintiff,

V.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 07-0656 (JDB)

## **ORDER**

Before the Court is [40] the Department of Justice's motion for summary judgment. The Electronic Frontier Foundation has indicated that it does not oppose that motion, with one exception. See Pl.'s Mem. in Supp. of Cross-Motion for Summ. J. ("Pl.'s Mem.") [Docket Entry 43], at 1. That exception is the Department's "continued withholding of Intelligence Oversight Board Case Numbers" under exemption two of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(2). Id. The Foundation cross-moves for partial summary judgment on that issue. But the Department is not withholding Intelligence Oversight Board Case Numbers under exemption two. Indeed, in its own motion for summary judgment, the Department asserted that it was no longer withholding the Case Numbers at all, and would be releasing that information to the Foundation. See Def.'s Mem. in Supp. of Mot. for Summ. J. [Docket Entry 40], at 17 n.12. And

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In its cross-motion for summary judgment, the Foundation indicated that it believed the Department of Justice's decision to release the Intelligence Oversight Board Case Numbers extended to all documents, not only those "contained in the representative sample of documents designated by the parties." Pl.'s Mem. at 4. The Department concurred in this assessment. <u>See</u> Def.'s Reply in Supp. of Mot. for Summ. J. [Docket Entry 45], at 2; <u>see also Bonner v. Dep't of State</u>, 928 F.2d 1148, 1152 (D.C. Cir. 1991) (documents in a representative sample "count not

the Department has now done so. See Def.'s Status Report [Docket Entry 49], at 1 ("DOJ hereby

reports that it has completed this review and released to plaintiff Electronic Frontier Foundation.

. . [Intelligence Oversight Board] Case Numbers . . . . "). Because the Department voluntarily

agreed to release the Case Numbers before the Foundation filed its cross-motion for partial

summary judgment requesting the same action, there is no basis on which the grant the

Foundation's cross-motion. Accordingly, it is hereby

**ORDERED** that the Department of Justice's motion for summary judgment is

**GRANTED**; it is further

**ORDERED** that the Electronic Frontier Foundation's cross-motion for partial summary

judgment is **DENIED** as moot; and it is further

**ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED**.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ John D. Bates JOHN D. BATES United States District Judge

Dated: May 25, 2010

simply for themselves, but for presumably similar non-sample documents still withheld").