

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

_____	)	
MICHAEL FENWICK,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Civil Action No. 07-2330 (PLF)
	)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, <u>et al.</u> ,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On January 21, 2018, plaintiff Michael Fenwick filed a motion to compel discovery. A few days later, at a status conference on January 25, 2018, the Court entered a stay in this case because of plaintiff’s lawyer’s representations regarding the status of related proceedings in the Superior Court of the District of Columbia. The stay the Court entered, however, excluded discovery from its ambit and permitted continued briefing with respect to the motion to compel. At the same time, the Court encouraged counsel to attempt to resolve the disputed discovery issues and perhaps moot the matters raised in the motion. Counsel did confer over a period of months, and the Court granted multiple extensions of the briefing schedule to accommodate these discussions. The defendants filed an opposition to plaintiff’s motion to compel on May 23, 2018, and plaintiff filed a reply on June 25, 2018. That reply makes clear that much progress has been made in narrowing the discovery disputes at issue. As a result, the Court sees no need to resolve the pending motion to compel at this time, but will discuss and

resolve any remaining disputes at the status conference scheduled for July 23, 2018.

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that counsel shall continue to attempt to resolve their remaining discovery disputes between now and July 23, 2018; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that counsel shall be prepared to identify and discuss any matters still at issue at the status conference at 9:30 a.m. on July 23, 2018.

SO ORDERED.

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
PAUL L. FRIEDMAN  
United States District Judge

DATE: June 28, 2018