I				
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA			
3	PATRICK SCOTT BAKER, ET AL Docket No. CA 03-749 Plaintiff,			
4	v. Washington, D.C. May 4, 2010			
5	9:30 a.m.			
6	GREAT SOCIALIST PEOPLES OF LIBYAN ARAB JAMAHIRYA, ET AL Defendant.			
7	JACKIE PFLUG, Docket No. CA 08-505			
8	Plaintiff,			
9	GREAT SOCIALIST PEOPLES OF LIBYAN ARAB JAMAHIRYA, ET AL			
10	Defendant.			
11	CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYDS Docket No. CA 06-731 LONDON, ET AL CA 08-504			
12	Plaintiff, CONSOLIDATED CASE			
13	GREAT SOCIALIST PEOPLES OF LIBYAN ARAB JAMAHIRYA, ET AL			
14	Defendant.			
15	EVIDENTIARY HEARING			
16	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN M. FACCIOLA UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
17	APPEARANCES:			
18	For the Plaintiff: HEIDEMAN NUDELMAN & KALIK, P.C. By: Mr. Richard D. Heideman			
19	Ms. Tracy R. Kalik Noel J. Nudelman			
20	1146 19th Street, N.W. 5th Floor			
21	Washington, D.C. 20036 202.463.1818			
22	rdheideman@hnklaw.com trkalik@hnklaw.com			
23	njnudelman@hnklaw.com			
24				
25				

1							
1	APPEARANCES: (CONT'D.)						
2		PERLES LAW F					
3			ard B. M	MacAllister			
4		1146 19th Street, N.W. 5th Floor					
5		Washington, D.C. 20036 202.955.9055					
6		sperles@perleslaw.com emacallister@perleslaw.com					
7	Court Reporter:	Catalina Kerr, RPR, CRR					
8		Room 6509					
9		Washington, D.C. 20001 202.354.3258					
10		catykerr@msn	.COM				
11	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript						
12	produced by computer.	produced by computer.					
13	*-*-*						
	CONTENTS						
14		C O N T E N	I T S				
14 15	COURT REPORTER'S CERT				239		
	COURT REPORTER'S CERT			REDIRECT	239 <u>RECROSS</u>		
15	WITNESSES: Michelle Holbrook	TIFICATE <u>DIRECT</u>					
15 16	WITNESSES: Michelle Holbrook By Mr. Heideman	CIFICATE					
15 16 17	WITNESSES: Michelle Holbrook	TIFICATE <u>DIRECT</u>					
15 16 17 18	WITNESSES: Michelle Holbrook By Mr. Heideman Valerie Peterson By Mr. Heideman Patrick Baker	DIRECT 5 22					
15 16 17 18	WITNESSES: Michelle Holbrook By Mr. Heideman Valerie Peterson By Mr. Heideman	TIFICATE <u>DIRECT</u> 5					
15 16 17 18 19 20	WITNESSES: Michelle Holbrook By Mr. Heideman Valerie Peterson By Mr. Heideman Patrick Baker By Mr. Heideman	DIRECT 5 22 32					
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WITNESSES: Michelle Holbrook By Mr. Heideman Valerie Peterson By Mr. Heideman Patrick Baker By Mr. Heideman By The Court Colonel Walter Lang	DIRECT 5 22 32 103					
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WITNESSES: Michelle Holbrook By Mr. Heideman Valerie Peterson By Mr. Heideman Patrick Baker By Mr. Heideman By The Court Colonel Walter Lang By Mr. Heideman	DIRECT 5 22 32 103					

1		C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S C-O-N-T-I-N-	-II-F-D	
2	EXHIBITS:	DESCRIPTION	OFFERED	ADMITTED
3	PLF. 20	Michelle Holbrook Birth Cert.	8	8
4	PLF. 21	Michelle Holbrook Passport Copy	9	9
5	PLF. 24	Vernon Peterson Birth Cert.	25	25
6	PLF. 25	Vernon Peterson Passport	26	26
7	PLF. 26	Vernon Peterson Death Cert.	24	24
8	PLF. 27	Cert./Letter of Qualif. Naming Valerie Peterson as Executor of	27	27
9		the Estate of Vernon Peterson		
10	PLF. 28	Patrick Baker Birth Cert.	33	33
11	PLF. 29	Patrick Baker Passport Copy	35	35
12	PLF. 30	Patrick Baker Medical Record from St. Luke's Hospital	83	83
13 14	PLF. 31	Affidavit of Dr. Angelo J. Psai	la 99	99
15	PLF. 32	CV of Dr. Gary K. Stimac	100	100
16	PLF. 33	Report of Dr. Gary K. Stimac	102	102
17	PLF. 34	Trial Trans. of Omar Ali Rezaq	180	180
18	PLF. 35	Affidavit of Omar Ali Rezaq CV of W. Patrick Lang Report of W. Patrick Lang	182	182
19	PLF. 39	CV of W. Patrick Lang	110	110
19 20	PLF. 40		187	187
21		U.S. Dept of State Sponsors of Terrorism List Reflecting Syria		139,146
22		U.S. Dept of State Patterns of Global Terrorism: 1985		231
24	PLF. 43	U.S. Dept of State, 1/19/10 Foreign Terrorist Org. List 1982 Map of Lebanon	146	146
25	PLF. 44	1982 Map of Lebanon	147	147

1		C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S $C-O-N-T-E-I$	N-T-S	
2	EXHIBITS:	DESCRIPTION	OFFERED	ADMITTED
3	PLF. 45	Photo of Interior of EgyptAir Flight 648 Afther the Egyptian	172	172
4		Commando Storming		
5 6	PLF. 46	U.S. Dept of State 2/89 Fact Sheet - Abu Nidal Organization	167	167
7	PLF. 47	12/86 U.S. Dep of State White Paper: Syrian Support for International Terrorism: 83-86	165	165
8	PLF. 49	CV of Dr. Marius Deeb, Ph.D.	196	196
10	PLF. 50	Report of Dr. Marius Deeb, Ph.D	. 237	237
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-T-N-G-S 2 (9:30 P.M.; OPEN COURT.) 3 THE DEPUTY CLERK: This is Civil Case 03-749 and 4 Civil Case 08-505 and Civil Case 06-731 and 08-504. Patrick 5 Scott Baker, et al, Jackie Pflug, Certain Underwriters at 6 Lloyds London, et al versus Great Socialist Peoples of Libyan 7 Arab Jamahiriya, et al. Richard Heideman, Ed MacAllister 8 Tracy Kalik and Noel Nudelman for the Plaintiff. This is an 9 evidentiary hearing. 10 THE COURT: Good morning. 11 MR. HEIDEMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Please call your next witness. 13 MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you very much. The Plaintiffs 14 call Michelle Holbrook. 15 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Raise your right hand, ma'am. (WITNESS SWORN BY THE DEPUTY CLERK.) 16 17 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated. 18 MICHELLE HOLBROOK, 19 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. HEIDEMAN: 22 Good morning. 23 Good morning. Α 24 I know you have a very soft voice and you're a very soft person, so I'm going to ask you to speak, please, loudly,

- clearly and slowly and right into the microphone that's in

 front of you. It's a very sensitive microphone. It will pick

 up everything that you say, and that's important so that the

 judge can hear you and so the court reporter cannot only hear

 what you say seated here in the witness chair but also so that

 her equipment through the microphone can hear what you say,
 - So, if I ask you a question, you need to answer "yes" or "no" or give whatever explanation you'd like. Do you understand?
- 11 A Yes.

okay.

7

8

9

- 12 Q Thank you. I'm going to ask you again to speak a little
 13 louder, and if I ask you that through your testimony, it's not
 14 to be mean, it's just to make sure that the system can hear
 15 you and the Court can hear you. Do you understand?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Thank you very much. Thank you for being here today. I
 18 know it's hard for you; is that correct?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 | Q Please state to the Court your full name.
- 21 A Michelle Yvonne Holbrook.
- 22 \mathbb{Q} And is Yvonne spelled Y-v-o-n-n-e?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q And does Holbrook have an "e" at the end of it?
- 25 A No, H-o-l-b-r-o-o-k.

- 1 Q Thank you. And Michelle, where do you live?
- 2 A In Othello, Washington.
- 3 Q Can you spell your city for the court reporter, please.
- 4 A It's O-t-h-e-l-l-o.
- 5 Q And is that the state of Washington?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q So, did you travel here especially to appear at this
- 8 trial on behalf of your sister Scarlett Rogenkamp and as a
- 9 Plaintiff in your own name?
- 10 A I did.
- 11 Q Thank you. How long have you lived in Othello,
- 12 Washington?
- 13 A Not very long, about a year-and-a-half.
- 14 Q And prior to that, where did you live?
- 15 A I lived in Wenatchee, Washington.
- 16 Q Would you spell that for the court reporter.
- 17 A W-e-n-a-t-c-h-e-e.
- 18 Q Would you give to the court reporter your exact address
- 19 in Othello, Washington.
- 20 A 796 South McKinney, M-c-K-i-n-n-e-y, Road.
- 22 exact address where you lived in the city prior to moving to
- 23 Othello, Washington, if you remember it. If you're not sure,
- 24 it's all right.
- 25 A Oh, my gosh, I can't remember.

- Q That's fine. Thank you. Let me ask you, Michelle, where were you born?
- A Fort Monroe, Virginia -- Hampton, Virginia. Fort Monroe is the Army base in Hampton.
 - Q Thank you very much. Let me hand you what has been marked for identification as Plaintiff's Exhibit 20 and ask if you can identify that document to the Court.
- 8 A Yes, this is my birth certificate.
- 9 Q And does it indicate the name "Michelle Yvonne Peterson"?
- 10 A Yes.

6

- 11 Q And was that your maiden name before you became Holbrook?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q And according to the birth certificate, it indicates that your mother was Hetty Everdina Messink. I've mispronounced
- 15 that many times, and I apologize. How is it pronounced?
- 16 A Messink.
- 17 Q Thank you. And is that correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And it indicates your father is Vernon Willfred Peterson;
- 20 is that correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- MR. HEIDEMAN: At this time, Your Honor, we will move Exhibit 20 into evidence.
- 24 THE COURT: Be admitted. Thank you.
- 25 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 20 ADMITTED.)

- 1 MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you very much.
- Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) And Michelle, would you tell the Court,
- 3 having been born in the United States as a U.S. citizen, have
- 4 you continually, throughout your life, remained a U.S. citizen?
- 5 A Yes, I have.
- 6 Q Let me hand you what has been marked as Plaintiff's
- 7 Exhibit 21 and ask if you can identify to the Court that
- 8 document.
- 9 A That is my United States passport.
- 10 Q And it indicates the name there of "Michelle Yvonne
- 11 | Holbrook," and is that you?
- 12 A Yes, it is.
- 13 Q Thank you.
- MR. HEIDEMAN: Plaintiffs will move Exhibit 21 into
- 15 evidence, Your Honor.
- 16 THE COURT: It will be admitted.
- 17 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 21 ADMITTED.)
- 18 MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you very much.
- 19 Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) Michelle, please tell the Court again,
- 20 even though we went over your birth certificate, where were you
- 21 born?
- 22 A Hampton, Virginia.
- 23 Q And how long did you live in Hampton, Virginia?
- 24 A I believe till I was 2 or 3.
- 25 Q Now, when you were born, were you the youngest of five

- children born to your mother Hetty and your father Vernon?
- 2 A Yes, I was.
- 3 Q And tell the Court who are your older brothers and
- 4 sisters in order of who was the oldest and then coming down to
- 5 you as the youngest.
- 6 A Scarlett, Patricia, Kathy, Paul and then me.
- 7 Q And Scarlett is Scarlett Rogenkamp who was murdered as
- 8 you heard yesterday and as you well know; is that correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q How -- can you keep your voice up and answer?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Thank you. I know that's hard for you. Tell the Court
- 13 how old was Scarlett when you were born.
- 14 A She was born March 26. I was born September 26. We were
- 15 exactly 18-and-a-half years to the day apart, so she was
- 16 18-and-a-half. No. Yeah.
- 17 Q She was 18-and-a-half when you were born?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And you're 18-and-a-half years apart to the day?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Thank you.
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q So she was really your big sister?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Did you have a warm relationship?

- A From what I recall. I mean, she was my sister and I loved her, but of course, we were definitely on two different planes. Well, yeah.
 - Q So, did you have occasion, throughout her life, for the two of you to spend time together, to be together, to talk with one another by telephone?
- A Oh, yeah. I mean, I would go visit her at her job when she worked at -- I think it was in Holland when she worked at the college there as a secretary and she would let me come and type on her typewriter, and I remember her coming out when I lived in Thailand to visit, as did my sister Pat, and just walking on the beach and riding horses and just being in the sun and having fun.
- Q Do you have good memories of your sister Scarlett?
- 15 A Oh, yeah. She and I were alot alike.
- 16 Q And do you miss her?
- 17 A Very much.

5

- Q Do you feel a sense of loss as a result of her having been murdered?
- 20 A Oh, yes. I never got to know her.
- 22 A I almost feel like my whole life might have been
- 23 different.
- 24 Q Can you repeat that so the Court can hear.
- 25 A I feel like maybe my whole life might have been

- different.
- 2 Q Scarlett was 38 when she was murdered; is that correct?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And that would have meant you were about 20?
- 5 A I believe I had just turned 21.
- Q Okay. And if you were to pick one word for the Court
 about how you felt about Scarlett and your relationship and
- 8 how you feel about having lost -- lost her through the bullet
- 9 of a hijacker, what would be the one word?
- 10 A The one word about how I felt after it happened you mean?
- 11 Q Yes.
- 12 A I don't know that I can do one word. I guess numb at
- 13 | first. It's just you don't believe it. I watched CNN for
- 14 hours with her passport picture being flashed on the screen.
- 15 I didn't go to work for two days. At the time I worked at the
- 16 Agency for International Development, and I don't know, I just
- 17 couldn't believe it. That's all I did was watch TV.
- 18 Q The one word I thought I heard you say was "numb"; is
- 19 | that correct?
- 20 A Yes, initially.
- 21 Q Let's step back for just a quick minute. You were born
- 22 in Hampton, Virginia. Where did you grow up and where did you
- 23 go to school?
- 24 A Oh, my goodness. I moved to Holland when I was about 2
- 25 \parallel or 3, I'm not sure, and then when I was 5 we moved to Bangkok,

- Thailand, and I lived there till I was 11. And then sixth
 grade when I was 12, I went to a boarding school in Tacoma,
 Washington for a year. Then in seventh and eighth grade I was
- 5 Q In where, I'm sorry?

in Dhaka, Bangladesh.

- 6 A Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- 7 Q Bangladesh?

- 8 A Bangladesh. Then for high school I was in Oceanside,
- 9 California, and then I went to college in Wilksburg,
- 10 Pennsylvania for two years but unfortunately was not
- 11 concentrating on my studies and did not get a degree and my
- 12 dad said he was not going to pay for anymore school, so I
- 13 moved to Washington, D.C. and moved in with my dad and he
- 14 helped me get a job at the Agency for International
- 15 Development.
- And then a few years -- no, a year later is when my sister was -- I like to use the word "executed."
- 18 Q It's an accurate word. Are your parents still alive?
- 19 A No.
- Q You said you moved in with your dad here in Washington,
- 21 D.C.?
- 22 A Yes. For a short time I lived actually with my dad and
- 23 Valerie.
- Q And that's Valerie Peterson who will testify next; is
- 25 that correct?

A Yes.

was doing.

- Q Thank you. Now, what was the work of your father? The Court's already heard he was in the U.S. military, but what was the work, to the extent that you knew, of your father and
- 5 did that cause the family to move around a lot?
 - A I exactly don't know what he did after he got out of the Army. I think my sister and I chatted and I think she told me that he worked for some moving company and just couldn't stand that. And then when we moved to Holland, I don't know what he
 - Again, I think I was 3. But when I worked with him in the same office building when he got me that job, he worked for the Department of Population, I think it was called, and he distributed birth control to all the underdeveloped countries.
 - Q So, did he also work for the U.S. Agency for International Development?
 - A Yeah. We worked in the same building on different floors.
 - Q And what do you recall about the time period when you lived with your dad and you were here in Washington and you learned about the hijacking? You started to tell the Court about watching CNN and looking at her passport, Scarlett's passport.
 - A I actually had moved out on my own at the time of the

- hijacking. I had my own apartment. What was the question
 again?
 - Q How did you learn about your sister being hijacked on EgyptAir Flight 648 and then executed?
 - A Wow. Well, my dad used to call me every Sunday to make sure that I wasn't spending too much money and checking on my credit card balance and stuff, and this Sunday morning he called very early and that's the first thing that went through my head is, "Oh, my gosh, why is the phone ringing this early," and it was my dad.
 - And I don't know if I put this in my head or if this is really what he said, but I think first words he said to me is -- and I don't know if he said "your sister has been executed" or "your sister may have been executed," but those were the first words out of his mouth, and I -- I mean, you know that someone isn't going to joke about something like that, but I didn't believe it. I just thought -- I just, disbelief.
 - Q What did you do next?
- 20 A I have no idea.
- 21 Q You went numb?

A I really don't know. I remember just -- I don't know
what I did that day, but like I said, the next couple of days
was just CNN, CNN, CNN, because at that point I truly don't
know if they knew that it was her yet.

- Q So you sat before the TV, you watched CNN, you saw your
- 2 sister's passport, you saw everything about the hijacking.
- 3 How did you feel about your sister at the time?
- 4 A You mean knowing that this may have happened to her?
- 5 Q Yes.
- 6 A I don't know. It's just really weird seeing someone in
- 7 your family just all over the news, and I -- I don't know how
- 8 I felt. I mean, it's been long ago and I probably just had
- 9 all kinds of different emotions.
- 10 Q Was it a shock?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Were you upset?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 0 Was it hard to watch?
- 15 A Yes, yes.
- 16 Q How did you feel when you saw your sister's passport on
- 17 CNN, about the stories on the hijacking?
- 18 A Well, the first thing is how gorgeous she was and how she
- 19 was having this great life overseas, and I think she had just
- 20 met a new man that she was talking about and she was
- 21 adventurous enough to get on a plane by herself to go to Egypt
- 22 to see the pyramids is where I think she was going, and I just
- 23 | think it's pretty sad what happened to her.
- Q What do you remember about your sister?
- 25 A She was the only tall skinny one in the family, and my

- 1 mom would always say that, you know. I always felt like I
- 2 should have been taller and skinnier, but she was beautiful,
- 3 she was emotional, she was sensitive, she was daring, she was
- 4 | a little bit neurotic, I think, but that made her her, that
- 5 made her special, and I loved her.
- Q What was Scarlett's career, as you recall, where did she
- 7 work?
- 8 A She worked for the Air Force as a civilian, and she had
- 9 some big fancy title, and I honestly don't really know what
- 10 that job is, but it was an industrial property management
- 11 specialist.
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 | Q Did you see her when she was in California?
- 15 A Oh, yeah. That's where I learned how to ride a bike was
- 16 at her place on the beach, in Hermosa Beach.
- 17 Q Did you see her when she was stationed in Greece?
- 18 A No, I did not.
- 20 together, if I remember correctly, when you lived in Thailand?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And you saw her when she lived in Holland; is that
- 23 correct?
- 24 A We both lived there.
- 25 Q Both lived there?

- A Yeah.
- 2 Q All right. And did you -- what kind of communication did
- 3 you have with Scarlett when she was stationed in California
- 4 and subsequently in Athens before the hijacking?
- 5 A Well, when I was in California and she was in California,
- 6 you know, she would call and my mom would put me on the phone
- 7 with her, and it wasn't a daily thing, I don't think, but
- 8 definitely we would talk once a week.
- 9 And then when she was in Greece, I mean, this was
- 10 way before cell phone days, it wasn't like people were, you
- 11 | know, making international phone calls, so it was more
- 12 postcards and cards and she would send pictures of her
- 13 adventures and stuff like that.
- 14 Q Were you glad to get those cards from her?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Did they mean a lot to you?
- 17 A Probably still have some.
- 18 Q Regarding your dad who you said called you that Sunday
- 19 morning to tell you about the hijacking, what were your
- 20 observations about how your dad suffered over Scarlett having
- 21 been hijacked and executed?
- 22 A My dad was a pretty emotional guy. He would cry. I saw
- 23 him cry. I think he got so much into the logistics of taking
- 24 care of everything that had to be taken care of that that was
- 25 kind of his way of dealing with it. He just got busy. You

- 1 know, we would get all these condolence cards and he was busy
 2 sending thank you notes for the condolence cards and --
- 3 Q Did you attend your sister's funeral?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Where was it?
- 6 A In Oceanside, California.
- 8 A On a plane.
- 9 Q How did it feel to get on an airplane after knowing your 10 sister had been hijacked?
- A You know, I wasn't so fearful. What has really affected
 me is I always thought I wanted to go back to Thailand because
 I loved it there so much. I think I would be petrified to get
 on an international flight.
 - You know, I don't really think about it in the states. I mean, I have to admit sometimes if -- and this might sound terrible -- but if there is someone sitting close to me at the same gate getting ready to go on the plane that looks Middle Eastern, that kind of freaks me out.
- Q Did the whole family gather together in California for the funeral?
- 22 A Yes.

16

17

18

- 23 Q What do you remember about the funeral?
- A Lots of press. We chose not to talk to the press. We kind of wanted to keep it more of a intimate thing. Lots of

- crying; lots of hand holding; it was a beautiful day.
- 2 Q Was your mom at the funeral?
- 3 A Yes.
- \square Q Was your dad at the funeral?
- 5 A Yes.

- Q Since they are both gone and they can't tell the Court in their own words, except what they did in testimony during their lifetimes, what were your observations about how the murder of your sister affected your mom and affected your dad, both of whom through their estates are Plaintiffs in this case? So, my question is, what were your observations about how the murder of your sister affected your mom, and separately, affected your dad?
- A My mom was a mess. I hope this doesn't sound repetitive since I was in the courtroom yesterday, but my mom and Scarlett were very close. They did so much together. They both loved opera and the arts, and it was stuff that didn't seem to interest the other kids, so they were like two peas in a pod.

And when she lost Scarlett, I feel like she -- it's almost like she just started being happy staying in her house, and she would just piddle around the house and garden and read, but she didn't have that same -- I don't know, she just seemed to lose her sense of adventure, I think, that maybe she might have gotten from Scarlett's sense of adventure.

Did your mom ever get over Scarlett's murder? 2 Α Absolutely not. 3 What about your dad? Well, I know he did not get over her murder. I mean, 4 5 how? How do you get over something like that? 6 Have you gotten over Scarlett's murder? Q 7 Obviously not. 8 How has it affected your life? 9 I'm probably more angry of the person than I would have 10 been, a little bitter, a little resentful. 11 Resentful because? 12 Because that guy had no right to take her life from us. 13 She had a big life. She wasn't just some, you know, 14 Dorito-eating couch potato. She lived life. I mean, that's 15 what makes it even sadder is that she really, really lived. 16 Thank you. Q 17 Α Thank you. 18 THE COURT: You may call your next witness. 19 MR. HEIDEMAN: Valerie Peterson. 20 THE COURT: Ms. Valerie Peterson, please. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Raise your right hand, ma'am. 21 22 (WITNESS SWORN BY THE DEPUTY CLERK.) 23 VALERIE PETERSON, 24 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

```
BY MR. HEIDEMAN:
          Would you state your name, please, to the Court.
2
3
          Valerie Peterson.
      Α
          And is Valerie, V-a-l-e-r-i-e?
 4
5
          Yeah.
      Α
6
          Ms. Peterson, what is your address today?
7
          10121 Spinning Wheel Court in Fairfax, Virginia.
8
          And how long have you lived there?
9
      Α
          Since 1990.
10
          Where were you born?
          Saigon, Vietnam.
11
      Α
12
          And what was your date of birth?
          9/11/35.
13
      Α
14
          9/11?
15
      Α
          Yeah.
          And the year?
16
17
          '35.
      Α
18
          Thank you. Were you born a United States citizen?
19
      Α
          No.
20
          Are you currently a United States citizen?
21
      Α
          Yes.
22
          When were you naturalized?
23
      Α
          1981.
24
          And are you -- have you been a United States citizen
```

continually -- "continuously" is the word, from 1981 until

- 1 now? Have you remained a United States citizen?
- 2 A Yeah, yeah.
- 3 Q Thank you. And do you hold a United States passport?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q Were you and Vernon Peterson married?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q On what date did you get married?
- 8 A 31st of May, 1985.
- 9 Q So you and Mr. Peterson married on May 31, 1985, which
- 10 | would have been prior to the time that Scarlett Rogenkamp, his
- 11 daughter, was murdered and executed on the hijacked airplane;
- 12 | is that correct?
- 13 A Yeah.
- 14 | Q Is your husband still alive?
- 15 A No, he passed away.
- 16 Q And when did he die?
- 17 A March 19, 1999.
- 18 Q March 17, 1999?
- 19 A March 17, yeah.
- 20 Q All right. So Vern Peterson, your husband, and you
- 21 married on May 31, 1985, and he died on March 17 --
- 22 A 1999.
- 23 Q -- 1999; is that correct?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Let me hand you what has been marked as Plaintiff's

- 1 Exhibit 26 and ask if you can identify this document for the
- 2 Court being the death certificate of your husband Vernon
- 3 Peterson. Is that your husband's death certificate?
- 4 A Yes.
- Q And it says "Vernon Willfred Peterson" on the top line;
- 6 is that correct?
- 7 A Yes, correct.
- 8 Q And the second line, it indicates his date of birth was
- 9 August 7, 1924 and date of death was March 17, 1999; is that
- 10 correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- MR. HEIDEMAN: We'll move at this time Exhibit 26
- 13 into evidence, Your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: It will be granted.
- 15 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 26 ADMITTED.)
- MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you.
- 17 Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) Now, have you been named by the court
- 18 in Virginia or some other state as the executor of your husband
- 19 Vernon Peterson's estate?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And can you tell the Court which state court has named
- 22 you the executor of your husband's estate?
- 23 A Fairfax, Virginia.
- 24 Q Let me hand you what has been marked as Plaintiff's
- 25 Exhibit 27 and ask if you can identify this document as a copy

of the court-issued appointment of you, being a letter of qualification that you have been named the executor of the 2 3 estate of Vernon Willfred Peterson, deceased; is that correct? 4 Yes. 5 And that was done on March 10, 2003, correct? 6 Α Yes. 7 And do you remain the executor of that estate? 8 Α Yes. 9 Thank you very much. 10 Now, you've already testified that your husband's 11 date of birth was August 7, 1924; is that correct? 12 Α Yes. 13 And let me hand you what has been marked as Plaintiff's 14 Exhibit 24 and ask if you can identify this document as being 15 the birth certificate of your husband Vernon Peterson. Yes. 16 Α 17 And does it indicate there that your husband Vernon 18 Peterson was born on August 7, 1924 in the State of 19 Washington? 20 Α Yes. 21 MR. HEIDEMAN: We'll move Exhibit 24 into evidence 22 at this time, Your Honor. 2.3 THE COURT: It will be admitted. 24 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 24 ADMITTED.)

Thank you.

25

MR. HEIDEMAN:

- Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) Was your husband a United States

 citizen continually from the time of his birth until he passed

 away?
- 4 A Yes.

6

7

8

14

15

16

18

19

- Q And do you -- did you provide to us a copy of his United States passport that he had during his lifetime for the period from 1986 through 1996 being just the one copy that you have; is that correct?
- 9 A Yes.
- Q Now, let me hand you what's been marked as Plaintiff's
 Exhibit 25 and ask if you can identify that document as being
 the passport of your husband Vern Peterson.
- 13 A Yes.
 - Q Thank you. And what nationality does it indicate was your husband's nationality? United States of America; is that correct?
- 17 A U.S.A., yeah.
 - MR. HEIDEMAN: And I would now move exhibit -- I believe I already did Exhibit 24. I'll move Exhibit 25 into evidence at this time, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Be admitted.
- 22 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 25 ADMITTED.)
- MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you. And I believe I may have
 failed to move into evidence Exhibit 27 being the letter of
 qualification of Ms. Peterson as executor of the estate of her

husband. 2 THE COURT: If that is so, it will be admitted now. 3 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 27 ADMITTED.) 4 MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you very much. 5 (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) To make it clear, because it is one of 6 the legal requirements, is it true that your husband Vern 7 Peterson, from the time of his birth until the time of his 8 death, was at all times and continuously a citizen of the United 9 States of America? 10 Α Yes. 11 And did he actually work, as has already been testified 12 to by his daughter Michelle, as you heard this morning, did he 13 work for the United States Government? 14 Yes. 15 And as best you know it, was he working for the United States Government at the time that he died? 16 17 Α He retired. 18 He retired from the U.S. Government? 19 Α Yeah. 20 And prior to his retirement from all the time that you knew him, did he work for the United States Government? 21 Yes, yeah. 22 Α 23 Now, you and Vernon Peterson, you've already Thank you. 24 testified, were already married in May of 19' -- 1985,

correct?

- 1 A Yes, yeah.
- 2 Q So you were together as husband and wife when Scarlett
- 3 was murdered; is that correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q And can you tell the Court how -- what your observations
- 6 were about Vernon Peterson's relationship with his daughter
- 7 Scarlett prior to her murder?
- 8 A Very close.
- 9 Q And what did you observe about that closeness?
- 10 A Well, they write letters back and forth.
- 11 0 What else?
- 12 A Send birthday card to Vern.
- Q What else? How else did they -- did he express to you
- 14 his relationship and what did you observe about his
- 15 relationship with his daughter Scarlett?
- 16 A Scarlett said that he's -- she's happy that he's
- 17 | remarried and then have -- that she's happy that he's happy.
- 18 Q And how did Vern express his view of Scarlett to you?
- 19 How did Vern feel about Scarlett?
- 20 A When Scarlett died?
- 21 Q Before she died. How did -- what did you observe about
- 22 how he felt about his daughter?
- 23 A Oh, very close together.
- 24 Q And do you recall how Vernon Peterson learned about
- 25 Scarlett being on EgyptAir Flight 648?

- 1 A State Department. They phone from State Department.
- 2 Q And where were you and Vern living on November 23rd,
- 3 1985?
- 4 A Washington, D.C.
- Q What were your observations about how your husband
- 6 reacted after he learned that Scarlett had been -- was on that
- 7 | airplane?
- 8 A Well, he very sad and he cry.
- 9 Q What were your other observations about how your husband
- 10 reacted to learning that Scarlett was on the airplane?
- 11 A I don't remember.
- 12 Q Tell me, did he ever -- did he learn from the State
- 13 Department that she was on the airplane separate from learning
- 14 that she had actually been executed?
- 15 A I don't know.
- 16 O You don't recall?
- 17 A No, I don't know.
- 18 Q All right. Did he express to you that he wanted to fly
- 19 to Malta after he learned about Scarlett being on the
- 20 | airplane?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q What did he say about that?
- 23 A He say he want to go there to bring the body back, but
- 24 | the State Department advised him not to go.
- 25 Q And after Scarlett's death, how did Vernon act and how

- 1 did it affect him?
- 2 A He was very depressed, he cry, and then he was very sick,
- 3 too.
- 4 Q Was he able to sleep?
- 5 A No, I don't think so, no.
- 6 Q Did Vern go to Scarlett's funeral?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And did he ever discuss with you the funeral?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q What did he tell you about the funeral of Scarlett?
- 11 A Well, he say that it's a good funeral and a lot of people
- 12 | there, a lot of press and dignities.
- 13 Q Dignitaries?
- 14 A Dignitaries, yeah.
- 15 Q What else did he say about the funeral?
- 16 A I don't remember.
- 17 Q What did he express to you about how he felt about
- 18 | Scarlett having been murdered?
- 19 A What did he say? I don't remember.
- 20 Q Did he actually come here to this courthouse and attend
- 21 | the criminal trial in Washington of a Mr. Rezaq, a photograph
- 22 of whom is on Exhibit 3?
- 23 A Yeah. He went every -- every trial he went.
- 24 Q He came to the trial?
- 25 A He came to the trial every time here.

- 1 Q Was it a one-day trial or a multi-day trial?
- 2 A Multi.
- 3 Q And did Vern Peterson come to the trial of the murderer
- 4 of his daughter every single day?
- 5 A Every single day, yeah.
- 6 Q Did he, as you observed it, suffer from his daughter
- 7 having been murdered and did he miss her?
- 8 A Oh, yes, yeah.
- 9 Q Did he ever get over it?
- 10 A No.
- 11 Q Is there anything further you would like to say?
- 12 A He keep talking about Scarlett, yeah.
- 13 Q He kept talking about Scarlett?
- 14 A Yeah.
- 15 Q Did he ever get over Scarlett having been executed on
- 16 | that airplane?
- 17 A No, he never did.
- 18 Q Is there anything further you would like to share with
- 19 the Court?
- 20 A I'm sorry, I don't understand.
- 21 Q Is there anything additional you would like to say to the
- 22 Court today?
- 23 A No.
- Q And as the personal representative of your husband's
- 25 estate, do you ask the Court to award to your husband's estate

```
such sums as the Court deems appropriate?
 2
      Α
          Yeah.
 3
          Thank you very much.
               THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Peterson.
 4
 5
               THE WITNESS: Thank you.
               THE COURT: You may stand down, ma'am.
 6
 7
               Please call your next witness.
 8
               MR. HEIDEMAN: We'll call Patrick Baker to the
 9
     stand.
10
                           Mr. Baker, please.
               THE COURT:
11
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Raise your right hand, sir.
12
               (WITNESS SWORN BY THE DEPUTY CLERK.)
13
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.
14
                           PATRICK SCOTT BAKER,
15
     having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
16
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
17
     BY MR. HEIDEMAN:
18
          Would you state your full name, please, sir.
19
      Α
          Patrick Scott Baker.
20
          And Mr. Baker, what is your current address?
          1124 East Beachview Place, Bellingham, Washington.
21
22
          Can you spell the city for the court reporter.
23
          B-e-l-l-i-n-g-d-o-n.
      Α
24
          How long have you lived there?
```

Sorry, h-a-m. B-e-l-l-i-n-g-h-a-m.

- 1 Q Thank you. Are you nervous?
- 2 A I guess I am a little bit.
- 3 Q Well, you waited a long time for this day, haven't you?
- 4 A I have, yes.
- 5 Q Thank you for coming. You flew in yesterday to be here
- 6 especially today?
- 7 A Yes, I did.
- 8 Q Thank you. And I understand you, because of work, have
- 9 to fly back?
- 10 A Right.
- 11 Q Tell the Court how long you've lived in the State of
- 12 Washington.
- 13 A All my life. I'm 52.
- 14 Q What was your date of birth?
- 15 A July 13th, 1957.
- 16 Q Let me hand you what's been marked as Plaintiff's
- 17 Exhibit 28 and ask if you can identify this document for the
- 18 Court.
- 19 A That's my birth certificate.
- 20 Q I see you had to put your glasses on to see that.
- 21 A I'm 52.
- MR. HEIDEMAN: We'll move Exhibit 28 into evidence
- 23 at this time, Your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Be admitted.
- 25 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 28 ADMITTED.)

- 1 MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you very much.
- 2 Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) And where actually in the state of
- 3 Washington were you born?
- 4 A White Salmon, Washington.
- $5 \parallel Q = I \text{ didn't hear the words in the state of Washington.}$
- 6 A White Salmon.
- 7 Q White Salmon.
- 8 A Yes, like the fish.
- 9 Q Thank you. And are you a United States citizen?
- 10 A Yes, I am.
- 11 Q And have you continuously been a United States citizen
- 12 since the -- from the time of your birth until today?
- 13 A Yes, I have.
- 14 Q And do you have a United States passport?
- 15 A Yes, I do.
- 16 Q Let me hand you what has been marked as Plaintiff's
- 17 Exhibit 29 and ask if you can identify that document for the
- 18 Court.
- 19 A Yes, that's my passport.
- 20 Q And does your passport indicate that your name is Patrick
- 21 Scott Baker and that you were born in Washington, U.S.A., not
- 22 Washington, D.C., but the state of Washington, U.S.A.; is that
- 23 | correct?
- 24 A That's correct.
- MR. HEIDEMAN: At this time, Your Honor, we move

Exhibit 29 into evidence.

you received, education.

8

13

14

15

16

17

- 2 THE COURT: It will be admitted.
- 3 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 29 ADMITTED.)
- 4 MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you.
- Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) Please tell the Court where in the

 State of Washington, since you've already told us you've lived

 there your whole life, where you grew up and the schooling that
- A I grew up in White Salmon all my life in the same house,
 and upon graduation from high school, I went to the University
 of Washington State University in Pullman, Washington for five
 years. I got a degree in biology from --
 - Q Okay. I'm going to ask you, please, although I can hear you, it's important you speak slowly and clearly so that everyone can hear you, especially the court reporter, because some of the words, excuse me, if you don't mind my saying, are sort of so fast that it's hard to get the exact word just like Salmon, White Salmon, S-a-l-m-o-n, correct?
- 19 A Correct.
- 20 Q Now, tell us again what high school you went to.
- 21 A I went to Columbia High School.
- 22 Q And then you went on to which university?
- 23 A Washington State University.
- Q Did you graduate?
- 25 A Yes, I did.

- 1 Q And what degree did you receive?
- 2 A I received a bachelor of science in general biology.
- 3 Q In biology. Did you say "general biology"?
- A Yes, that was the degree, but I had a focus on cell
- 5 biology.
- 6 Q And at the time that you graduated from university --
- 7 Washington State University, how old were you, do you recall,
- 8 and what year was it?
- 9 A I was 22, and then the year 1980.
- 10 Q So five years before the hijacking?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Okay. And did you immediately go on for graduate school?
- 13 A No, I didn't.
- 14 Q Did you have plans to go on for graduate school and get
- 15 additional degrees, master's and potentially a doctorate?
- 16 A I was looking at a master's later on. Doctorate was
- 17 dependent on what I could do for research. My immediate plan
- 18 was first to pay for school, since I was paying my own way.
- 19 But my goal was to work in a lab first and see how it was with
- 20 doing research and then going on to graduate school and
- 21 getting my master's if that all worked out.
- 22 O Did it work out?
- 23 A No, it didn't. No.
- 24 Q Did that hijacking stop you from getting that additional
- 25 degree?

- 1 A I believe it may have, yes. I mean, who can say, really,
- 2 what the future is, but it certainly put a crimp in my
- 3 long-term goals.
- 4 Q I'm going to come back to it, but you took a bullet in
- 5 the head from that hijacker, didn't you?
- 6 A Yes, I did.
- 7 | Q Now, tell me about your family, if you would, before I go
- 8 on to the work that you did after college and before the
- 9 hijacking. What were the names of your parents?
- 10 A Jerry Brian Baker was my father.
- 11 Q Can you repeat it a little slower.
- 12 A Jerry Brian Baker is my father, and Lois Elaine Baker is
- my mother.
- 14 Q And were both of them United States citizens throughout
- 15 | their lives?
- 16 A Yes, they were.
- 17 Q Is your father still alive?
- 18 A Yes, he is.
- 19 O Where does he live?
- 20 A He still lives in White Salmon, Washington as does my
- 21 mother.
- 22 Q So they're both alive, and are they together?
- 23 A Yes, they are.
- 24 Q All right. And they've both been United States citizens
- 25 throughout their life; is that correct?

- 1 A That's correct.
- 2 Q Thank you very much. And how many children were born of
- 3 | the marriage of your parents?
- 4 A Four.
- Q And who were the children, start with the oldest and then
- 6 each one?
- 7 A The oldest was my brother, David Brian Baker, then my
- 8 sister Stacie Ann Baker, and then me, Patrick Scott Baker and
- 9 my younger brother Craig Carnahan Baker, C-a-r-n-a-h-a-n.
- 10 Q Was David Baker born in the United States?
- 11 A Yes, he was.
- 12 0 Is he still alive?
- 13 A No, he's not.
- 14 0 When did he die?
- 15 A Geez, I always -- I always flub on this.
- 16 Q Approximately.
- 17 A 1994.
- 18 Q So your brother David Baker was alive at the time that
- 19 | you were shot on EgyptAir Flight 648; is that correct?
- 20 A That's correct.
- 21 Q Who is the representative of your brother David's estate,
- 22 if you recall?
- 23 A My brother Craig.
- 24 Q And Craig is expected to testify in this case; is that
- 25 correct?

- 1 A That's correct.
- 2 Q On behalf of himself and on behalf of David; is that
- 3 right?
- 4 A That's right.
- 5 Q And your sister Stacie Ann Baker, was she born in the
- 6 United States?
- 7 A Yes, she was.
- 8 Q And is she still alive?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q And has she continuously, since her birth, been a United
- 11 States citizen?
- 12 A Yes, she has.
- 13 Q And I believe I asked you this, but I want to be sure,
- 14 your brother David Baker, was he continuously a United States
- 15 citizen from the time of his birth until the time of his
- 16 death?
- 17 A Yes, he was.
- 18 Q And is Stacie living where today?
- 19 A She's living in Glenwood, Washington, G-l-e-n-w-o-o-d.
- 20 Q Thank you. And then you're the third child; is that
- 21 correct?
- 22 A Correct.
- 23 Q And you have a younger brother, you've already said,
- 24 Craig Baker; is that correct?
- 25 A Yes.

- Q And obviously he's alive because he's going to testify in this case. Tell the Court was he born a United States citizen?
 - A Yes, he was.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

- Q And has he, to the best of your knowledge, been a United States citizen continuously from the time of his birth until today?
- 8 A Yes, he has.
 - Q Thank you very much. Now, after you graduated from Washington State University, you indicated that although you intended to get a master's degree, you needed to pay for school because you had -- you were paying your own way; is that correct?
- 14 A Correct.
- 15 Q And so what was your first job?
 - A After college, I went to work at an aluminum plant for three years. It's a job that I'd been doing in the summer to pay for college, but I still needed to pay more, so I worked three years, paid off my college debts. It was a high paying job at the time, probably more than I would probably be getting if I found a job doing research in a lab.
- MR. HEIDEMAN: Excuse me just one moment, please,
 Your Honor.
- 24 (PAUSE.)
- Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) So you worked at the aluminum plant for

- 1 three years; is that correct?
- 2 A Correct.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

3 Q Do you recall how much you made there?

debts, so I had plenty to travel with.

traveling so much?

- A I probably started out at around 8 or \$9 an hour and ended up getting \$14 an hour when I quit.
- 6 Q And then what position did you work in after that?
- A After that I did some travel because I'd always wanted to travel. I just didn't have the opportunity to do that until after I quit that job. I saved money after I paid my college
- 11 Q And to what places did you travel, since you enjoyed
 - A On that trip I was gone approximately two years, and I started out in Russia in a climbing camp and explored the Caucasus Mountains and saw some Russian cities, and then I got a year rail and saw all the western European cities and cities and countries, went to Morocco and spent 14 months in Israel after that, and then I returned home.
 - Q What were the years that you traveled to Russia and then by Euro rail and then on to Israel?
- 21 A 1983 -- July of 1983 until approximately March of '85.
- Q Were you making money during any of those experiences of traveling during those two years?
- A Little bit, not very much. I worked on a moshav in
 Israel which is like a farm except they tell you it's similar

- 1 to a kibbutz. You're paid a monthly wage.
- 2 Q And to help the court reporter, those two words are,
- 3 moshav?
- 4 A Moshav.
- 5 Q How is that spelled?
- 6 A M-o-s-h-a-v, I believe.
- 7 Q And kibbutz, how is that spelled?
- 8 A You're testing me. K-i-b-b-u-t-z.
- 9 Q Maybe even with two "b"s?
- 10 A Maybe with two "b"s, yeah, thank you.
- 11 Q So in March of 1985, after you had been in Israel for 14
- 12 months, where did you go next?
- 13 A I returned home and my brother --
- 14 Q Home to the State of Washington?
- 15 A Yes, in Seattle, Washington. I flew into Seattle. My
- 16 brother picked me up and he was working on a fish processor
- 17 for the summer and he got me a job on that fish processor.
- 18 Q So you were always a hard worker even when you were
- 19 | traveling; is that correct?
- 20 A Well, yeah. Actually, one of the things that I missed
- 21 actually about traveling is I didn't have a regular job, but I
- 22 did, in Israel, find a job which didn't pay me anything, but
- 23 | it was at a diving resort and I would take divers out, guided
- 24 dives and in Eilat, Israel.
 - $\mathfrak Q$ And Eilat for the court reporter is E-i-l-a-t?

- A Correct.
- 2 Q Thank you. Did you enjoy your time traveling in Russia
- 3 and Europe and Israel?
- 4 A Very much so, yes.
- Q And then when you went back to Seattle in March of 1985,
- 6 you said your brother got you a job fish processing; is that
- 7 correct?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q What was the position and what kind of work did you do as
- 10 a fish processor?
- 11 A That summer I was processing fish, which included
- 12 cleaning the fish and freezing them for market and packaging
- 13 | them in the fresh frozen market.
- 14 Q And so that would have been the summer of '85, just some
- 15 months before the hijacking; is that correct?
- 16 A That's correct.
- 17 Q And do you recall how much money you made while you were
- 18 working there at the fish processing plant in Seattle?
- 19 A This was on a floating processor, which is a ship that
- 20 | froze fish directly from the fishermen at the -- where the
- 21 I fishing took place in the North Pacific or in the Bering Sea,
- 22 but I think I made approximately 5- or \$6,000 for the summer,
- 23 which is in the course of four months, four-and-a-half months.
- 24 Q All right. And did you then immediately return to school
- 25 for your master's degree before the hijacking?

- A No, I didn't. When I was traveling, I couldn't get enough of it really. I really enjoyed going from country to country, learning about different cultures and I knew that I wanted to do it again and there just seemed like there were so many other places I wanted to explore, and so I returned to traveling.
- Q Before actually taking the trip abroad to Athens, Greece in November of 1985, I would just like to cover a little more of the work you did after the summer 1985 fishing job on the floating processor. Tell the Court what else you did before the time period of November '85.
- 12 A In that time period after I returned?
- 13 Q Yeah.

- A Until the period 1985. I don't really remember. I think
 I planned with some friends that I met on the boat to travel
 further and made plans for travel.
 - Q Did you intend to remain in -- after your master's degree in commercial fishing or to eventually return to do work based upon your biology degree?
 - A I intended eventually on trying to find a job and continue my goal in -- of working in a lab, but when I met some friends on the boat, we decided to go traveling again and it was a bug that I wanted to further, do some further travel.
- Q Thank you. So, what was your next trip that you took after the fishing job in the summer of 1985?

- A I went back to Europe and saw more of Europe and went through Italy and Greece and then explored Turkey for about a month. And I was on my way to Asia, which brought me to Athens for -- I brought a ticket to Bangkok which was Athens to Cairo to Bangkok when I was -- when the hijacking took place.
- Q And what were you going to do in Bangkok had the hijacking not taken place?
 - A Well, I always heard that it was a fascinating city and my -- I was a backpack traveler at that time, and so I would have explored Bangkok and the rest of Thailand and it made it my launching place for seeing more of Asia.
- 13 Q Have you ever been back -- taken that Bangkok trip?
- A I did, yeah. I went the following year after the hijacking.
 - Q And I'm going to talk about the highjacking in a moment, but how did it feel to take the trip that you had intended to take before you were shot in the head?
 - A It felt good. I was -- I was a little tentative to get back on a plane and go, but it was something I needed to do to show that I was not, you know, victimized by this event.
- 22 Q Who did you need to show that you weren't victimized?
- A Myself, pretty much. My whole -- my whole recovery, I think, was trying to prove that I was not a victim.
 - Q Has that been hard?

- A It has. It's -- it's been an inner struggle. I don't
 think it's -- at least I don't think it's been too overt in my
 behavior, but it was very hard at first. Back in those days
- Q Let's talk about the hijacking. Were you in transit when you boarded EgyptAir Flight 648 destined from Athens to Cairo?
- 7 A Yes, I was.

- Q So had you flown from somewhere to the Athens airport and just were changing planes?
- 10 A Let's see.
- 11 Q Or had you been in Athens; do you recall?

right after the hijacking, it was difficult.

- A When I left Turkey, I believe I took a ferry to Rhodes in
 Greece and I might have -- I might have -- I believe I did
 take a flight from Rhodes to Athens, yes, where I intended
 on -- it was -- Athens at the time was a pretty cheap hub to
 fly out of, so my intention was to find a cheap ticket in
- 17 Athens and go to Asia.
- 18 Q And did you?
- 19 A Yes, I did. I found a ticket. It was only 250 bucks, as 20 I recall.
- 21 Q From Athens to Asia?
- A From Athens to Bangkok, yeah, with a stay in Cairo in a hotel, so...
- Q So, when you arrived at the Athens airport on
 November 23rd, 1985, what did you observe, if anything,

- unusual about activity at the airport, if any?
- 2 A I remember it was very, very busy, and there was -- there
- 3 was pretty high security, and I believe it was an 8:00 o'clock
- 4 I flight, but the flight was delayed for an hour or so. And I
- 5 remember getting together with other travelers before the
- 6 | flight and talking and we had at least an hour to kind of get
- 7 acquainted. And the people there were -- there were two
- 8 Israeli girls that were on the flight. I got to know them
- 9 somewhat. And Tony Lyons from Australia and a girl named
- 10 | Elizabeth from -- also from Australia, and so we just talked
- 11 until the -- until we boarded the flight.
- 12 Q Thank you. And can you tell the Court where you -- if
- 13 you recall, where you sat on the airplane before the hijacking
- 14 | took place.
- 15 A Yeah, I sat in row six in the middle chair on the
- 16 starboard side of the plane.
- 17 Q And the plane took off at about 9:00 o'clock, I believe
- 18 | it was, and I'm walking over to Exhibit 3, 9:05 time; is that
- 19 | correct?
- 20 A That sounds right.
- 21 Q Okay. And the plane was bound Athens to Cairo?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q All right. And what did you observe and when did you
- 24 observe it as to what happened after takeoff?
- 25 A I would say it was probably ten minutes after we leveled

off. I was reading a book and I looked up from my book and I saw a man in the front of the plane holding a revolver in the air and a grenade in the air. And I don't know how long he was standing there, but I saw him put the revolver back in his coat pocket and — I'm sorry, he was trying to pull the pin off of the grenade with his teeth and he couldn't do it, and so he put the revolver back in his coat pocket and pulled the pin and held the level of the grenade so it wouldn't explode and then took his revolver back out of his pocket.

And I -- I bumped my -- the guy next to me is a guy from France, he was reading a newspaper and he folds the corner down the newspaper and looks at the hijacker and looks at me and rolls his eyes and goes back reading his newspaper. The whole scene was very surreal to me. I looked behind me and saw there was another hijacker with a revolver and a grenade in his hand, and those were the only two I saw.

But I got this real nervous pit in my stomach that we were going to be in for a wild ride.

Q You weren't in the courtroom yesterday when Jackie Nink
Pflug testified, but the Court heard some testimony about the
hijacker trying to get the pin out of the -- the pin of the
grenade out.

Would you tell the Court a little more about what you remember when you looked forward and saw the hijacker who had a revolver in one hand and a grenade in the other hand,

please.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

A I was in row six, so I wasn't as close as she was, but I saw this gentleman in a suit coat who was of Middle Eastern decent with a grenade in his right hand and -- I'm sorry, a revolver in his right hand and a grenade in his left hand, and he was trying to pull the pin out of the grenade with his teeth, you know, like so, and -- sorry.

Q That's okay.

A And he couldn't do it. He couldn't pull out the pin with his teeth, so he put the revolver back in his coat pocket and pulled the pin and took his revolver back out. And so he had a live grenade and a revolver standing in the front of the aircraft, and as far as I know, he just — he was standing there waiting for everyone to notice, you know, that he was in control of the aircraft, I suppose.

- Q You actually saw him try to take the pin out with his teeth?
- 18 A Yes, I did.
- 19 O But he wasn't able to?
- 20 A No.
- Q You saw him put the revolver then in his pocket and use his other hand; is that correct?
- 23 A That's correct.
- 24 Q And did you see him take the pin out of the grenade?
- 25 A Yes, I did.

Q You knew then that the grenade was live?

- A Yes. Yeah, it was -- it was frightening, and I just knew that this isn't going to be a usual flight here.
 - Q At any time did you see him put the pin back in the grenade?
 - A I didn't see him at that time put the pin back in the grenade, but later on he was searching people, patting them down and taking their passports and putting them in a briefcase, and when I was searched, I noticed that the pin was back in the grenade, so I know that he had put it back in at some point or other.
 - Q All right. What -- after you saw the hijacker that you just described and another hijacker behind you, describe in your own words to the Court what you remember happening next.
 - A There's a third hijacker that came out of the cockpit and he had some person in a flight uniform also of Middle Eastern descent and he had him kneeling on the ground in the aisle and he was screaming at him in Arabic, and I don't know what they were saying but later on I found out there were four air marshals on the plane and that he was likely one of them, and he was, I don't know this for sure, but I'm sure he was trying to find out who the other air marshals were on the plane, and it was a very intense scene. I thought that he was going to shoot him there on the plane.
 - Q You thought that the hijacker was going to shoot the

- person that he made kneel down on the airplane?
- 2 A Correct.

scene.

- \blacksquare Q Did he take a gun out and point it at him?
 - A He had a gun on him the whole time that I saw him.
 - Q How did that make you feel?
 - A Well, it didn't make me feel very secure. I don't -- the whole time I was on the plane, I was -- I suppose at that point I was still getting used to the idea that I might not make it off the plane alive, you know. If a grenade went off, then the whole plane would be doomed. If -- you know, if there was gunshots on the plane, anyone can be killed, and so it made me feel very insecure, you know. It's like anything could happen on a plane. It was just a very, very intense
- 15 Q What happened next?
 - A The man didn't get shot, and I don't exactly know where he went, whether it was back in the cabin or if he was seated somewhere, but very shortly after that, the original man that I saw with the gun and the grenade started searching the plane. He seemed like he spoke the most English and he would, one at a time, take the passengers, search them, pat them down and then take their passport and put the passport in a brief case.
 - And as he was searching up the aisles, he would reseat them, there was always an empty aisle as he searched

people -- empty row, I'm sorry, of seats, and that way he would know who he had searched and who he hadn't. And then he would reseat people in front so that he would know who was searched, and I was in row six and I was reseated in row three on the port side of the plane.

I was seated with -- there were four of us sitting in three rows, and so I was sitting with a Greek man to my left and three French girls to my right. After -- after a short while I was speaking to the Greek man to my left about where we might be going, you know, since we're being hijacked, and we were thinking we were going to Beirut. And shortly after that conversation, I heard a single gunshot at first, and then it was a like shootout at the Okay Corral.

An FBI forensics agent told me that there were 25 shots fired in that gun battle, and everyone put their hands —— I'm sorry, their heads between their knees and got as low as possible during the gunfire, and you could hear bullets flying in the fuselage just ricocheting everywhere, and I thought for sure there would be people injured in this.

And a couple of the bullets landed very close to us, and I would actually pick one up, it was warm, and I could see the caliber of the bullet and everything as my head went down, and I also could hear hissing of the plane as though it pierced the fuselage. They lost cabin pressure, and shortly after that, the pilot put the plane in a very steep dive.

The whole thing took place fairly quickly, but after we had leveled off at around 10,000 feet, I believe it was, I looked over and the French girl on my far right on the aisle side of my row, as we were looking down, our heads were like at our knees, I could see that she had been grazed in the forehead, almost like a shootout on a western movie, and she was completely conscious, and I said -- I said to her, you know, we were talking upside down, "Do you know how close that came?"

And she said, "Yes, I know."

And for some reason we started laughing down below, and I think it was just this huge adrenaline release because now the bullets weren't flying. It was all quiet, and the plane had leveled off, and so eventually I — she asked me for a napkin to sop up the blood, and I remember poking my head up over the seats. I didn't have my daypack with me anymore so I didn't have any bandanna to give her, so I pulled the cover off the headrest of the chair and gave it to her.

O And then what?

A When I poked my head up, it was very, very strange because I couldn't see one passenger. It just looked like a plane of empty seats. Everyone still had their heads below their knees, and there was a — what happened was they had found a third air marshal and he had opened fire on the person who was — who had been searching the plane, and that hijacker

who was shot like five times from the air marshal at point-blank range was lying in the aisle and I could hear him breathing through his aspirating chest wounds in the aisle and the air marshal was also in the aisle, and it seemed to me there was also a handgun in the aisle. And it was within my reach, and it was a very strange moment for me because I — it was a handgun and I could have picked it up and used it, but I was just — everything was going through my head about whether there was any bullets in the gun, do I want to be a hero, the guy had grenades, the pins were out.

And just as those thoughts were going through my head, one of the hijackers came and picked it up, and after that everyone started poking their heads up above the seats. And the plane, when it descended, it was at 10,000 feet and all the oxygen masks dropped and everyone was fitting their — themselves with the oxygen masks, and I never did. I just — I just realized the captain, if he leveled off, he would have leveled off at an altitude that was breathable.

So, I remember that we descended into some very, very turbulent air. I think there was thunderstorms going on outside. So the plane was still being bounced around and it was -- I just remember the atmosphere after that gun battle was -- you could just slice the tension in the air with a knife. It was so -- it was just chillingly quiet.

And shortly after the gun battle in the air, I don't

even know how much time went by, but we had the -- the airplane took a turn to the right. I remember a sharp turn to the right, and very soon after that, I don't remember if there was an announcement or not, but we had landed in Malta and it was a very, very, very rough landing.

I remember there was a -- the plane landed really hard and I remember all the luggage rows being popped open. I could see an actual wave of the plane as it was -- it hit so hard that it sent a shock wave down that popped all the luggage compartments open and a lot of luggage spilled out, and the unstowed dishes in the galley just went flying everywhere. It was a -- seemed very calamitous landing and it was one of the hardest reverse thrusts I've ever experienced.

The whole plane just shook like crazy, and I didn't understand at the time why we landed so hard but later on I found out that the Maltese did not want us to land on that runway and they turned the runway lights out, and so the pilot didn't have any -- anything to go by except the reflections in the runway lights. And I spoke to the pilot later. He said it was just this blinking taillight that was making the reflections in the runway lights that he could go by, and so it was a really rough landing. He also set it down hard because he wanted to shake up the hijackers, but I don't know.

So, we were taxiing down the runway, and the whole cabin applauded. I think they were just so relieved that we

were down from -- we were actually on the ground after the gun battle and the turbulence and everything else, that we landed on the ground safely. And so we taxied off to an area of the tarmac in Malta, and I realized, it seemed like I always seem to know what was going to happen next, and so I thought,

"Okay, now is when the really bad part starts because they're going to negotiate for flying out of here and..."

What I remember next after they had brought stairs up to the plane was a medic coming on board and -- or a doctor and he certified that the hijacker who was shot was now dead, and that hijacker was in -- on the port side in the first row of the seats, and he wanted to look at the air marshal who was also shot and was in the row.

And I also spoke to the medic after the hijacking and he told me that the hijacker who was in the cockpit, Rezaq said, "Let him bleed," and so he didn't get to look at him.

And so he got dragged off the plane, and I heard a gunshot as he was dragged off, and what I found out later, that he was shot in the back as he was dragged off the plane and then thrown off, but he survived his wounds and he was actually speaking in the hospital when I got there.

- Q Who is it that was shot in the back?
- A The hijacker who opened -- I'm sorry, the air marshal who opened fire on the hijacker was shot seven times and he survived his wounds.

So that was -- that was the scene shortly after we landed, and I don't really remember what all handed -- what all happened after that immediately.

I remember that the air hostesses were handing out meals when we were on the ground, and I was one of the only ones that took a meal and actually ate it, and I did that because I was reasoning that if I was going to be a hostage on the ground, I wanted to be as strong as I could and I wanted to get food in me even though it didn't -- it didn't go down very well.

So, also I remember that shortly after that they allowed the wounded — there were two stewardesses that were wounded in the gunfire, and they allowed them to leave the plane. And shortly after that, they allowed the Egyptian women to leave the plane and some women from the Philippines to leave the plane. And the people in the plane were trying to get the young children off the plane, too, but he wouldn't allow it for some reason.

There was a Palestinian -- large Palestinian family on the plane that he wouldn't allow to leave, and there was a baby on the plane and he wouldn't allow it to leave either.

But shortly after he allowed the Philippine women to leave the plane, he asked for -- it wasn't him. It was an air hostess that he had delegated to ask for -- she would ask for the people to come forward because she had the passports, and

so she asked for one Israeli woman to come forward, and this was Tamar Artzi who I had spoken to earlier before the flight started. And I just had a bad feeling about her going forward.

And when she went forward, he took her and I heard a gunshot shortly after, and I understand that he had thrown her off the plane shortly after that. And Nitzan, who — those two were actually sitting right in front of me in row two, and Nitzan looked back at me, she said, "Patrick, I think that we're in trouble."

I said, "Yeah, I think so, but don't give up hope."

And I reached my hand through the seats in the crack and we held hands for awhile. And after that, they asked for the second Israeli girl to come forward, and Nitzan was just almost paralyzed with fear, and she let go of my hand and put her head in her lap and the hijacker demanded her to come forward but she didn't.

And so eventually the air hostess came and identified her and she was dragged forward by two guys who were delegated to do that, and so the hijacker shot her point-blank in the head while she was on the ground and threw her off the plane.

So, after that I commented to these two French girls I was sitting by that I was going to be next, and said, "No, no, that's not true."

I said, "Yeah, because I know that they need someone to negotiate with." And they had shot the two Israeli girls without any negotiation. So, I don't know how long we sat there, but about 15 minutes later they asked for the three Americans to come forward. And before this time, I didn't know that there were any other Americans on the plane, and I didn't know whether I should come forward or not, but they — I knew they had my passport. They could identify me.

So I stood up and went forward and behind me came
Scarlett and Jackie. And for us, they tied our hands behind
our backs with neckties and they sat us in the first row on
the starboard side of the plane. I was in the aisle and then
Scarlett was in the middle and Jackie was in the window seat,
and they tied us tight. When I say "they," I mean, I believe
there were air marshals who were delegated to do the chores of
the hijacker.

Since there were only two of them, he couldn't do anything, so he delegated to a lot of people to do the chores for them and so --

- Q Keep your voice up, if you would, please.
- 21 A Yeah. Did you get that so far?
- 22 0 Yes.

- 23 A Okay.
- Q You said they delegated it to air marshals, delegated it to others?

A Right. So, as I was sitting in row one, I could hear radio transmissions from the cockpit, and I couldn't understand a lot, but eventually the hijacker pointed his gun to me, you know, pointed at me with his gun, and so I stood. The man who was delegated to do things, took me by the crook of the arm, had me stand up and they opened the door, and I knew that this is the time that I was going to be taken out to be shot.

And as I was standing there, I heard a transmission on the radio said, "There is to be no more killing. The fuel is on its way."

And so they shut the door and I was reseated and so I got a little stay of execution. So, I didn't really know what I was going to do, my hands were tied behind my back. So in my mind I thought I would go up next and kick at his gun or kick at him and then run off the plane. And so a short time later they opened the door again, and this time I was standing up and Rezaq was standing next to the door going into the pilot's cockpit, and he was — looked relaxed.

He had a mask over his face, but his gun was down at his side, and as I was standing up to go forward to him, we locked eyes, and he looked at me and I looked at him and he instantly put his gun to my face and he just — I think he just knew I was going to try something. And so I thought, "Well, this isn't going to work," so I just took a right turn

and walked quickly out of the plane without anyone escorting me, and I stood at the foot of the stairs, and just as I was going to jump, I think I hesitated there a little too long, Rezaq shot, and the next thing I know I was on the stairs in a jumbled heap, maybe halfway down the stairs.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And I could hear footsteps coming down the stairs as the -- my first inclination, and so two men grabbed me by the crook of the arms and dragged me back up the stairs to the top platform, and I wasn't really sure what to do. I was pretty confused whether I should continue to play dead. And while those thoughts were running through my head, I felt myself weightless, being thrown down the plane. My eyes were closed pretty much the whole time. So I found myself going face down with my hands tied behind my back toward the tarmac, and luckily my left elbow hit the mirror of the truck that brought the stairs up and it flipped me over so that I didn't land face forward and it was a very, very lucky thing because I ended up being bruised and scraped with really no physical harm to speak of other than just, I don't know, the concussion and the scrapes and the battered. I had no broken bones.

As I lay on the tarmac, I -- after I collected my wits, I probably was there like to me it seemed like two minutes until I was pretty sure that the hijacker had gone back inside the plane, and I was almost -- the relief was just huge. And I found out that my reaction to an adrenaline

release like that is to laugh, and I had a hard time keeping from, you know, playing dead on the tarmac because I was laughing. I was -- it was like my victory over him that I was still alive and laying on the ground alive instead of being executed.

2.3

So, I planned my movements, you know, how to get up off the ground, you know, and run underneath the tarmac so he couldn't see me or throw a grenade at me, and that's what I did. I got up as fast as I could and ran underneath the plane and then down underneath the tail of the plane and just kept on running on the tarmac. It was dark. I didn't know where to go. I didn't know what country I was in. I was just running.

And soon I looked over my left and there's a man who had stood up from the weeds and he had a rifle trained on me and following me with his gun as I was running. So, I didn't want him to shoot me, so I turned around and showed him that I was tied up with my hands behind my back, and he lowered his rifle and flagged me to come over toward him, and so I ran over. And the first thing he asked me is, "Where you from, Mate?" And it was this clipped British accent, and for some reason that was really, really comforting.

And I said, "Where am I?"

And he said, "Malta."

So I was really happy to be there. He -- I remember

him saying, "We're going in, Mate, we're going in. We're going to get them."

And I said, "Okay, great."

And he's untying me and I was telling him everything I could remember about the hijackers, what they were wearing and where they were standing on the plane. And he got me untied and we ran off to the nearest road, and there was an ambulance waiting. He had a radio and he radioed for an ambulance. So they stuck me in the ambulance and drove me to the hospital, and that's the last I remember.

It was the last I remember. It was the last — I was off the plane and I was safe, so I was just very, very anxious after that. I kept grabbing people from the hospital and asking them for news of the plane. And eventually I heard that there was this fury of activity in the hospital, and I grabbed someone and asked them what happened, and they said they blew up the plane, and I didn't know who blew them up or what, but I understood that the plane had blown up. That's all I knew.

And I later found out that the Egyptian commandos had flown in and stormed the plane and there was a fire started and an explosion and the smoke killed a lot of the people, but that they were also firing on people on the ground like Egyptians that they thought were hijackers because, from what I understand, the pilot was telling the tower that there

were five to seven hijackers, and so that's what the commandos were expecting because he was counting everyone who was delegated by the hijackers who came in the cockpit, he counted them as being a hijacker, and so there was a big misunderstanding there, and I think maybe a lot of loss of life because of it.

I remember that they wheeled me out of my hospital room and I was wheeled through a lot of people who had -- were just covered in smoke and soot from the plane, and there was one fellow with his leg blown off and really blooded up, and it was the triage area, from what I understand, and so I was put in another room because they needed the room I was in for injured people.

And I was -- I was in that room maybe for a day or less. And in Michelle's testimony, she mentioned that she heard that Scarlett may have been executed, and this just jogged my memory. I don't think I even, I hardly ever spoke about this, but they had me identify Scarlett because they didn't know who she was, and that was like a day later. And so they wheeled me in a wheelchair over to a place they were keeping her, and I identified her as the other American. I didn't really know her name. And she was -- she was very pretty and she wasn't pretty then, and I'm really sorry to your family.

I don't think I even -- whenever I told that story,

I think I've always kept that out and it's probably one of the most painful things for me, other than holding Nitzan's hand, as that.

So, after that, I was just kept in a hospital room and kept under observation for concussions and signs of concussions, and I was there with Tony Lyons who I met before the flight, and some -- some of the Egyptians who were injured, and there was a huge media frenzy. I remember maybe on Day 3 I got to speak with my family because everyone was protecting the hijackers, or maybe it was earlier, I don't remember. I think that's when I spoke with my brother, but it was a -- it was a huge frenzy with the media.

There were TV cameras, and the first time I got to speak with my mother was — there were maybe five TV cameras on me and I was completely overwhelmed by it, and I could hardly even speak to her because it just didn't seem like it was private at all. And so I think I was kept at the hospital for maybe four days.

And then a TV crew from CBS maybe wanted me for a show in Rome. They had an office in Rome, so I hung with them around Malta for maybe two more days, and I was staying — after I was released, I stayed at the Phoenician Hotel. And then I was — I was so — I didn't really know what I was going to do next.

I was borrowing clothes from a man in the consulate

because the FBI took my clothing for a forensic test, and so the only thing I had left were my shoes and my belt, and so eventually EgyptAir came up with a ticket for me to fly home and they gave me a little bit of money and about a half hour to buy clothes in Malta, and I remember not finding anything in my size and flying home shortly after that.

And my brother picked me up at the airport and took me out to dinner, and I went to my brother's house and stayed on his couch for about a week or so. But I was not -- I was completely overwhelmed by the media. That was just pretty much -- there was maybe a call every five to ten minutes on his answering machine, and I didn't -- I didn't really feel like talking to the media at all at that point. I didn't feel like I was in a head space to do that.

So I -- after that, I went to see my parents and I stayed at my parents house for about a week, and they also had been inundated by the press. For about a week while I was in Seattle, they were being bombarded by the press and they had a list of maybe 80, 90 news agencies who wanted to give me interviews, all the major morning shows and Larry King, you know, everyone that wanted to have an interview.

I just wanted to escape from all that. I didn't speak to anyone. I maybe gave two or three interviews at the most to some local newspapers or something. And then a friend asked me if I wanted to go rock climbing, and I was so

relieved to do that. I went down to the Red Rocks in Oregon
and climbed with him, and went back to my parent's house and
my friend Jeff, who was working on a isolated nature
conservancy area on the border of Mexico, I went down and
stayed with him and just to get out of the media frenzy, so...

THE COURT: All right. Let's stop there and take our morning break, please.

MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Ten minutes. Do not discuss your testimony. You're under oath, Mr. Baker.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

(A BRIEF RECESS WAS TAKEN.)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Court is back in session. Please be seated, everyone, and come to order.

- Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) Patrick, was that exhausting for you?

 A It was more emotional than I had thought, because -- I
- mean, I told this story a thousand times, but I guess I didn't
- really have that much emotion invested into it as I do here
- 19 because -- well, this is my chance to do it in court, I guess.
- Q And you said two of the hardest things that you remember were holding Nitzan's hand?
- 22 A Yeah.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 23 Q Tell the Court about that, would you?
- A I'm sorry. It is the point where her friend that she traveled with, Tamar, was taken out and shot, and I mean, at

this point Tamar had gone out with the idea that she was going to be released like the other women in the plane, and so she bounded up there and then she was subsequently shot. And then Nitzan's hope just left her, I think, and I didn't know what to do about it.

It was -- I held her hand, and that's the only thing I could think of to do and tell her not to give up hope. And she was just completely distraught, and it was a feeling of -- I didn't feel like I had control, and she -- I didn't know what to do to comfort her, and it was really difficult.

- Q Have you reflected back often on the way she held your hand and the strength she applied in holding your hand?
- A Yeah. It's -- you know, it's one of those things that never leave your memory. I think the thing that got me the most is when they called her name and she let go of my hand and then just put her head in her lap, you know, that she just knew she was doomed, and I just felt helpless to help her, so...
- Q And after they called her name, you said they dragged her forward?
- A Yeah. She didn't -- she didn't walk up on her own. They had to physically drag her forward.
- Q And these were the two Israeli women you earlier
 testified you had met while waiting at the airport lounge for
 the one-hour delay; is that right?

- \blacksquare A That's correct.
- 2 Q That's Tamar Artzi and Nitzan Mendelson?
- 3 A Correct.

- Q And during your testimony, you told the Court that you then said, I believe, to the French woman, that you would be
- 6 next; is that correct?
- 7 A That's correct.
 - Q Why did you say that? What were you thinking, and what were your feeling, Patrick?
 - A Well, it didn't seem to me that the two Israeli girls —
 they were subsequently shot after landing, I think just
 because they were Israeli, and they didn't use them to bargain
 at all for anything they wanted on the ground, and so I was
 thinking, "Who would they bargain for next?" And of course I
 thought they would bargain for American lives for whatever
 they needed to do, what they wanted to do. And they wanted to
 fly the plane, I think, into northern Africa somewhere, and
 they didn't have the fuel to do it because when we landed, the
 pilot said we might have had five minutes of fuel left because
 the Maltese refused him landing, and he had to land and so he
 did.
 - And so I just felt that they would use the Americans next as a bargaining tool.
 - Q Where were you seated before they called you forward, and
 I'm talking about at the moment when you thought you were the

- next bargaining tool?
- A I was seated in row three on the port side, like in the middle.
- Q And had the other two Americans, both Jackie Nink Pflug and Scarlett Rogenkamp, been brought forward and seated with
- 6 you at that time?
- A No. I didn't -- I didn't notice them until I had stood
 up, gone forward, and then I saw two women coming down the
 aisle after me because when they -- when they announced -they didn't call my name. They just said, "Will the three
- 11 Americans please come forward."
- 12 Q Who said that?
- 13 A The air hostess who had been delegated by Rezaq.
- 14 Q At that time, were your hands tied?
- A No. Our hands were tied when we got to row one, and then they were tied by an air marshal who was delegated to do that
- 17 | with neckties.
- Q So the stewardess announces, "Will the three Americans please come forward," and do you stand up?
- A Not immediately, but yes, I did eventually, maybe after ten seconds or so.
- Q And that's when you saw the other two women, Jackie Nink
 Pflug and Scarlett Rogenkamp, coming forward from behind you;
- 24 is that correct?
- 25 A Yes.

Q Then where were the three of you seated and what did the hijackers do next in relation to the three Americans that were called forward?

A Well, as we came forward, like I said, they tied our hands behind our backs and they — I took the aisle seat and Scarlett took the middle seat and Jackie took the far seat, and we were sitting there. I remember asking Jackie and Scarlett where they were from, and Jackie said Texas, and I think Scarlett said California, but she might have said it in a way that she didn't really want to banter like small talk, and so I didn't really ask anything else after that, but I wish I had actually.

Jackie was complaining that her neckties were too tight, and I said, "Just keep your -- keep your hands moving, you know, keep the circulation going," and that's all the conversation I recall having with them. Later on Jackie said that she -- her neckties came off after moving her hands continuously, so it worked.

- Q When you say "neckties," what are you talking about, what neckties?
- A When the hijacker was searching and taking passports, he also took some neckties from passengers and they all went into the briefcase and with the passports, and the hijackers used the neckties to tie us up and they also used them to tie down the doors to the outside when the commandos stormed the plane.

- So, I don't know if they were trained to do that or what, but they definitely used them as a utility.
 - Q And earlier on, I failed to ask you, how is it they got your passport and knew that you were one of the three

 Americans on the plane?
 - A Well, they took everyone's passport as we were being searched and put it into a -- into a briefcase. I remember when he took my passport, he said, "Welcome," and I was just thinking, "Yeah, right, welcome," but as I reflect on it, I think he was saying welcome to the people that he had plans for because I remember him saying "welcome" to the Israeli girls, and one of them got cuffed by him, and I was just thinking, "Yeah, welcome to my nightmare," and, yeah, that was it.
 - Q Thank you. Now, after you were seated in the first row and you were there with Ms. Rogenkamp and Ms. Pflug, what did you see happen next?
- 18 A You mean --

- 19 Q You saw Rezaq come out of the cockpit?
 - A Okay. Yes. Rezaq come out of the cockpit and he points his gun, indicating me, and indicating that he was going to take me out and shoot me is what I think is what that indication was.
- 24 The air marshal took me by the crook of the arm and 25 indicated to stand up, and he was escorting me forward. And 3

- heard a transmission on the radio that the fuels there was to be no more killing, the fuel was on its way. I remember when the door opened that I could just hear the whole cabin. I mean, took a kind of a strange thing, they just took an intake of breath at the same time and I could just hear the whole cabin like inhaling hard just as an incidental thing.
- But -- but once I heard the transmission "there was to be no more killing, the fuel is on its way," they shut the door and I was reseated, and I don't know how long it was before they opened the door again and I heard another intake of breath from the cabin and I was brought forward and subsequently taken out and shot.
- 13 Q And Rezaq is the one who shot you?
- 14 A Yes, he was.

- Q Let me hand you what has previously been moved into evidence as Exhibit 3, a blowup of it is here. Can you identify the person there as Omar Ali Rezaq on the top left of Exhibit 3?
- A Yes, I can.
- Q Now, in relation to Mr. Rezaq, before he shot you, you said the two of you locked eyes?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Why is that important to you to remember? When that comes into your mind, what do you remember about the incident with Rezaq?

A As I was going forward, I was maybe four feet away from him or so. It just -- just out of reach of him. He was standing by the cockpit door and he was -- he seemed relaxed, you know. He was -- his gun was hanging at his side and he was, you know, he just seemed all business and all confident.

And in my head I was going to kick at him and run out the door, but I was a little bit too -- I think I broadcasted my plan a little bit too much, and as I was going forward, we locked eyes and I could just see a recognition in his eyes that I was going to do something and that I might have been a threat to him, and so he -- he instantly put his gun up like -- after we locked eyes, he put his gun up quickly and right at my face and held me there. Not held me there, but I realized that kicking at him wasn't going to be a possibility after that.

Q When he put that gun in your face, how did you feel?

A I felt like that was it, that he was going to shoot me,

and I was -- said, "Okay, let's just get this over with," and

I just walked to the stairs on my own, and the man who had me

by the crook of the neck just let me go.

And as I'm walking out, I look behind me and he wasn't -- he wasn't right next to me. He was at least three or four feet away. He was keeping his distance which I don't know if it had anything to do with my being alive or not, but I walked out to the foot of the stairs and I kind of waited

for the bullet, but in an instant, I go, I just should just jump off, and so I was in the act of just turning to my left and that's when he fired and it hit me in the back of the head and I just -- it just reminded me of like what it must feel like to be hit in the back of the head with a baseball bat.

I just went out, and I remember there just being stars and floating and waking up on the stairs and in a heap. I remember my leg, it was over the railing of the stairs, the crook of my leg, so I somersaulted off the stairs and my leg hooked it, and then I could hear the footsteps of two men coming down and picking me up by the crook of the arms and dragging me back up the stairs, and not knowing what I should do, whether I should just break away and run or if I should play dead or what.

But they had me and they were dragging me back up the stairs, and so I just played dead. And I had long hair at the time and I could just feel the blood running down my neck, so I knew that I was bleeding fairly profusely, and so it must have looked like I was shot in the head, and so I had my eyes closed the whole time.

And the next thing I know, I don't know if they picked me up or if there was a door that opened, but I just know that I was weightless after that and they had thrown me from the plane, from the top platform, and I knew I was going the hit hard and I just had these nightmares of falling

- 3 before I hit so.

- Q Do you reflect back over the last 25 years on this whole description you've just given to the Court?
 - A It's really been the thread that's -- this continuous thread on my life that's always been there, in my dreams and part of my identity. I'm just pretty much known in my close circle of friends as the guy that was on the hijacked airplane, and so it's never really left me ever.
 - Q And the blood that was oozing out of the gunshot to the back of your head, do you reflect back on the feel of the blood gushing out?
 - A Yeah, yeah. Those are really intense memories that have never left. The feeling of not knowing whether I was going to be shot when I was dragged back up was -- just had this moment of indecision about -- and I knew that what I did then would be -- would determine whether I lived or not, and so luckily I was okay that he didn't shoot me again, you know.
 - Q The bullet that you took into the back of your head, did it cause you to suffer brain injuries that have subsequently been diagnosed?
- 23 A Yes, it has.
- 24 Q And have you lived with that your entire life?
- 25 A Yes, I have.

- Q And has this hijacking experience and the shooting to the back of your head been something you have lived with every day and reflect on every day and look back on every day for the last 25 years?
- A Yes, pretty much, yes.

- Q And when you think back over what you experienced, how does it make you feel, Patrick?
- A Well, I don't like being a victim. It's one thing I never really -- it makes me angry being a victim where I was taken out and shot like a cow who was going to slaughter without being able to fight or anything like that. It's always rubbed me the wrong way, and I always swore that would never happen again.

Other than being a victim, I pretty much just picked up the pieces and went ahead with my life and just moved forward. I'd never wanted just to blame circumstances out of my control. I just wanted to move forward, and the only thing I didn't like was being identified as that guy, you know, in the hijacking and being the victim.

And it's a great story, it's a story of adventure for a lot of people who want to hear about it, and it's -- but it's -- it was hard at first to relive it every time I told the story, but it kind of became also like a catharsis for me to just get it out also. So in that way it was -- it helped me move forward just telling the story over and over

again.

- Q How did it feel to be targeted as an American by the hijackers?
 - A Well, I didn't like the fact that I was being targeted as a piece of someone else's political agenda. I mean, I know how all the other victims feel and families feel in the world of being targeted by senseless and meaningless violence to themselves, being someone else's political agenda, and that when you're just trying to live your life, it's not easy to take.
 - Q You testified earlier about seeing the handgun from the air marshal down on the ground when you were seated there in the first row and you talked about considering reaching for it.
- 15 A Yeah.
 - Q Is that something you have reflected back on, you flashback to many times over the last 25 years?
 - A Yeah, quite often, yeah. I -- you know, on one hand I could have endangered everybody on the plane; on the other hand, you know, I could -- who knows, I could have ended it, who knows. I'm not Clint Eastwood, so. I tell you one thing, it was a relief to me when the hijacker picked it up, so...
- 23 Q How often do you think about that gun?
- A Quite a bit. It's -- you know, it's -- it was a defining
 moment in my character about whether or not I was the type of

guy to pick up a gun and shoot it at somebody else even though he was a hijacker, and at that point, you know, I didn't know what was going to happen, I didn't know that I was going to be taken out and executed. I just — I just saw it there and saw that there was this handgun that was close to my reach. I didn't know if there were bullets in it or not, and these thoughts were going through my head.

And I'm probably -- you know, I just didn't know. If I knew what the outcome of the hijacking was going to be, that 60 people out of 96 were going to die, if I knew that beforehand, I might have been more inclined to pick it up, so...

Q When you reflect back on locking eyes with Rezaq before he shot you, how often does that happen when you think back on his eyes?

A That's one other thing that, you know, it was — it was like being pulled up after I was shot, whether I was going to be shot again. This was a time when I knew at that point it could mean my life, it could be life or death whether or not I got a good kick at him and ran from the plane or what, and I just — it was my point where I had some control, where I might have been able to make a difference in my life rather than being taken out and shot like a cow, you know, to slaughter or not, so that was another point where it does stay in my memory and I do remember his eyes.

- Q You've worked hard in your life not to be a victim even though you were taken out and executed; is that correct?
 - A That's correct.

- Q How do you feel about having survived?
- A I feel great having survived. I know that I completely overcame the odds. It was my -- it was my lottery that I won in life and I'm grateful to have it. And on the other hand, I don't like being a victim on the same score. I'm kind of proud of the way I just picked up and went forward with my life without, you know, seeing a counselor or whatever, but as I reflect back, I know that I probably could have used one but I was in denial that if I -- if I admitted to myself that I needed one, then I -- in my mind it just felt like the hijackers had won and I'd lost because they made an effect on my life that, you know, I didn't have control of.

And so I pretty much just wanted to pick up the pieces and move forward.

- Q After they threw you down the stairs a second time and you played dead down there at the bottom of the stairs and you're bleeding, as you've already testified, and you realized, I think you used the words, you saw -- you saw stars and you were floating, when you woke up and you realized you were still alive, how did you feel?
- A It took me a few moments to realize where I was and my situation, and then once I did, I heard footsteps coming down

the stairs and that was just this kind of a one-two punch of realizing my situation and hearing the steps and made me grateful to be alive.

And then I heard the footsteps and thought maybe I'm not going to be alive much longer, and the real relief came after they'd thrown me off the plane and I was on the tarmac and then, then the release came that I realized I was probably going to live and that's when I -- I was laughing on the tarmac.

- Q The footsteps that you've described to the Court, have you reflected back on those footsteps so many times over the last 25 years?
- A Yeah, of course. I reflect on the whole thing really. I mean, there has just been so many, so many points in that hijacking that I just go, why did I -- how did I make it through that, you know. It's just -- I just feel grateful that I did and --
- Q When you stood up and ran underneath the airplane and then as you testified already ran out to the field, tell the Court what you remember when as you ran into the grass somebody stood up and pointed a rifle at you as you've already testified?
- A Yeah, I ran toward him because he -- as I was running underneath the tail, I was running kind of perpendicular to him, but I noticed him over there and he was following me with

- his rifle and he saw that I was tied up and flagged me over, and I was expecting Arabic in some other country other than
- Malta, but the relief was great when I heard him say, "Where you from, Mate?" You know, in this clipped British accent.
- Q Was there a time when he thought you were one of the hijackers and was there a time when you thought he was going to take you out after surviving being shot in the head and
- 8 thrown down twice?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A Yeah. I thought there was a strong possibility. I mean, he -- I don't know what he thought. I don't know if he was a good guy or a bad guy. I didn't know -- I just knew that he had a rifle trained on me, and I thought the best course of action was to show him that I was no threat and I was tied up and that I was a victim on the plane or a hostage.
- And I was very happy to see that he lowered his rifle and flagged me over instead of, you know, at that point, you know, he could have shot or what have you. It was dark and he was a shadow and I was probably a shadow to him, and so yeah, it was another very good moment for me when I heard him say, "Where you from, Mate?" It wasn't so much what he was saying but how he said it. It was good.
- 22 Q "Where you from, Mate?"
- 23 A Yeah.
- 24 Q So you knew you were hearing English?
- 25 A Someone who had grown up with British English, and you

- know, instead of someone who spoke Arabic and I wouldn't be able to, you know, make him understand what was going on in 2 3 the plane, so ... You said that after that they took you to the hospital in 4 5 Malta. Let me hand you Plaintiff's Exhibit 30 and ask if you 6 can identify this document as being a copy of medical records 7 from the hospital in Malta to which you were taken from the 8 area of the hijacking and did we obtain those records pursuant 9 to your authorization? 10 Yes, that's correct. 11 Did you receive treatment at St. Luke's Hospital in 12 Malta? 13 Yes, I did. MR. HEIDEMAN: Your Honor, we move Exhibit 30 into 14 15 evidence. Be admitted. 16 THE COURT: 17 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 30 ADMITTED.) 18 MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you. 19 (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) Now, while you were there at the 20 hospital, you earlier testified that there came a time when there was all kinds of activity and people were brought in after 21 22 the Egyptian commandos stormed the aircraft; is that correct? 23 That's correct. Α
 - Q And did you ever see or hear that any of the hijackers, such as Rezaq, were brought to the hospital?

- 1 A I didn't get -- I didn't get news of that.
- Q Okay. Now, while you were there at the hospital, you
- 3 earlier testified before the break that you were asked to come
- 4 and identify Scarlett Rogenkamp.
- 5 A Yes. Yes, I believe that was before the commandos
- 6 stormed the plane.
- 7 Q Before the commandos stormed the plane?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And so if you'll just look at Exhibit 3 for a moment,
- 10 | just want to confirm the timeline that we've put into evidence
- 11 that at 9:05 Athens time the flight took off, hijackers,
- 12 including Rezaq shown on the top left, took control of the
- 13 aircraft at about 8:28, the shootout took place at about
- 14 9:00 o'clock; is that accurate so far?
- 15 A That rings true.
- 16 Q And then Flight 648 landed in Malta at 10:16. Is that
- 17 | accurate so far?
- 18 A Yeah, it seems right.
- 19 Q And then the first person shot was Tamar Artzi who was
- 20 shot right at midnight; is that correct?
- 21 A Yeah, that seems --
- 22 Q And that's the first Israeli woman who was shot?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q And 15 minutes later Nitzan Mendelson was shot; is that
- 25 | correct?

- A Correct.
- 2 Q And it's Nitzan who had held your hand?
- 3 A Correct.
- Q One time you told me she held your hand so tight you
- 5 could still feel it today; is that true?
- 6 A Yes, I still remember her hand.
- Q And then 15 minutes after Nitzan, you were shot, and that would be four-and-a-half hours after the hijacking; is that
- 9 correct?
- 10 A That seems correct, yes.
- 11 Q And you were not on the airplane when Scarlett Rogenkamp
- 12 was shot, but you know she was shot and Jackie Pflug was shot
- 13 hours later, four hours later for Scarlett and another
- 14 | five-and-a-half hours after that for Jackie; is that correct?
- 15 A Yeah, that sounds correct. In the hospital, I don't
- 16 really have a reflection of when they were shot, but from
- 17 afterwards it sounds like about the right timeline, yes.
- 18 Q And you met with the FBI and reviewed the report they
- 19 prepared; isn't that correct?
- 20 A Yes, I did.
- 21 MR. HEIDEMAN: We'll be marking that and moving that
- 22 into evidence now that it's been identified, Your Honor, as a
- 23 separate exhibit. It's not -- it's in the additional binder
- 24 the Court will be receiving.
- 25 THE COURT: All right.

- Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) Now, as it relates to that FBI report, on how many occasions did you meet with the FBI prior to the
- 3 criminal trial over Rezag here in this courthouse?
- 4 A Boy, I don't know. They did a very, very intense
- 5 interview while I was in the hospital that I still remember it
- 6 was -- they were asking for details and I remember it was very
- 7 hard to remember everything at that point because it was still
- 8 very, very painful to recount everything. And after that, I
- 9 probably got questioned two or three times, I believe. I
- 10 don't remember.
- 11 Q Did you actually come and testify at the Rezag trial?
- 12 A Yes, I did.
- 13 Q And you reviewed the FBI report to which I've referred,
- 14 and to the best of your knowledge, it's true and accurate; is
- 15 | that correct?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Thank you very much.
- Now, going back for a moment to the commando raid.
- 19 You, of course, were not there but you told the Court that you
- 20 believe that 96 people died separate from those who were shot
- 21 by the hijackers, 96 people died in the commando raid; is that
- 22 correct?
- 23 A No. 60 died of 96 total on the plane.
- 24 Q Thank you for correcting me. 60 people died?
- 25 A Yes.

- Q 96 on the airplane. Now, let's go back to the hospital.
 You said it was a few days before you were able to talk to
- 3 your mother; is that correct?

until later.

- A Oops, excuse me. Yeah, I believe so. I don't really remember exactly at what point I got to speak to my mother, but I just remember that it wasn't comfortable because of all the news media that was gathered around, and so I never really got to have a good, you know, heart-to-heart talk with her
- Q And how did your mother express to you that she felt as a result of what you went through being executed but surviving, thank God?
 - A Well, she was -- she was very relieved, of course, and was happy to hear my voice, and I remember our conversation being short, just because I was not comfortable with all the cameras on me talking to my mom, but she was expressing how glad she was that I was still alive, and that's about all I probably got to talk about.
 - Q And did you -- do you recall the discussions with your father, and what were your observations about how he felt about you having been shot in this hijacking?
 - A He told me that, for one, he was really also very happy that I was alive and he said the way he was told of my being involved in this airplane, he just got a call from the State Department saying, "Mr. Baker, you've got a son, Patrick." He

says, "Well, he's been shot in the head," and that he just left it at that and hanging, and I think he realized what he said, and he says, "Oh, but he's okay. He's still alive."

And my dad just had these -- he was telling me this story on the phone, how he had all these thoughts of me being a vegetable and he was very glad that I was talking to him and I was, you know, seemingly, you know, okay, so...

- Q And when you were able -- when you were given the ticket by EgyptAir to then fly back to the United States, you said you went to your mom's house. I assume that was your parent's house and you just stayed on the couch for a week; is that right?
- A Yeah. I was picked up in Seattle by my brother and I actually stayed on his couch for like a week before going to my parent's house and then staying with them.
- 16 Q Which brother?
- 17 A Craig.

- Q Craig. All right. And did you afterward ever go and seek the kind of counseling that you earlier testified that you that you needed but didn't go get?
- 21 A No, I never did.
 - Q In preparation for this trial, have you seen various physicians who have examined you and have prepared reports and have provided information and done testing on you, psychologically and medically?

- A Yes, I have.
- 2 Q And just one moment, please.

(PAUSE.)

- Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) And is it your understanding that each of those people have either each of those medical people have either prepared reports or that are going to be filed as exhibits in this case or they're coming to court to testify?
- A Yes, that's my understanding.
 - Q Did you ever go back to the fishing that you talked earlier about doing?
 - A Yes, I did, yeah. I continued with that for maybe 15 years later, but every year that I did it, I was saying, "Okay, this is my last year. I'm going to -- I'm going to go try and find a job and start a real career," because I never considered fishing as something I was going to do for so long, but as it turned out, that's -- it was in my comfort zone to just stay in fishing.
 - Q And the comfort zone that you have just referenced, why was it that you used those words? What does that say to you? Explain it to the Court, please.
 - A Well, fishing is something that -- I found that it's, at least immediately after the hijacking, that I couldn't stay focused on any one thing for very long. I was -- I was very erratic after I got home. I mean, for months or maybe a year afterwards, I was -- I couldn't keep one thought in my head

for more than just a few minutes.

I was driving erratically, I couldn't obey traffic signs, and immediately after the hijacking, all I wanted to do was get on a boat and get away from someone so I wouldn't cause an accident, which I actually did before I left, is I ran a stop sign and was T-boned by another person and it just confirmed my belief that I should get on that boat and get away for awhile.

Q Was the boat to you a safe environment that you needed a retreat to?

A It was very comforting, yeah. It was -- it was a confined environment that, you know, I didn't have to deal with the -- with -- I didn't have to deal with attention span so much. You know, that type of work was -- didn't demand any kind of attention span. It just demanded repetitive motion and such.

There was no -- there was no staying focused on different things. It was pretty much just -- at least working on processors was just doing the same thing over and over again.

- Q When you got your degree in general biology at Washington State University, were you a focused person?
- A I think I was pretty focused during that time, yeah, for sure.
 - Q Did you have an attention span?

- 1 A I had a better attention span, yes, I did.
- 2 Q Did you have the ability to concentrate on your work that
- 3 you wanted to do and in the laboratory?
- 4 A Yes, I did.
- Q You earlier testified that you intended to get your
- 6 master's degree. Have you ever been able to go back and get
- 7 | it?
- 8 A I never did do that, no.
- 9 Q And you earlier testified that you wanted to do research
- 10 in a laboratory in the, I assume, the biology field; is that
- 11 | correct?
- 12 A That's correct.
- 13 Q Did that include marine biology?
- 14 A It could have. I -- you know, I never really sent
- 15 \parallel feelers out to see where the jobs were. I enjoyed marine
- 16 biology and I actually, you know, during the course of
- 17 | fishing, I was glad I had a biology degree because there were
- 18 a lot of things coming off the ocean floor that I could
- 19 dentify and see in vivo as such.
- 20 Q With you ever, since you took that bullet to the back of
- 21 your head, have you ever felt able to go back and get your
- 22 master's degree or go back and work in a laboratory and do the
- 23 things that you were trained to do and had intended to do?
- 24 A No. Like I said before, every year I intended to and I'd
- 25 always get back on that boat just because it was -- it was a

comforting environment for me.

THE COURT: Could you tell me about the boat? Is it -- what body of water is it in?

THE WITNESS: There are actually many, many boats. For the first three years I was on floating processors, which are processors that actually process the fish. And then I got on fishing boats, which is actually the catcher boats, and these are — I do saning which is persaning, gill netting and I do long lining, and these are smaller boats like in the 50-to 60-foot range and I would do that in the Prince William Sound and the North Pacific and Southeast Alaska.

Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) Tell the Court, over the last 25 years, what kind of work you have done and what kind of earnings you had, although we'll be filing actual records with the Court.

MR. HEIDEMAN: And request leave at this time, Your Honor, for him to identify those so that they can then be moved into evidence.

THE COURT: Sure, that's fine.

- Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) Go ahead.
- A So, do you want records from the first point of my fishing career?
- Q Why don't you share with the Court what you recall about your work over the last 25 years that you have been able to do and the earnings that you made?
- 25 A Floating processors, they're long hours and not very much

pay, maybe \$6 an hour, but you can work 12 to 16 hours a day when the fish are in, and when there's no fish, then you're pretty much idle, so you're trying for the most hours of doing the repetitive processing of the fish.

And on a fishing boat, it's -- you know, there is so many vagaries to fishing. You know, there's price and there's market and there's -- there is whether you can catch the fish and/or being on a fishing boat that has a quota to catch the fish. There is just so many different elements to fishing that it's -- it becomes -- it becomes like a gambler, you know. Every fishing season you think that you're going to make a big hull and you may or may not. It may be a very good season or a very bad season but you always have that hope that it's going to be -- all the elements are going to align so that you're going to make a lot of money.

And so I probably made between 5,000 and, I don't know, 15,000, you know, doing four or five — four or five months of work on floating processors, and in the substantive — subsequent years, I've maybe — I can't remember how many years. There were maybe 15 years of commercial fishing. I would make anywhere from 5— or 6,000 on a disaster year or up to 60— or 70,000 on very good years. I made the most money doing longlining, which is for halibut and black cod, and those are very good markets in the Japanese market for black cod and the domestic market for halibut, so they pay \$5 a

- pound for it and I get 10 percent of the catch as a normal crew share on the fishing boat, so...
- 3 Q Is that the nature of the work you've continued to do?
- 4 A Yes, until I got married.
- 5 Q And when was that?
- 6 A 1998.
- 7 Q And the name of your wife?
- 8 A Holly Hinman.
- 9 Q And are you still married?
- 10 A Yes, I am.
- 11 Q Any children?
- 12 A No.
- 13 Q Had you ever been married before?
- 14 A No.
- 15 Q And have you ever had any children?
- 16 A Never.
- Q What was your emotional state in the years following the hijacking and over the last 25 years?
- 19 A As an overall emotional state, it was -- I was angry
- 20 shortly after the hijacking and it was hard to release that
- 21 anger. I mean, I don't think I was overtly angry. I wasn't
- 22 angry at any -- I don't think I was angry at any one person or
- 23 any people. I was just angry at the situation I was in, that
- 24 I guess I was mostly angry at Rezaq who had done the shooting
- 25 \parallel and started all the hoopla.

And I don't think I could really have gone on with my life until I released that anger and that took about a year, and -- of introspection. I don't think I even recognized that I had that anger until, you know, maybe eight or nine months after it happened because I was -- I was still -- I was still in kind of a lot of shock and I didn't recognize the shock because I was in denial. I don't know, everything just seemed to circle on itself.

And it's only, you know, as I live my life and look at it in retrospect that I can even determine those things. So I guess I started out angry and then I just wanted to get on with my life. You know, like I say, I hated being a victim and that seemed to be the central thread. Even though it was a catharsis to tell my story over and over again, it was a relief to get it out, but every time it reminded me that I was a victim and that never really had gone away.

So, my emotional state in general, I suppose, as far as everyone can see, is I'm a pretty happy guy, and I am a happy guy and I enjoy life and I'm happy to be here and -- but I do, especially with this testimony, still harbor feelings and emotions that I didn't know that I had. And so I got to say it's a relief to come up here and have my day in court and tell my story finally. I just feel like having some bit of closure, so...

Q Is there anything you'd like to say to the Court that you

haven't had in your mind the opportunity yet to say here?

A I would just like to reiterate what I just said in that I appreciate having this time in court, even no matter what the outcome is, just it's a relief to come up and tell the story and get it out and have my day in court.

And it -- I think this will, further on, just telling it, it's a further part of the -- my catharsis of getting it out and just moving on. And so I realize that this -- this is going to be with me the rest of my life and that it does have an affect on me, but it's -- I do enjoy life and I've moved on, I think, so I think that's it, yeah.

- Q Are you doing the best you can?
- 13 A Sure, yeah, of course.

- Q Are there things you wanted to do with life that you'll never do as a result of this hijacking?
- A Well, yeah. Of course there is. I mean, I'm sure it had a complete effect on how my life would have been had I not gone through the hijacking, but I try to make the best of everything that happens to me and just move on from there, and I think I did a good job of having a good happy life, and that's my reward. That's my revenge also to the hijacker Rezaq, I think.
- Q Thank you.
- MR. HEIDEMAN: Before we release this witness, Your

 Honor --

THE COURT: I have a question. Mr. Baker, what are 1 2 you doing now? 3 THE WITNESS: I work for an engineering company in 4 the oil industry. 5 THE COURT: What's the name? 6 THE WITNESS: I work on site at a refinery in 7 Whatcom County where I live. I'm an NDE tech, which we're responsible for -- it stands for nondestructive testing of the 8 9 piping. 10 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. HEIDEMAN: 11 (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) You had said -- I had asked you about 12 your work in fishing and you said you continued in that until 13 1998 when you got married, and I didn't ask you about --14 I did actually work while I was married a couple of years 15 but with every intention that I was going to drop it and I did because I know that a lot of marriages don't survive fishing, 16 17 so... 18 So, since 1998, your work has been in the engineering oil field? 19 20 Α Yes. 21 All right. And have you been able to use some of the 22 things you learned in your undergraduate degree? 23 Yeah, of course. The science, having had hard sciences Α 24 and physics and chemistry has definitely been a benefit, of

course.

Q But had you had your master's, you would have done much better?

A Yeah, I suppose so. It's always good to have higher education.

MR. HEIDEMAN: A couple of things, if I may, Your Honor. One of the doctors he saw at the Malta hospital was Dr. Angela Psaila, P-a- -- Angelo J. Psaila, P-s-a-i-l-a. His notarized affidavit, Your Honor, is at Exhibit 31, would like to introduce that and read quickly to the Court -- and by the way, that exhibit attaches not only his curriculum vitae and his expertise as Attachment A, but as Attachment B the very St. Luke's hospital record that we have already put into -- into evidence as the previous exhibit.

As to Dr. Psaila, he indicates in pertinent part that Mr. Baker was shot point blank at the back of the head, thrown from the airplane to the tarmac two times. It further indicates that he suffered concussion to the brain, he had a laceration, wound head trauma to the right occipital region of the head that he in addition suffered contusions, back, abrasions to elbows and knees as a result of being thrown off the airplane two times, that his treatment included the head wound that was the shot to the back of the head, treatment of the contusions and abrasions and monitoring the possibility of internal brain injury, which another doctor will testify about.

The doctor expresses his opinion under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that his physical injuries could have caused permanent mental disturbances, including personality changes and long-term depression, and accordingly, we would move Exhibit 31 into evidence at this time.

THE COURT: It will be admitted.

(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 31 ADMITTED.)

MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you. And Your Honor, in addition.

- Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) Patrick, you've seen Dr. Gary K. Stimac; is that correct?
- 23 A That's correct.

MR. HEIDEMAN: Dr. Stimac's curriculum vitae, very, very extensive, Your Honor, we introduce as Exhibit 32 to

qualify him as an expert. It's a 21-page resume. Rather than 2 taking the Court's time, since we do have Colonel Lang 3 standing by to testify, if we could, before the lunch break, I would like to introduce and qualify Dr. Stimac in accordance 4 5 with his curriculum vitae, and I introduce Exhibit 32 and move that the Court accept Dr. Stimac as an expert. 6 7 THE COURT: That will be admitted, and it will be so 8 ordered. 9 Thank you. Then we would move MR. HEIDEMAN: 10 Exhibit 32 into evidence. 11 THE COURT: Admitted. 12 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 32 ADMITTED.) 13 MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you. And as to Exhibit 33, 14 Your Honor, Dr. Stimac has prepared a report. 15 (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) You did, Patrick, see Dr. Stimac; is that correct? 16 17 That's correct. Α And Dr. Stimac has prepared a report at Exhibit 33. 18 19 Dr. Stimac is in Bellevue, Washington; is that correct? 20 Yes. Actually I never -- Dr. Stimac reviewed -- I never 21 saw him in person. 22 One moment, please. Q 23 He reviewed my MRI and --24 Yes, I'm sorry. Thank you. Dr. Stimac took an MRI scan

of you, which was performed on December 11, 2009 at the Nevada

- 3 A Correct.
 - Q Good.

MR. HEIDEMAN: So that the record is clear, since the court reporter may still not have the sound, I'm not sure, Exhibit 31 we're handing to the court reporter, which I believe I've moved into evidence; Exhibit 32 being Dr. Stimac's CV is with the court reporter, which we've moved into evidence; and now we would move Exhibit 33 into evidence, Your Honor, being Dr. Stimac's report.

In that report to Dr. Stimac, having been testified and Dr. Stimac being both a Ph.D and an MD expresses the following summary to the Court based upon his review of the CT and the MRI -- of the DTI scan of Mr. Baker and the MRI scan that I previously mentioned, and his opinion is as follows.

Summary 1, Mr. Baker suffered at least three significant head injuries as a result of being struck by a high speed bullet and two falls from great heights. These injuries were each sufficient to cause closed head shearing trauma to the brain.

- 2, the symptoms at the time of the injury included loss of consciousness and disorientation. These are typical symptoms of a closed head injury.
 - 3, the long-term symptoms reflect a constellation of

```
abnormalities in diverse areas of brain function.
     pattern is typically seen as a result of closed head trauma
2
3
     and DAI.
               4, the MRI scan, performed 24 years after the
 4
5
     injury, shows a decrease in corpus callosum fiber tracts in an
6
     anterior-and-posterior distribution. This distribution is
 7
     typically seen in association with closed head injury.
     pattern is not seen in association with other forms of brain
8
9
     disease.
10
               No. 5, the MRI findings reflect physical damage to
11
     axons in Mr. Baker's brain. The damage is permanent.
12
               6, based upon my review of the MRI findings,
13
     available records of Mr. Baker, and my expertise, it is my
14
     opinion to a reasonable degree of medical certainty that the
15
     MRI abnormalities are the result of the physical injuries that
    Mr. Baker sustained during the hijacking on November 23<sup>rd</sup>,
16
17
     1985. Signed Gary K. Stimac, Ph.D., M.D.
18
               And accordingly, Your Honor, we would move Exhibit
19
     33 and this testimony into evidence.
20
               THE COURT: It's admitted.
21
               (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 33 ADMITTED.)
22
               MR. HEIDEMAN:
                              Thank you very much.
23
           (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) Before we release you, Patrick, since I
```

repeat, we know that you're not going to be here in court tomorrow due to your work obligations, is there anything --

first I want to thank you for going through this difficult testimony and remembering all you remembered.

Is there anything further you would like to say to the Court in support of your request that the Court hold the Defendants responsible for the execution-style bullet which you took to the back of your head from the hijacker Rezaq pursuant to the EgyptAir Flight 648 hijacking?

A I'd just like to say that I'm really grateful to have my chance to speak in court and reiterate that, and that I don't know, I'm just hoping that this might do a little something to prevent this from happening again and holding countries accountable for harboring terrorists. That was my main focus from the beginning and it still is, and so I'm really grateful to have my say in court, and thank you very much.

EXAMINATION

16 BY THE COURT:

- Q Mr. Baker, could you do me a favor. Could you go over there and look at the picture of the airplane, that's the second picture.
- A Yeah.
- Q And explain something to me. When they take you, the two men, right.
- 23 A Yeah.
- Q You're at that doorway and they fling you forward?
- 25 A When I was to be shot --

- 1 Q In other words, they've got you by both arms and your
- 2 hands are behind your back.
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Now, in that picture there is separation between the
- 5 | fuselage of the plane and the stairs. That's not right.
- 6 A It appears that way, but that's not right.
- 7 Q So that's flush with the fuselage.
- 8 A Yeah.
- 9 Q Now, the two men take you.
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And you're facing looking down the stairs?
- 12 A Well, I'm flopped over the stairway here, and I know that
- 13 one leg is flopped over this side of the stairway and then
- 14 they take me and they drag me backwards -- I have my eyes
- 15 closed -- up to this top platform here.
- 16 Q All right.
- 17 A And the next thing I know I'm weightless, and I don't
- 18 think -- I don't know if they threw me over this rail and down
- 19 this way.
- 20 Q All right. But they didn't throw you back down the
- 21 stairs.
- 22 A No.
- 23 Q You didn't bounce along the stairs.
- 24 A No. When I first was shot, I bounced on the stairs.
- 25 Q All right. So you're first shot, then you're bounced

- 1 along the stairs and you go to the bottom.
- 2 A No, about halfway down.
- 3 Q About halfway down.
- 4 A Yeah.
- 6 A Yes. They drag me back up the stairs.
- 7 Q And then you sense they're going to shoot you again.
- 8 A I did.
- 9 Q But you've got your eyes closed.
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And you're assuming they think you're dead.
- 12 A I'm hoping, yes.
- 13 Q You're hoping they think you're dead.
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q And the next thing you feel is this feeling of
- weightlessness, and you now infer they probably threw you over
- 17 the side as opposed to back down the stairs.
- 18 A Oh, yes. Oh, yes, they threw me over the side, and I
- 19 don't know if there's a door that opens here and they threw me
- 20 off or if they drew me over the rail. I don't recall that.
- 21 just know that my shoulders struck this right there.
- 22 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
- MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. And in that
- 24 regard, we have the materials from Boeing as it relates to the
- 25 \parallel height from the airplane to the tarmac, which we will -- which

```
will be in the additional materials the Court will be
     receiving. And in light of this foundation, subject to the
2
3
     Court receiving those matters, we would move those into
     evidence as well with an exhibit number that we'll tender to
 4
5
     the Court. Is that acceptable to the Court?
6
               THE COURT: Certainly. That's fine. Thank you.
 7
               MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you very much.
8
               THE WITNESS: Thank you.
9
               MR. HEIDEMAN: Patrick, thank you.
10
               THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you.
11
               THE COURT: Proceed.
12
               MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you very much. May we call
13
     Colonel Lang to the stand.
14
               THE COURT: Okay.
15
               MR. HEIDEMAN:
                              Thank you.
16
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Raise your right hand, sir.
17
               (WITNESS SWORN BY THE DEPUTY CLERK.)
18
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you.
19
                        COLONEL WALTER LANG, JR.,
20
    having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
21
                           DIRECT EXAMINATION
22
    BY MR. HEIDEMAN:
23
          Colonel, thank you for waiting patiently today. I
24
     appreciate it. Would you state your name, please, to the
```

- l A Walter Patrick Lang, Jr.
- Q Thank you. And can you please tell the Court what was your occupation and what is your occupation.
 - A Well, I served in the United States Army for 26 years and retired as a full Colonel of military intelligence, and then I was in the senior executive service of the Defense Intelligence Agency for six years.

And then I was in business in the Middle East for ten years, and now I am more or less retired except that people keep asking me to do things for them.

- 11 Q Including me?
- 12 A Yes.

- Q Thank you very much. Colonel, how -- let's see, although
 I'm going to be introducing in a moment your resume, your
 curriculum vitae --
- MR. HEIDEMAN: As Exhibit 39, Your Honor.
- Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) -- can you please give the Court a chronology of your activities on behalf of the United States Government from the beginning of work until now.
 - A Well, I graduated from the Virginia Military Institute in 1962 and was given a commission in the regular Army as an infantry officer and I served in the infantry and then in Army special forces, what civilians call the green berets and in Latin America and in Southeast Asia and East Africa. And then I was the professor of Arabic. I became a member of the Army

Specialty Training Program for Middle East trained officers after the war in Vietnam ended, and I went to graduate school, language school, and I was the first professor of the Arabic language at the United States Military Academy at West Point, New York and the head of the curriculum there in Middle East studies for three years.

Then I went to North Yemen where I was a Defense and Army attache' there for three years. I came back for a few months, and then I went to Saudi Arabia where I was a Defense and Army attache' for another couple of years. Then I went to Army War College as a student, and along the way there I was awarded a colonel. And while I was in the Army War College, the director of the Defense Intelligence Agency, Lieutenant General James Williams called me up and asked me if I would take a job that was titled to be the Defense Intelligence Officer for the Middle East, South Asia, and Counterterrorism, which I accepted.

And it's -- it was a pretty good offer. So I -- in that capacity, I was the head of all the business at the Defense Intelligence Agency conducted with regard to the Middle East, South Asia, and Counterterrorism for seven years. First as a Colonel in the Army, and then when I retired, they insisted I stay on in the senior executive service, which Congress allowed.

So I did that until after the first Gulf War, and

then I went over, was the head of the Defense HUMINT. That's all the collecting information around the world done by the Defense Department using both overt means and also non-overt means, and I did that for several years. And then I retired from government service, went to work for the Arabic acquaintance of mine. He owned a company that manufactured building materials and had a dozen factories in the Gulf region, on the Arabian Gulf region and other parts in the Middle East and Europe, and I worked for him for ten years as a corporate officer in government relations, business development, and I built three factories here in the United States for a period of time and I just quit.

That happened to be the week before 9/11, and my attempt to retire was more or less unsuccessful because I've been kept fairly busy ever since. I used to do a lot of television, and I worked for CNN and the News Hour as a talking head kind of person for a couple of years at the beginning of the war and decided I didn't want to do that anymore.

- Q Have you written a number of books, including on "Intelligence: The Human Factor"?
- A Yes. I wrote a textbook on how to -- the craft and business of espionage, how to do it, and that was published a number of years ago. It was cleared by Department of Defense.

 I've also written a couple of novels which are essentially a

1 spy story set in the American Civil War.
2 Q And have you participated in various symposia, giving

boards. I belong to several boards.

intelligence and counterterrorism and related matters?

A Yes. I have written many, many articles. You have a list of my CV, and they've been published all over the world, including the United States on the Middle East, on the intelligence business on counterterrorism on the Middle East, indeed on special operations since I was a Special Forces officer. And I've participated in many, many symposia and

lectures as well as writing articles in the field of

I'm a director of the Harry F. Guggenheim Foundation and a director of the Middle East Policy Council, and I'm a member of the Board of Advisors of the International Relations Department at the Virginia Military Institute. So I -- there is a lot of things here.

- Q Thank you. I've handed you a copy of Exhibit 39 being a curriculum vitae. Is that in front of you?
- 19 A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 20 \ O And is that true and correct?
- 21 A Yes, it is.
- MR. HEIDEMAN: We'll move Exhibit 39 into evidence,
 Your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Be accepted.
- 25 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 39 ADMITTED.)

- 1 MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you very much.
- Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) Now, you have already testified that
- 3 you're retired from the U.S. Government as well as the U.S. Army
- 4 having attained the rank of Colonel; is that correct?
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 Q And are you currently an independent consultant with
- 7 expertise in the greater Middle East in intelligence matters
- 8 as well as in counterterrorism matters?
- 9 A Yes, that's correct.
- 10 Q And did I contact you or my -- one of my law partners
- 11 contact you to request that you render expert testimony with
- 12 regard to Syria's sponsorship of the Abu Nidal Organization?
- 13 A Yes, she did.
- 14 Q And do you have knowledge, information and expertise on
- 15 \parallel the question as to whether or not Syria is a -- listed by the
- 16 U.S. Department of State as a state sponsor of terror?
- 17 A Yes, that's a matter of public record, has been for some
- 18 time.
- 19 Q Thank you. And do you have knowledge and expertise on
- 20 the issue of Syria's sponsorship of the Abu Nidal
- 21 Organization?
- 22 A Yes, I certainly do.
- 23 Q And do you have knowledge and expertise on the issue of
- 24 the Abu Nidal Organization's commitment of the hijacking of
- 25 EgyptAir Flight 648 of November 23rd, 1985?

- A Yes. I remember this incident with great specificity since I was involved in the Washington end of it very directly, and then I was in charge of the Defense Department's investigation of all the evidence available as to what had happened and who had done what and who had supported what, yes.
- Q And in addition, do you have knowledge and expertise on the issue of other Syrian-sponsored acts of terrorism committed by the Abu Nidal Organization, including but not limited to the Rome and Vienna coordinated airport attacks of one month later, December 27, 1985?
- 12 A Yes, I do.

- Q And are you prepared to share your information, your knowledge, your expertise and your findings on these issues with the Court subject to the Court's acceptance of you as an expert witness?
- 17 A Yes, I am.
 - Q In that regard, would it be accurate to say that throughout your military career, your government career and your consulting career you've specialized in both Middle East and North African affairs in the area of intelligence, terrorism and counterterrorism?
 - A Well, my involvement in the intelligence business started in the mid '60s when I was still in Special Forces and has been continuous ever since. I'm still a consultant to the

- 1 U.S. Government, to the Director of National Intelligence,
- 2 U.S. Army in intelligence matters, and my Middle Eastern
- 3 specialization started immediately after the war in Vietnam
- 4 and has continued ever since.
- 5 Q Thank you. And have -- did you receive your BA from the
- 6 Virginia Military Institute in English in 1962 and your MA in
- 7 Middle Eastern studies from the University of Utah in 1974
- 8 where you were inducted as a member of national honor Phi
- 9 Kappa Phi?
- 10 A Yes, that's correct.
- 11 Q And in addition, as your -- you've received various
- 12 specialized training involved in your area of expertise; is
- 13 | that correct?
- 14 A Yes, there are a wide variety of academic and military
- 15 \parallel and other governmental courses and qualifying things, yes.
- 16 Q And did you attend the Defense Language Institute in
- 17 Monterey, California to study Arabic and completed courses of
- 18 the study -- of study at both the Armed Forces Staff College,
- 19 the U.S. Army Command, the General Staff College, and the U.S.
- 20 Army War College at Carlisle Barracks, Pennsylvania?
- 21 A That is all correct.
- 22 Q And you served in the United States Government for 32
- 23 | years from June 1962 when you were commissioned as an officer
- 24 of the U.S. Army until your retirement from the Army as a
- 25 Colonel in October 1988, and subsequently as a member of the

- Defense Intelligence Senior Executive Service and the Defense
 Intelligence Agency until your retirement from the DIA in late

1994; is that correct?

That is correct.

- Q So, during the time period that I've just indicated, the actual EgyptAir hijacking took place; is that correct?
- A Yes. That occurred just a few months after I took over the job in DIA as the chief officer for the Middle East.
 - Q Thank you. And in addition, by the way, you served as the Defense and Army attache' in the U.S. embassies in Yemen and in Saudi Arabia; is that correct?
 - A That's correct.
 - Q And you have received honors from the United States in the Defense Intelligence Senior Executive Service, specifically in 1992 you were awarded the presidential rank of distinguished service, which has been said that to be the American equivalent of a British knighthood given to a senior civil servant for excellence of performance; is that correct?
 - A It's actually the presidential rank of distinguished executive, but yes, all the rest of that is correct.
 - Q Thank you. And during your Army career, you served in the infantry and Special Forces, the green berets, as well as an officer of military intelligence for the Army. You served in Vietnam, you became part of their management program that trained and employed officers for duty in various parts of the

- world for which a high level of expertise was needed, and the program was and is called the Foreign Area Officer Program, and as a member of that Army program, you actually became a specialist in the Middle East and North Africa area while at the same time retaining your membership in military intelligence; is that correct?
- A That's correct.

- Q Thank you. And you became a specialist in Middle

 East/North Africa area for the Foreign Area Officer Program by

 being trained for that program which was lengthy and thorough,

 including your attendance at the Defense Language Institute to

 study Arabic, at which time you obtained a perfect 100 percent

 score on the Department of Defense Language Test for Modern

 Standard Arabic; is that correct?
- A That is correct.
- Q In addition, you studied at the Middle East Center of the University of Utah in Salt Lake City where you received a master's of arts degree in Middle East Studies with specialization in Arab Literature, Modern Political History of the Arab World and Social Anthropology of the Middle East; is that correct?
- 22 A That's correct.
- Q Your grade point in that program was a 4.0 out of a 4.0, and at the University of Utah you were inducted into the international honors fraternity of Phi Kappa Phi; is that

correct?

- A Yes, it is.
- Q And with the Defense Intelligence Agency, moving directly now to the period of 1985, just being the same year as the EgyptAir hijacking and the Rome and Vienna airport attacks, is it true that you were designated to the official position
- 7 called Defense Intelligence Officer for the Middle East, South
- 8 Asia and Counterterrorism; is that correct?
- 9 A Yes, that's a job title, not a job description, and so it's the Defense Intelligence Officer for.
- Q And explain to the Court what were the responsibilities
 of Defense Intelligence officers at that time in the Defense
 Intelligence Agency?
 - A The direct -- at that time the director of the Defense Intelligence Agency wanted to have a board of highly qualified people, some civilians and some active duty officers, who were responsible only to him and who would oversee all the functions and operations of the Agency with regard to a particular area of the world or function. For example, there was a strategic forces defense intelligence officer as well as one for South Asia, one for Europe, et cetera. And in that regard, you had control of all -- of everything the Agency produced or did with regard to that function or area of the world and you oversaw the provision support to our principal clients who were the Secretary of Defense, the Chairman of

Joint Chiefs Of staff, Unified Commander such as the commanding -- commander in chief at that time of Central 2 3 Command, which is the Middle Eastern command and you do just generally ran everything that had to do with your part of the 4 5 world or your business, and it was a very challenging job. 6 Thank you. And do I understand that each of the Defense 7 Intelligence officers were responsible for both the quality and the content of all intelligence work done by the Defense 8 9 Intelligence Agency with regard to his or her area of 10 responsibility and that you reported directly to the director 11 of the Defense Intelligence Agency who was your boss, and is 12 all that correct, sir? 13 The Three Star General was your only boss and Yes. 14 basically nobody else could interfere with you and they 15 couldn't publish anything or do anything with regard to your function in your part of the world without your agreement. 16 17 So it would be accurate to say that no analytic 18 documents, briefings or other intelligence products could be 19 released to designated recipients within the U.S. Government 20 or other intelligence agencies without the personal approval 21 in your case of you as the Defense Intelligence officer for 22 the Middle East, Southeast and Counterterrorism; is that

A Yes, that's right. And anything they did with other agencies of the government involving your part of the world

2.3

24

correct?

- was also subject to your approval.
- 2 Q And I'm -- I've reviewed with you, in advance of this
- 3 testimony, various actual analytic reports and documents and
- 4 | briefings, including intelligence briefings that were prepared
- 5 during the actual time period of 1985 relating to the EgyptAir
- 6 hijacking and also relating to the Rome and Vienna airport
- 7 attacks; is that correct?
- 8 A Yes, we looked at those.
- 9 Q And I'll come to those in a moment. Do I understand
- 10 correctly that the Defense Intelligence officers actually led
- 11 the analytic thinking of the Agency initiating projects,
- 12 | prioritizing work for accomplishment and that all information
- 13 received from the field was then rooted to the Defense
- 14 Intelligence officer for that particular responsibility and
- 15 then became part of the Defense Intelligence Agency's
- 16 collection along with other materials from other national --
- 17 U.S. National Intelligence agencies such as the CIA, the NSA,
- 18 et cetera, and that all of that information then, from all the
- 19 U.S. Intelligence agencies, came to your hands as the Defense
- 20 Intelligence officer for Middle East as well as counter- --
- 21 | South Asian and counterterrorism in relation to the EgyptAir
- 22 | hijacking, and separately, the Rome and Vienna airport
- 23 attacks; is that correct?
- 24 A Some very specifically in those cases, yes.
- 25 Q And in that regard, you as the Defense Intelligence

- officer were the principal liaison for providing support and information to both the joint chiefs of staff as well as the Secretary of the Defense -- of Defense and his staff; is that
- 4 correct?

14

15

16

17

- A Yeah. Actually in person with those specific individuals. I was the personal liaison officer from the director of DIA to those two individuals.
- Q And you had a background, did you not, in the clandestine services as a case officer, an agent handler, and you guided closely the Human Intelligence Operations of the Defense Intelligence Agency for your area and function; isn't that correct?
 - A Yes. I was trained to be an espionage case officer in 1965 and was assigned in that capacity several times during my career until I finally ended up running all of it for the Defense Department.
 - Q The term "Human Intelligence" is HUMINT, H-U-M-I-N-T; is that correct?
- 19 A Yes. That's the standard abbreviation, yes.
- Q And you guided and led the HUMINT operations at the Defense Intelligence Agency; isn't that correct?
- 22 A Yes, it is.
- Q And now, for the time period from 1985 until -
 August 1985 until October 1992, you were in service in the

 position, as I understand it, both as a Defense Intelligence

- 1 officer as indicated but also as the head of HUMINT
- 2 Intelligence?
- 3 A No, that's not quite correct.
- 4 Q Correct me, please.
- 5 A From 1985 to 1992 I was the DIO, which is the way that
- 6 would be shortened for the Middle East, South Asia and
- 7 counterterrorism, and then from '92 until I left the Agency in
- 8 '95, I was the head of Defense HUMINT Worldwide.
- 9 Q And so the HUMINT responsibilities were from 1992 until
- 10 you retired; is that correct?
- 11 A As an executive, that's right, yeah.
- 12 Q Thank you very much. Now, as the Defense Intelligence
- 13 officer for Middle East, South Asia and counterterrorism, at
- 14 the time leading up to and including and following the
- 15 EgyptAir hijacking, the various analytic documents, briefing
- 16 and other intelligence products were personally reviewed by
- 17 you during the time period of 1985; isn't that correct?
- 18 A Yes. I started looking at the counterterrorism analysis
- 20 \ '85, and there had been an earlier hijacking operation that
- 21 ended up in Sicily, and I didn't think we had done a very good
- 22 job, so I really strengthened what we were doing. So we were
- 23 really focused on this kind of analysis by the time this
- 24 happened really.
 - Q I have not yet asked you about your opinions, although

- I'm about to, because I'm required first to qualify you as an expert. Before doing so, I want to confirm that one of the books that you have actually published is on HUMINT operations called "Intelligence: The Human Factor"; is that correct?
- 5 A Yes, that's correct.

Thank you.

- Q And lastly, in relation to your area of expertise, you have taught university level courses both in Arabic studies and Middle East studies at the United States Military Academy at West Point, New York, and you served as the first professor of Arabic language in the Department of Foreign Languages at West Point; is that correct?
- A Yes. I also taught a course over at American University in counterterrorism for senior police officers from overseas.
- MR. HEIDEMAN: Your Honor, having previously moved and the Court having accepted Exhibit 39 being the curriculum vitae of Colonel Lang and along with Colonel Lang's testimony thus far as to his qualifications, I have only one other matter to ask Colonel Lang about and then would ask you to accept and qualify him as an expert witness.
- Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) And that one matter is, please tell the court, Colonel, whether or not you have previously served as an expert witness in courts in here in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia.
- A Yes, on one previous occasion that I remember

- 1 specifically. I was an expert witness in the court of Judge
- 2 Royce Lamberth here in a civil action about five years ago.
- 3 Your partner has the date on that case.
- $4 \parallel Q$ Yes. And is that the matter of Kahani versus Islamic
- 5 Republic of Iran before Judge Lamberth?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And were you accepted and qualified as an expert witness
- 8 and did you appear and testify in that matter in this
- 9 | courthouse?
- 10 A I did.
- 11 Q Thank you very much.
- MR. HEIDEMAN: At this time, Your Honor, we would
- 13 move Colonel Lang's qualifications before the Court and ask,
- 14 pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 702, that he be deemed
- 15 qualified as an expert in the field of terrorism,
- 16 counterterrorism, Middle Eastern affairs, politics and to
- 17 render opinions on the various matters in which he has
- 18 expertise, including but not limited to the sponsorship by
- 19 Syria of terrorism, its sponsorship of ANO, the Abu Nidal
- 20 Organization, and the commitment by the Abu Nidal Organization
- 21 with Syrian sponsorship of the hijacking of EgyptAir Flight
- 22 648, and separately the Rome and Vienna airport attacks.
- THE COURT: So moved.
- MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you very much.
- 25 THE COURT: Now, before we go.

```
1
               MR. HEIDEMAN: Yes, sir.
 2
               THE COURT: It's nearly 1:00 o'clock.
 3
               MR. HEIDEMAN: All right.
               THE COURT: I've got to get these folks something to
 4
5
     eat.
                              I'm sorry?
6
               MR. HEIDEMAN:
 7
               THE COURT: I've got to get these folks something to
8
     eat.
9
               MR. HEIDEMAN:
                              Yes, sir.
10
                          All of those folks out there as well, so
               THE COURT:
11
     let's break till 2:00 o'clock, okay.
12
                              Thank you very much.
               MR. HEIDEMAN:
13
               THE COURT: Please do not discuss your testimony
14
     with anyone, Colonel, until you come back.
15
               THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
16
               THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
17
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise. This court is in
18
     recess until 2:00 o'clock.
19
               (A LUNCH RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
20
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Court is back in session.
21
     be seated everyone, come to order.
22
               THE COURT: Colonel, you're still under oath.
23
               THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
24
           (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) Colonel Lang, before the break, you
     testified that in August of 1985 you became the Defense
```

- Intelligence Officer for Middle East, South Asia and counterterrorism. In that capacity, did you become familiar with the Achille Lauro hijacking which took place in August of 1985?
- A Yes, I certainly did, yeah.

- Q And what did you determine happened under that particular event?
 - A Well, I thought that the -- from my point of view with regard to the actions of the intelligence community, that we had not done a very thorough job and not paid enough attention to these groups in advance. And then I thought the outcome was unsatisfactory, so I spent a lot of time for a couple of months getting everybody organized to really look at these groups in great detail, and so we were in good shape by the time this incident took place to conduct an intensive analysis of the facts and produce reports and participate in the national deliberations over what the facts had done and published papers, all that kind of thing.
 - Q And just briefly describe to the Court who -- what was the Achille Lauro incident and who committed that and what happened.
- A Well, it's been 25 years or something, but as I recall,
 it's -- the ship was hijacked by I believe it was a group for
 the liberation of Palestine and several people were killed.

 One of them was an elderly gentleman who was actually thrown

- over the side in a wheelchair, and when the hijackers eventually got ahold of an aircraft and ended up in Sigonella, in Sicily and they surrendered to the Italians, the Italians turned them loose within a fairly short period of time. I believe that's the case.
- Q And as a result of studying, as the Defense Intelligence officer, that particular matter, what actions did you take as a result of the conclusions you drew?
- A Well, I told the director that we needed to have more people working on the counterterrorism problem, that these incidents involving aircraft and ships were becoming increasingly destructive and violent and that we -- we went out and found some more people who could be devoted full time to this problem over the next two or three months. Until this happened, we were engaged in compiling news studies of these various groups, their leaders, their motivations, what their ideology was, all that kind of business, so we were in pretty good shape by the time this incident took place.
- 19 Q By this --

- A My side of this thing in DIA at the time is entirely analytical.
 - Q Excuse me, Colonel. I'm going to interrupt, which I don't like to do. I'm sorry. I'm going to ask you to speak a little slower for the court reporter because I'm watching her fingers move very fast and --

- 1 A All right.
- Q Besides, this is a system that electronically has a monitor with it, so if you would, I'd appreciate it.
- 4 A Okay.

- 5 Q Thank you, sir.
 - A All right. Well, I -- my end of this at that time in DIA was entirely on the analytic side, so it was my task to prepare the Agency to deal with these situations in terms of analysis as part of the National Intelligence Community of which we were one agency.
 - Q In the aftermath of the Achille Lauro attack, did you take special pains to ensure that if there were other incidents, the DIA would be, in your view, more ready, and if you did, tell the Court what you did.
 - A Well, as I said, I -- we didn't have enough people working on this problem. It was an increasingly significant problem, so I persuaded the director of the Agency to give me some more people that could be put into the direct work of analyzing terrorist organizations, their operations, and playing a role in the reaction of the Government to such incidents when it took place.
 - Q So, from the time -- and did the director approve your recommendation and were additional people brought in under your supervision?
- 25 A Yes.

Q And accordingly, in the time period following the Achille
Lauro incident and murder of Leon Klinghoffer, in the fall of
1985, August, September, October, and November, prior to the
hijacking, what did you, as the Defense Intelligence Officer,
and what did the people under your supervision and others with

and what did the people under your supervision and others with whom you worked, what did you concentrate on specifically?

A Well, we needed to be able to have the people who worked the 24-hour-a-day, seven-day-a-week watch and have a special desk in the National Defense Watch Center in the Pentagon so that any indications that would occur, that such an event was going to take place would be immediately focused on and that re-enforcements would be brought in so we would have a sizeable task force working on a problem like this immediately. In that context, when this happened, I was contacted immediately.

Q Prior to the date of November 23rd, 1985 and in the fall that I'm talking about, did this expanded team working under you concentrate on gathering, reviewing and analyzing and disseminating information about the various terrorist organizations and the state sponsors of terror that were sponsoring those terrorist organizations?

A Yes, this was seen as all one big problem, the fact that there were state sponsors of terrorism and that -- and that the kinds of support that they were providing to the various organizations, that this kind of nexus of terrorist groups,

usually Palestinian and state sponsors who were supporting
them for reasons that had more to do with their own state
craft in the region than anything else, that had to be
thoroughly understood and mapped out, and that's what we did.

Q And in that work, can you tell the Court whether or not you as the Defense Intelligence Officer and the people working with you concentrated, among other things, on the sponsorship of the government and by the government of Syria of terrorism and sponsorship by the government of Syria of terrorist organizations?

A Yes. It was -- I mean, my larger world of analytic work had to do with all these countries, not just the terrorist organizations, so Syria was an object of interest to us as an entity in itself, not just in the context of its support of terrorist organizations, but it was clear that it had been a standing policy of the Syrian government for a long time to use political violence and the sponsorship of politically violent organizations as part of their state craft.

They considered this to be a -- they considered this to be one of the instruments of their policy of negotiation with regard to various international problems in the region.

It was just -- they thought that this was part of the process of diplomacy really, and so it was a factor in the world of Syrian diplomacy which was an attitude that was not unique to them in the Middle Eastern Region.

Q What other countries, based upon your review as the Defense Intelligence Officer, what other countries at the time also like Syria were, to use your words, and I'll paraphrase, "using and sponsoring terrorism as part of their standing governmental policy"?

A Well, it was very clear that Libyans under Omar Qadhdhafi had been following similar policies throughout his -- from the time he took power and the emerging Iranian Islamic Republic was very much inclined the same way, and it became a bigger and bigger problems in places like Lebanon and around the region. They're very quick to sponsor organizations if they thought they could use sub rosa as instruments of state policy.

Q And the government of Iraq, was it under your focus at that time?

A Yes, it was. The government of Iraq at that time was more inclined to -- it had, of course, a previous relationship with the Abu Nidal Organization, but Saddam Hussein's government tended to use its own security forces in the roles overseas that we would consider to be terrorist activities as much as giving help to these other organizations and they were particularly active in bullying Iraqi immigrants to the United States in the area of Michigan and things of that kind.

Q Did there come a time when the United States Department of State actually created a formalized list of state sponsors

- of terrorism?
- 2 A Certainly.
- Q And was the government of Syria placed on that list, in fact, on the very first list of, I believe, that was published
- 5 by the Department of State?
- A Yes. Insofar as I know, they've never gotten off that list since then.
 - Q Would it be -- do you recall the date on which the government of Syria was designated as a state sponsor of terrorism by the State Department?
- 11 A No, I don't.

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q I'll hand you something in a moment. Each of the

 countries that you have referenced in your last testimony,

 Syria, Libya, Iran and Iraq, were all four of those countries

 placed at one time or another by the State Department on its

 official list of state sponsors of terrorism?
 - A Yes, they have been. And the thing you have to remember about this list is that this is not strictly a State

 Department document. A document like that, that is to be released as a major announcement of U.S. Government position, is staffed around the Executive Branch in Washington here.

 For example, it was staffed at my agency as well, so I actually got to coordinate, as the same would be on this

particular list, and other such documents that the -- that

State would have published as a -- as an expression of U.S.

Government will.

2.3

- Q Thank you. And in the time period from August 1985 when you became the Defense Intelligence Officer, did you also review, did you say, a number of different and concentrate on a number of different terrorist organizations that were being sponsored by one or more of the state sponsors of terrorism?
- A Certainly. There were quite a few of them, usually Palestinian but sometimes so completely anarchic and nihilistic that connection with the Palestinians seemed somewhat abstract.
- Q And was the Abu Nidal Organization one of those terrorist organizations that was reviewed under your responsibility as the Defense Intelligence Officer for Middle East, South Asia and counterterrorism at the Defense Intelligence Agency?
- A Yes. They were right at the top of our list actually as one of the most violent and brutal of these organizations, as a kind of spinoff from the PLO in total rejection of any possibility of negotiated settlements.
- Q As the Defense Intelligence Officer for the Middle East and for counterterrorism at that time, were you tasked with personally reviewing and being the approving authority for the Defense Intelligence Agency's collection and analytic effort directed at and focused on the Abu Nidal Organization?
- 24 A Yes.
 - Q And in doing so, did you also concentrate on the Abu

Nidal Organization's relationships with the governments of those states that sponsored it, including but not limited to the government of Syria as well as its Syrian Air Force Intelligence?

governments, these organizations would have been relatively

Yes, because without that support from several different

powerless. I mean, they never had more than a handful of people. Without state support and a variety of kinds of things, they just couldn't have done the things they did.

They wouldn't have had any place to hide, for one thing.

Q In relation to the EgyptAir hijacking of November 23rd,

1985, and separately the Rome and Vienna airport attacks of

December 27, 1985, were you the responsible officer for the

Defense Intelligence Agency's participation in the

intelligence community and U.S. Government discussions

surrounding the EgyptAir case, the Rome and Vienna attacks,

and other similar cases in the fall of 1985 and the winter of

A Yes. In addition to our own internal papers and briefings that we did on the subject, there were also some community papers in which we participated in the writing, staffing, approval of, and I was a participant, the chief participant at DIA in all those.

Q Did you oversee and/or approve the papers and briefings on analytical articles written at or that came through the

Defense Intelligence Agency for review as it related to state sponsors of terror and as it related to the Abu Nidal Organization's hijacking of EgyptAir Flight 648 and separately the Rome and Vienna airport attacks of the following month?

A Yes. That was my function, yes.

Q And in that regard, can you tell the Court whether there were various papers written and classified analytic articles written at the Defense Intelligence Agency? And of course, if there's anything classified that you may have reviewed at the time that remains classified, we are not asking you about classified materials, certainly don't answer anything about classified materials, although you may feel free to tell the Court whether or not there were classified materials and whether or not you were also responsible for reviewing those.

A All of this material is classified, all of it, and it would have been at various levels of classification since the paper, whatever it was, would be classified itself overall at the highest level of any element contained within the paper.

The paragraphs would be separately classified, and the overall classification of the paper would be at the highest, whatever the highest one was.

Now, what the classification of these papers remains at this time, I don't know. I haven't seen any of these papers in a very long time, but they were all classified, and there are things every day -- I mean, this is a very hot

1 subject, so there were National Intelligence dailies, there

2 were Defense Intelligence daily briefing papers, there were

3 all kinds of briefings given to the Joint Chiefs of Staff and

4 \blacksquare to the -- to the National Security Council staff. There were

5 | telegraphic reports sent overseas that were classified. There

were just all kinds of papers, you know. This went on and on.

7 Q And would it be accurate to say that you personally, in

8 your capacity as the Defense Intelligence Officer, reviewed

9 them all and approved the ones written in the Defense

10 Intelligence Agency?

11 A Yes. I mean, we had a large staff and so there were

12 cccasions when I wasn't in the building when somebody else,

acting for me, would have done that. But as a general rule,

14 yes.

13

19

6

15 Q And in relation to the EgyptAir hijacking, did you review

16 all the U.S. Government intelligence that came through the

17 Defense Intelligence Agency either for authorship, for

18 release, or for review?

A Yes.

20 Q And are you familiar with that?

21 A Oh, yes, I'm familiar with all that stuff. The things

22 | that we wrote, the things that other parts of the Government

23 wrote, it all would have come across my desk. It would have

24 come across my desk before it went to the Chairman of Joint

25 Chiefs, for example.

- Q And as it relates, since it happened one month later to the -- as it relates to the Rome and Vienna airport attacks, did you also similarly review and approve all of those materials?
- A Yes, I certainly did.

Q Now, were there also briefings given on both the EgyptAir attack and hijacking, and separately, the Rome and Vienna airport attacks, to senior Defense Intelligence officials, as well as others in the Department of Defense, as well as others in the U.S. Government all the way up to the White House?

A Well, in the Pentagon, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs, the Chiefs, the senior officials of the Office of Secretary of Defense are briefed every day, every day.

So, every day, during this period, there would be material on this. The analysts are working at it 3:00 o'clock in the morning through numerous rehearsals until finally it's briefed to the principals, and then the products of our analysis were going to the other parts of the Government, including to the White House.

- Q And can you explain to the Court what the term "holdings" means in relation to intelligence materials?
- A Well, analysts are like research scholars. When you're in the intelligence business, you have collectors, people who go out sand vacuum up information, and then you have analysts who are like scholars, basically. And like any group of

scholars, they wish to have a large number of background documents, because they're -- what they do is based largely on comparison of new events to old events and forming an opinion as to probabilities and things like this.

You have to have a great deal of material to make such judgments, both specifics and in your head, and so in those days, especially, we had, you know, many, many, many safes and filing cabinets full of paper. Nowadays it's all in machines in a huge gigantic networked, you know, system of computers all across this city that contain all these vast holdings, as you would say, of information. These are, you're talking about, the holdings of information.

Q And did you approve, on behalf of the Defense

Intelligence Agency, and review on behalf of the DIA all

papers and briefings that were based on the wide range of U.S.

intelligence communities in-depth data holdings in relation to
the Abu Nidal Organization?

A Well, every intelligence agency — intelligence agency in the national community share and always have shared probably 90 percent of everything that they hold in this sense.

Everybody has a few things they don't share.

So, I mean, I can't tell you what they may not have given us from NSA or some place like that, but everything that we got, which was a very vast sea of information, I would have seen and been aware of, yes.

- Q And similarly, did you, as the Defense Intelligence
 Officer, review all information that came to you relating to
 Syria and the other state sponsors of terrorism with
 particular reference to their sponsorship of the Abu Nidal
 Organization?
- A Certainly.

- Q In addition, was it your responsibility to have specific knowledge and did you acquire specific knowledge from Signals intelligence as well as human espionage and intelligence sources both of the United States and of its allies?
- A Yes, that's all of these different means of collection come together in the hands of the analytic bureaus in which I was the principal person in this case.
- Q And in that capacity as the principal person relating to the EgyptAir hijacking, as well as separately the Rome and Vienna airport attacks, was there also intelligence reviewed and briefings received as a result of information received from defectors from the Palestinian revolutionary groups who were debriefed with regard to their knowledge of the operations of the Abu Nidal Organization and its sponsorship by Syria?
- A Yes. That was -- that was an evident part of all this.

 This is what you call HUMINT, and on the overt side and people

 like that are held in debriefing centers overseas and are

 interviewed repeatedly until you finally got everything you

- think you know that they know, and so that kind of material went into our holdings in DIA.
 - Q In 1985, was Syria and has it remained through today, as we are here in this courtroom, a United States Department of State designated state sponsor of terrorism?
 - A Yes, I think that's undoubtedly true. They remain a sponsor of organizations that we classified as terrorists.
 - Q In that regard, let me hand you what's been previously placed into our evidence binder and identified as Plaintiff's Exhibit 41 and ask you if you have seen that document, and can you identify that document.
 - A Is there a date on this? Oh, I see it, yes. Yeah, certainly. This is a standard list that the State Department puts out with regard to state sponsors of terrorism.
 - Q And the date on the bottom right of this list is April 6, 2010; is that correct?
- 17 A No, it's April 22nd.

- 18 Q I'm sorry, the copy you have is April 22nd. Thank you.
 - And as of April 22nd, 2010, just days before the commencement of this trial, was Syria listed under the country categories of state sponsors of terrorism as indicated on Exhibit 41?
- A Yes, and it says the date that they were originally designated.
 - Q And that date was?

A 29 December 1979.

MR. HEIDEMAN: At this time, Your Honor, we would move Exhibit 41 into evidence.

THE COURT: It's admitted.

(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 41 ADMITTED.)

MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you.

Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) And in your opinion, separate from being listed on the State Department list being Exhibit 41, in your expert opinion, was Syria factually, that is, in fact sponsoring terrorism in the time period of August through December 31, 1985 being from the time you became the Defense Intelligence Officer in August of '85 through the entire remainder of the year of 1985 and beyond?

A I think there's no doubt about that. I mean, the multi-source information that we were receiving and the general pattern of information in our holdings led all our analysts involved in this, and me as well, to believe that that was certainly the case.

Q In your expert opinion, as well as based upon the actual hands—on knowledge that you had at the time in your capacity as the Defense Intelligence Officer for the DIA responsible for the Middle East, South Asian counterterrorism, did Syria, as a state sponsor of terrorism, in fact, support terrorist organizations such as the Abu Nidal Organization?

A Yes. Abu Nidal and several others as well, and it was --

it was support which was absolutely vital to their continued existence and operational capability.

- Q And with specific reference, not to the other organizations, but with specific reference to the Abu Nidal Organization, in your expert opinion and based upon your expertise and your knowledge and your actual responsibility as the Defense Intelligence Officer at the time of November and December 1985, was the Abu Nidal Organization a terrorist organization and was that terrorist organization sponsored by Syria and Syrian Air Force intelligence?
 - A The Abu Nidal Organization was intended to reject any idea that the Arab Israeli dispute could be settled by any means other than continued violence on a model soon to be modeled on Russian anarchists of the 19th Century or something like that, and they were committed to, in fact, pursuing this course of action and they had the direct support of Air Force Syrian Air Force intelligence.
 - Q And did the Abu Nidal Organization have the direct support not only of Syrian Air Force intelligence in November and December 1985 and before and after as well as also the government of Syria itself?
- A Well, the Syrian Air Force Intelligence was not a rogue organization. It was a -- it was under the tight control of the Central Syrian Government, although the boss of the organization was a pretty independent character, but he

- understood very clearly that if he did not in fact follow the
 wishes of the President Hafiz Assad, that in fact that his
- days would probably be very limited. And you want me to spell that? H-a-f-i-z and then A-s-s-a-d.
- Q And while you're spelling, tell the Court, if you would,
 what -- who was the person who was the head of the Abu Nidal,
 that's N-i-d-a-l, Abu Nidal terrorist organization?
- 8 A Well, he was a man named Sabri Al-Banna.
- 9 Q Can you spell that for the court reporter.
- A The first name is S-a-b-r-i, and then I would spell it

 A-l, dash, B-a-n-n-a. Transliteration in Arabic is sometimes

 the thing, you know.
- 13 Q Is what, sir?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A Transliteration in Arabic, there are many different opinions on translating Arabic, so...
 - Q And tell the Court what was known to you at the time of the fall and winter of 1985, at the time of these attacks and that you know today, as you sit here, about this person known as Sabri Al-Banna and also known as Abu Nidal and the Abu Nidal Organization.
 - A Well, as I said, it was a splinter group that it splintered off the named Palestinian organization, the Fatah group, F-a-t-a-h, and they were absolutely committed to the idea that there could not be any sort of dealings with the Israelis or with people who were Israelis until victory had

been won in terms of breaking the opponent's will, typical
terrorist goal.

- Q You previously said in your testimony a few minutes ago that the Abu Nidal Organization was at the top of the list of terrorist organizations and that you used the words, if I wrote it down right, that they were the most violent and brutal organization; is that correct?
- A Yes, that's correct. That still remains my judgment.

 They weren't a very large organization but they were extremely violent, destructive and totally committed to acts of nihilistic terrorism, and that is the best way I can describe it.
- Q And what, at the time, was and what is today the judgment of, in your opinion and based upon your expertise, of United States Intelligence and the United States Government in relation to Syria under the Assad family and its relationship with Sabri Al-Banna known as Abu Nidal and the Abu Nidal Organization for the time period leading up to and even after the EgyptAir hijacking and the Rome and Vienna airport attacks?
- A Well, speaking just about Syria, because as you know, they had other state sponsors. In fact, we were absolutely sure, and those of us who survived from that time, we're still around, are still completely convinced of the fact that Syria was, in this period, one of their two major state sponsors.

Q And did the sponsorship, in your expert opinion and based upon your actual knowledge from your position at the time, run not only up through Syrian Air Force intelligence, which is a defendant in this matter, but directly also to the head of the Syrian government being the Assad family?

A Oh, I don't see how it could possibly be any other way, yes. It would be my expert opinion, since you put it that way, in fact it couldn't be any other possible way. This was not a group of disjointed actors in Syria. This was a pretty tightly organized group of people who understood if they got out of line, they were in big, big trouble.

Q And how would you describe, sir, the support which Syria gave to the Abu Nidal Organization in the time period leading up to and including the November 23rd, 1985 EgyptAir hijacking and subsequently and separately the Rome and Vienna airport attacks of December 27, 1985?

A Well, first of all, they gave them safe harbor. You know, they allowed the Abu Nidal Organization to have offices, excuse me, and facilities in Syria proper, and then across the Anti-Lebanon Mountains in Lebanon, in the Baqaa Valley, B-a-q-a-a, I guess, the -- they were training establishments there which were tolerated and more or less protected by the Syrian Army.

Syrian Army at that time had a very large number of troops all over Lebanon and to include a presence in the Baqaa

Valley and there were camps there in which Abu Nidal operatives were trained. There was a logistical establishment which was tolerated by the Syrians who were in complete control of that area. There was a Lebanese Army unit in the area as well, but they were completely ineffective, and there were specially constructed military roads that were not open to the public, went back and forth from the Baqaa Valley into Syria, and the Abu Nidal Organization was allowed by the Syrians to use these roads so that their movements were concealed from the general public along the main Damascus/Beirut Highway, for example, would use that.

And then there was a question of supply. They were supplied with weapons, they were supplied with passports and other, you know, movement documents. The Syrians typically have three classes of passports, diplomatic, service and general tourist passports and these people were provided with Syrian service passports so they looked like they were Syrian government officials when they were in movement to some place as, in this example, to Greece.

And then Syrian officials overseas provided them with facilities in which they could assemble. It was just a wide variety of things they did for them.

Q And in your opinion, and your expert opinion as well as based upon your actual knowledge as the Defense Intelligence Officer, in the position that you held, did Syria provide to

the Abu Nidal Organization, in relation to the EgyptAir

hijacking and also the Rome and Vienna attacks, the support

that you have just described to the Court and in each of the

elements that you just outlined?

- A Yes. In all of those, all three of those operations, if you want to view them as three separate operations, the Syrian government, acting through Syrian Air Force Intelligence, provided all of that kind of support to the organization, and it would not have been possible to conduct the operation without it.
- Q And thank you. Let me hand you what has been previously identified in the exhibit binder and which we will now identify as Exhibit 43 and ask you if you can identify to the Court this particular document.
- A Well, it's a State Department document promulgated for the -- as the agent of the U.S. Government for this function announcing terrorist organizations so designated by the U.S. Government in this year.
- Q And is -- can you tell the Court whether or not the Abu Nidal Organization is listed on this current list of designated foreign terrorist organizations?
- 22 A Yes, they are No. 1.
- 23 Q Thank you very much.
- MR. HEIDEMAN: We would move Exhibit 43 into
 evidence, and in case I didn't move in, Your Honor, Exhibit

```
42, I would like to move in both at the same time.
               THE COURT:
                           43 and 42 are admitted.
 2
 3
               THE DEPUTY CLERK:
                                  41.
               MR. HEIDEMAN: Excuse me? I'm sorry, I meant to say
 4
5
     41, and separately, 43.
6
               THE COURT: All right. 41 and 43 are admitted.
 7
               (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS 41 AND 43 ADMITTED.)
8
               MR. HEIDEMAN:
                              Thank you very much.
9
           (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) You described the various support,
10
     logistical and otherwise, that the Syrian government and Syrian
11
     Air Force Intelligence provided to the Abu Nidal Organization,
12
     both in Syria but also over into the Baqaa Valley in Lebanon.
13
     In that regard, let me hand you a copy of Exhibit 44 and ask you
14
     if you can identify this document for the Court.
15
          This is a map of Lebanon and the surrounding countries.
          Thank you very much. And could you take a look at this
16
17
     blowup that I have here that I proffer to the Court is
18
     identical to Exhibit 44 and ask if it appears to you to be the
19
     same, sir.
20
          This is the same map.
21
          Thank you. And placing it here on the easel so it's
22
     easier to see, let me ask you if this appears to be the -- a
23
     map of part -- part of Syria and all of Lebanon with Israel
24
     below it and Syria then surrounding Lebanon on the map.
          Yes.
```

```
1
               MR. HEIDEMAN: We would move this Exhibit 44 into
2
     evidence, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: It will be admitted.
               (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 44 ADMITTED.)
 4
 5
               MR. HEIDEMAN:
                              Thank you very much.
6
           (BY MR. HEIDEMAN)
                              In relation to Exhibit 44, I would ask
7
     you, Colonel, to step down. I believe I have some map pens
8
     here, ask you to take them and I'm going to see if you can help
9
     the Court understand how it is that the Syrian government,
10
     Syrian Air Force Intelligence provided the support for the Abu
11
     Nidal Organization over into Lebanon as well as in Syria itself,
12
     further noting for the Court the location of the Baqaa Valley
13
     and its relationship to Damascus; would you do so, please.
14
          Yeah.
15
          And tell the Court what color you're using when you use
16
     it.
17
                Lebanon is dominated by two ranges of mountains.
18
          Excuse me just one second.
19
               MR. HEIDEMAN: Can the court reporter hear the
20
     witness, Your Honor? Can I take the microphone?
21
               THE COURT: Sure, take the microphone.
22
          I have too many pens here.
23
           (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) All right.
24
          Lebanon is dominated by two ranges of mountains.
     is the Lebanon range, runs like this as sort of the spine of
```

the country, and there is the Anti-Lebanon range between Syria and the country of Lebanon in this area here, and in between them in the northern part here is the Baqaa Valley, this big deep valley with the term of Ba'labakk in the middle of it here.

And at this time the Syrian Army was all over the country of Lebanon because of its introduction of troops in the response to an Arab league action during this Lebanese civil war, so they controlled all of this area and there were camps in the Baqaa Valley here between the two mountain ranges here in which Abu Nidal trained their troops, their operatives, people like that. And as I said, there were private roads that ran back and forth across the Anti-Lebanon range out of Syria into the Baqaa Valley over which these people moved back and forth on training and things of that kind, and they had the use of the Damascus airport down here as well for international movements to various places in Europe and other things of that kind.

I think that's what you wanted.

- Q Yes, sir. And tell the Court, as best you recall, sir, at the time, the volume of troops, of Syrian troops over into the Bagaa Valley.
- A Well, in the valley itself, I don't know. In all of Lebanon at that time they had about 35,000 troops, which is a very large number of men, lots of armor, artillery, lots of

their police, a couple thousand policemen and secret police operatives. They pretty much saturated the country.

would.

- Q So, even though the Baqaa Valley and the Abu Nidal training camps were in the Baqaa Valley in Lebanon, do I understand correctly that it's your expert opinion and based upon your actual knowledge that although it was inside Lebanon, it was fully under the control of the Syrian government, Syrian Air Force Intelligence, the defendants herein and the Syrian military?
 - A The Lebanese did not have control of their country at that time, the Syrians did, and there were various elements of Lebanese government that were trying to resist this but quite futilely, and that situation continued for many years. The Syrians were all together in charge of what was going on in the Baqaa Valley and most other parts of Lebanon at that time.

 Q Thank you very much. You may retake your seat if you

What was the distance from the Abu Nidal training camps in the Baqaa Valley to Damascus being the central city, capital city of Syria as best you recall?

- A Well, it's about an hour's drive from Beirut to Damascus on a good day, so I would say probably you're talking 50 to 100 miles, depending on how far north or south in the Valley.
- Q That's from Beirut to Damascus?
 - A No. From Beirut to Damascus, as I say, it's about an

- 1 hour's drive on a reasonable day, but from Damascus to these
- 2 various places in the Baqaa Valley, anything between 1500
- 3 miles, depending on the location and place in the valley.
- 4 Q And you previously testified something about Syria having
- 5 three kinds of passports. One of those passports was you said
- 6 was a services passport?
- 7 A Service passport.
- 8 Q Service passport.
- 9 A It says on the thing, "service", you know, in Arabic, you
- 10 know.
- 11 Q Spell that for the court reporter.
- 12 A Well, it would be -- in English it would be
- 13 s-e-r-v-i-c-e, right.
- 14 Q Thank you. And based upon having a service passport in
- 15 the hands of the Abu Nidal terrorists who were trained in the
- 16 Baqaa Valley, what special status, if any, did that give the
- 17 Abu Nidal terrorists in terms of moving around in Syria or
- 18 moving around in and from the Baqaa Valley?
- 19 A It made it a lot easier for them because they were
- 20 documented as though they were in fact Syrian government
- 21 employees.
- 22 Q I have a note that you previously told me that there were
- 23 | 35,000 troops as well as additional 2,000 personnel in the
- 24 Baqaa Valley and these were Syrian troops. Did I write this
 - 25 wrong?

- A Yes. We discussed this and there were about 35,000

 Syrian troops in all of the parts of Lebanon that they
- 3 occupied.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 4 Q All right.
- A How many there were in the Baqaa Valley, specifically, I really don't know.
- Q Fine. But so the record is clear, Syria had, in the fall of 1985, 35,000 Syrian troops inside Lebanon; is that correct?
- 9 A Yes, that's correct.

Intelligence.

- Q Thank you very much. Tell the Court, please, what
 additional support in Damascus was given to the Abu Nidal
 Organization by the Defendant Syria and Syrian Air Force
 - A Well, they provided protected facilities for them to run their headquarters and their logistical operations, communications, all of that kind of thing, warehousing for supplies, and you know, sort of the -- a metropolitan area that they could inhabit for their major operations while their other stuff stayed out in the field.
 - Q And in addition to permitting the protected status for headquarters, was -- did Syria provide intelligence support to the Abu Nidal Organization at the time?
 - A Yes, they did. He had pretty specific information that they were providing them with targeting data with regard to -- in their targeting process for various operations they were

- $1 \mid \mid planning.$
- 2 | Q And did they provide logistical support?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And did Syria provide a safe haven?
- 5 A Yes.
- Q And did Syria provide for the Abu Nidal Organization training support?
- 8 A I don't know about that. I don't recall ever seeing
- 9 Syrian military personnel took part in Abu Nidal training.
- 10 Their kind of training was pretty specific to what they did
- 11 which was to assassinate people and blow up airplanes, that
- 12 kind of thing. They could have gotten some demolitions
- 13 training from the Syrians, but I don't remember that
- 14 specifically.
- Q But did the Syrian government provide support surrounding
- 16 and protective support surrounding the training camps that
- 17 you've already identified?
- 18 A Without a doubt, that's true.
- 19 Q Thank you. And did Syria maintain close contact with and
- 20 receive -- close contact with the Abu Nidal Organization?
- 21 A On an everyday basis.
- 22 Q Did Syrian Air Force Intelligence as well as its military
- 23 or Army intelligence provide support in the fall of 1985 to
- 24 the Abu Nidal Organization?
- 25 A Yeah. I understand that Syrian Air Force Intelligence is

- the Defendant here, but I don't think that Syrian government assistance to Abu Nidal is limited to their Syrian Air Force
- 3 Intelligence. I think Ali Duba over at general military
- 4 intelligence was equally responsive.
- Q Could you spell the name of that particular general, please.
- 7 A A-l-i, space, D-u-b-a.
 - Q Thank you. Did the Syrian defendants provide procurement support for travel documents and other travel arrangements for the Abu Nidal terrorists?
 - A Yes. As part of their standard operating procedure and the way they supported what they considered power projection operations, we consider to be terrorism, that they would assist with travel arrangements and brokering tickets and hotel rooms and things of that kind.
- 16 O Did --

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

- A And have somebody show up on the scene to brief on local conditions, you know, in the assembly area for the operation.
 - Q Thank you. Did the Syrian Defendants provide to the Abu Nidal terrorists and Abu Nidal operatives the assistance allowing them to transit through airports that Syria controlled?
- A Yes, certainly. Airports in Syria are very controlled,
 and so you don't get through these places unless you're -- if
 there's anything odd about you, unless you're under state

- l sponsorship, really.
- 2 Q Did the Syrian government permit, in this time period,
- 3 Abu Nidal terrorists and operatives to travel through the
- 4 Damascus International Airport when departing on and returning
- 5 | from terrorist planning and active missions?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And did Syria provide training and support that enabled
- 8 the Abu Nidal Organization to establish and operate active
- 9 | training facilities?
- 10 A Well, as we've discussed, in their training facilities, I
- 11 don't think there's any way they could have existed without
- 12 active Syrian government protection and sponsorship.
- 13 Q In terms of access to and from these Abu Nidal training
- 14 camps and the Baqaa Valley, did the Syrian government provide
- 15 the essential assistance for the Abu Nidal terrorists and
- 16 their operatives to travel to and from those Abu Nidal
- 17 | training camps?
- 18 A They often provided Syrian military vehicles in order to
- 19 move these people around.
- 20 Q Did the Syrian Defendants support and even augment the
- 21 Abu Nidal's significant capabilities in intelligence
- 22 techniques as well -- in relation to both arms, supports --
- 23 arms, explosives, and other techniques taught to Abu Nidal
- 24 terrorist operatives and recruits?
- 25 A I don't know about the actual training. That's something

that I don't remember ever having come up, but they certainly provided them with the actual information that you would describe as intelligence that is useful in the planning of operations.

- Q And did the Syrian Defendants also provide to Abu Nidal terrorists and their operatives the ability to travel through Lebanon to the Beirut airport for purposes of going overseas for both planning and actual terrorist missions and operations?
- A Yes, he did that, and because of the pervasive Syrian presence in the country, they could do that whenever the Beirut airport was open, and that was intermittently.
- Q In your expert opinion and based upon your actual knowledge, Colonel Lang, was the EgyptAir hijacking and separately the Rome and Vienna airport attacks, were they committed by the Abu Nidal Organization with the active and material support of Syrian defendants?
- A Oh, that was very clear. I mean, our reconstruction of the event showed that this was a joint operation up to the point which the Syrians wished them well and on their way from Athens and all.
- Q Based upon your knowledge and expertise and your review of all of the materials that you've previously identified, would you tell the Court what you know about how the actual EgyptAir hijacking of November 23, 1985 was sponsored

- specifically by Syria and committed by the Abu Nidal Organization?
- A Well, it was effectively a joint operation really because the Syrians contributed some elements of logistical transportation, planning, equipment, support, and the Abu Nidal people signed up to perform the actual operation because it fit in with their ideology of absolute violence in the way that they behaved toward the hostages, for example. It was just there were no rules to the game, and the Syrians absolutely provided the wherewithal to enable that to happen up to the moment when the team went into action.

I don't recall what kind of passports they got on the airplane with. I've been trying to remember what that was, but typically the Syrians would take their service passports away from these guys before they actually went into action and they would carry something else, but I don't remember in this case how they did that.

- Q You previously described the Abu Nidal Organization as violent and brutal.
- 20 A Yes.

Q Now, what is your assessment as to how they conducted themselves under the sponsorship of Syria, specifically in relation to the EgyptAir hijacking and as separately in relation to the Rome and Vienna airport attacks of the following month?

A They didn't do anything to try to restrain the behavior of these people, you know, in the way that you might expect a responsible state in the international scene, with the CPUN, all that kind of business, to behave. Even if you thought that political violence was a legitimate means of expression, self-expression, they still didn't do anything to try to keep these people from behaving the way they did toward the hostages on this EgyptAir flight.

Q How would you describe the way the three Americans on the EqyptAir flight were treated by the Abu Nidal terrorists under the sponsorship of the Syrian Defendants?

A Well, as it was stated earlier here in court, I mean, the two Israeli women were shot out of hand because -- merely because they were Israelis. That was a foreordained outcome given that this was the Abu Nidal Organization involved.

The three Americans, I'm sure, as I recall, there was a process of bargaining going on conveyed through the air crew as to what the hijackers, the surviving hijackers wanted, and they were going to use these three Americans as bargaining chips and kill them one at a time as necessary in order to get what they wanted. This thing had not gone well from the beginning. The gun battle in the air at 25,000 feet or whatever it was, was certainly not part of the plan. This operation had gone astray and they wanted to use these Americans to get what they wanted, fuel and the ability to

- leave, and killing them was not something that bothered them the least.
 - Q There has been testimony received by the Court that the terrorists shot in the head Plaintiff Jackie Nink Pflug, and she has testified, and that the Plaintiff shot in the head and murdered, executed was the word used, Scarlett Rogenkamp, the American woman who was murdered, and Patrick Baker has testified, I believe you were here in the courtroom.

Based upon the testimony that you have heard, what is your assessment of the conduct of the Abu Nidal terrorist organization under the sponsorship of Syria in relation to this hijacking?

A It was -- it was even outside the norms really for how these things were to be done in most situations. The clear preference here on the part of the Abu Nidal operatives was to do as much damage and inflict as much pain and suffering as they could and many of the other Palestinian organizations had more sophisticated political views, not always but sometimes. But in the case of Abu Nidal, we're talking about people who liked violence for the sake of violence and had reached the kind of state of moral depravity in which none of this stuff really bothered them at all.

- Q Did you use the words "moral depravity"?
- 24 A I did.

2.3

Q Tell the Court, if you would, your assessment of the

government of Syria using an organization known as the Abu Nidal Organization as, to use your words, an instrument of state craft. What did you mean by that?

A Well, in the Middle East, people have had a tendency in recent times to play by rules that we have tried to avoid acknowledging in western European and American, North American civilization for some time now. In fact, it is thought to be quite normal to signal your intentions and your seriousness in political disputes involving countries, things like this, by acts of violence that indicate that you're not to be trifled with, and they consider that, in many cases, to be part of the normal business of government.

And until they are sufficiently discouraged from doing that, they'll probably keep doing it. This has a long history of this kind of thing in the Middle East. I mean, people have been assassinating opposition politicians and foreign dignitaries there for a long time, and this is in that tradition. It just seems to have gotten a lot worse in the period that we're discussing here today. But it continues onto the present, I mean.

- Q That was exactly my question. Since 1985, has Syria been deterred, have they stood down from terrorism or not?
- A Well, the organizations have changed, you know. Now they they tend to sponsor groups that have actual armed forces and they will go out and try to do battle against other

country's armies, but they also have -- these same organizations have their functions that are throw-backs in the period under discussion. If they don't like you, they will in fact try to make sure you disappeared -- disappeared somewhere in some way. It's unpleasant.

The assassinations continue in places like Lebanon. The assassination of the late Prime Minister Rafik Hariri remains an open case, and nobody has really established who did it, but Syria is under very serious suspicion in this regard, and this is a ongoing pattern of government.

Q Does -- it's already been established that Syria remains on the State Department list of state sponsors of terror.

Share with the Court more, if you would, please, about the nature of their sponsorship of terrorist organizations from 1985 through the present, also with specific reference to such terrorist groups as listed by the United States of Hizballah and Hamas and other organizations.

A Well, Syria is a major ally, and the region is of course Iran. And the Iranian Islamic Government has been bent on waging an ideologically driven war against the Israelis and against foreign interests and to establish itself as the major power in the region all along, and its instruments in the region are Hizballah and various parties in Iraq as well as Hamas in Palestine itself, and the Syrian government has been quite happy to go along with this and to serve as a conduit

and a kind of protector on the eastern flank of places or groups like Hizballah, and so they continue to be and active in the business of terrorism.

Q Thank you. In the context of what you just said, in your opinion, sir, should — in the event this court finds Syria to be responsible for sponsoring the Abu Nidal terrorist organization and the EgyptAir Flight 648 hijacking, in your expert opinion and based upon your expertise and your knowledge, should this court also find it necessary, in your opinion, to punish Syria for its continued sponsorship of terrorism in an attempt to deter Syria and deter others?

A Well, Syria is not a rich country, you know. There are rich Syrians, but Syria itself is not a rich country. Most of the money in Syrian hands come from trade of one kind or another.

In fact, if punitive damages of any size are assigned to them, it will be very hurtful to the Syrian state and to whatever it is that they are trying to do with their economy, so I would think that if you're looking for a deterrent, that would be quite — it might be an effective instrument, and in fact, there's no doubt in this case, in this case of this EgyptAir hijacking, this was a willful and deliberate act on the part of the Syrian government that they back these Abu Nidal people in doing this.

Q So if this court were to choose to send a message to the

Syrian government in the form of a punishment or punitive damages, it -- would it, in your opinion, get the attention of the Syrian government?

A Oh, I think it certainly would, because this is not —
it's not like assigning punitive damages to Saudi Arabia or
some place. This money is — money is not all that easy to
come by in Syria, and so they tend to make their money out of
things like downstream petroleum dealership distribution,
things of that kind, you know, or serving on foreign boards of
trade and things like that. I've known quite a few of those
guys.

Q Thank you. Earlier I asked you about Syria's sponsorship of the Abu Nidal Organization generally, and I'd like to just penetrate only one last issue on that. Knowing that the Abu Nidal Organization was so violent and so brutal, what does it tell you and what would you like to share with the Court about the strategic support by the Syrian defendants of this particular organization which then committed the particular acts of terrorism we've discussed?

A Well, they were a favored group of theirs because they were — they could be used for the really, the most awful acts of violence that the Syrian government might think was desirable. And in fact, this kind of attitude on the part of Syrians reflected generally in the way they acted in Lebanon throughout the long period of their — of the presence of

their troops there, and it was a very long time.

In fact, they behaved in a very callous way and the streets of Beirut and places like that were a menace -- were menaced by their presence on most occasions.

- Q What does the term in Arabic "Abu Nidal" stand for?
- A You know, it's something like the "Father of Darkness" or the "Father of Chaos," something like that, I forget exactly.
- Nidal is not a word that is on the top layer of my vocabulary actually. It's something like that. It implies an organization committed to anarchic terrorism.
- Q In 1986, did the State Department release a document
 called "Syrian Support for International Terrorism," and are

you familiar with that?

Yes, I am.

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

- Q Let me hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 47 and ask if you can identify this document, sir, Exhibit 47.
- 17 A Yeah, I coordinated on this document.
- 18 O And --
- A That means I gave my agencies assent to it on an inter-agency basis.
- 21 \ Q And did agree with the issuance of this document?
- 22 A Certainly.
- Q And in your opinion and in accordance with this document,
 did the Syrian government, as determined by this report
- 25 released by the U.S. Department of State, sponsor the Abu

- Nidal Organization?
- 2 A You know, I was distracted for a moment there. Could you
- 3 say that again?
- 4 Q Yes. In relation to Exhibit 47, this document was
- 5 released by the U.S. Department of State in December 1986 and
- 6 it's a document that you coordinated on behalf of the Defense
- 7 Intelligence Agency; is that correct?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q And does this document make it very clear that
- 10 specifically the Abu Nidal Organization is referenced as
- 11 | follows, and I quote, Syria continues to support the most
- 12 | active and brutal international terrorist group operating
- 13 today, comma, Abu Nidal, end quote; is that correct?
- 14 A Yeah, it's on the second page here now.
- 15 Q Yes, on the second page. And in fact, listed on the
- 16 third page in the chronology of some of the events that were
- 17 sponsored is the December 27, 1985 Rome and Vienna terrorist
- 18 attacks where it's quoted, Abu Nidal terrorists simultaneously
- 19 attacked El Al ticket counters in the Rome and Vienna airports
- 20 killing more than 20 people, including five Americans and
- 21 | wounding some 120 others, end quote; is that correct?
- 22 A I was trying to find my place here.
- 23 Q Bottom of page 3 in the chronology.
- 24 A Yes, it is correct.
- 25 Q Now, I note in this specific document the specific attack

```
of EgyptAir Flight 648 is not listed, but this chronology of
     events, would you tell the Court whether or not it was
2
3
     intended at the time of release of this document to be fully
     comprehensive?
 4
 5
          It was, and I don't know why that isn't on the list.
6
     was certainly -- it was certainly clear that it was part of
7
     this sequence of events, and it should have been included.
8
          In your opinion, it should have been included and the
9
     fact that it's not here, is that an error or omission?
10
          Yeah, it's some sort of error. It's meaningless really.
11
          So, just to pin that one point down, is there any
12
     question about, in your opinion, whether or not the Syrians
13
     sponsored the Abu Nidal Organization and the Abu Nidal
14
     Organization committed the terrorist attack of EgyptAir Flight
15
     648 hijacking during the -- during December -- November 23,
16
     1985?
17
          There is no doubt in my mind at all.
18
          Thank you.
19
               MR. HEIDEMAN: We would move Exhibit 47 at this time
20
     into evidence, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: It will be admitted.
21
22
               (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 47 ADMITTED.)
23
               MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you. In that regard, one
24
     moment.
```

(PAUSE.)

- 1 Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) In that regard, let me hand you what
- 2 has been marked in the exhibit binder as Exhibit 46. This is a
- 3 document from the United States Department of State and dated in
- 4 February 1989; is that correct?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q And during that time period, were you remaining in
- 7 service with the Defense Intelligence Agency and in the
- 8 positions you've previously testified to?
- 9 A Yes, I had the same job.
- 10 Q And in that capacity, did you have the responsibility to
- 11 review this United States Department of State document being
- 12 | Exhibit 46?
- 13 A Yes, I coordinated on this one as well.
- 14 Q And in this document, it references specifically the Abu
- 15 Nidal Organization; is that correct?
- 16 A Yes, it does.
- 17 Q And specifically, if you'll look, please, at page -- one
- 18 moment -- well, there's a chronology that runs in reverse
- 19 order, and it looks like on page 13 in the November -- in
- 20 | 1985; do you see that, sir?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And there, could you read to the Court what it indicates
- 23 in this document, which you approved on behalf of the U.S.
- 24 Department of Defense, Defense Intelligence Agency?
- 25 A (Reading) Three ANO terrorists seized an EgyptAir flight

```
en route from Athens to Cairo and forced it to land in Malta.

When their demands were refused, the terrorists began to shoot selected passengers. At the end of the incident, which followed the storming of the airplane by Egyptian commandos, nearly 80 were dead or wounded.

Q Thank you very much. And is it your opinion that that
```

- particular act of terrorism, as you've already said, and I don't want to belabor it, was committed by the Abu Nidal terrorist organization as were the Rome and Vienna attacks listed in this same document being Exhibit 46?
- 11 A Without a doubt.

8

9

10

15

16

20

21

22

23

- Q And that at the time the Abu Nidal Organization was sponsored by the Syrian defendants; is that your opinion, sir?

 A Yes.
 - MR. HEIDEMAN: We would move Exhibit 46 into evidence, Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: It will be admitted.
- 18 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 46 ADMITTED.)
- 19 MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you very much.
 - Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) Now, pursuant to the sponsorship of this particular hijacking, Colonel, were you on duty with the Defense Intelligence Agency on the day of the November 23rd, 1985 hijacking?
- 24 A I was an Army officer, so I was on duty all the time.
 - Q Yes, sir. And in that capacity, did you have occasion to

be -- to go to the office of the chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff?

A As I recall, this is on the weekend, right, and I was at home in my residence in Alexandria, Virginia, and I was called by the commander of the watch in the Defense Intelligence

Operation Center in the Pentagon, which is adjacent to the J3

Operation Center. So, in other words, they have all the operators and intelligence guys together in one group.

And the watch commander asked me to come in. And since he had a bunch of my people that I supervised working in his place, and he told me that this event was in progress, I had a secure telephone at home so you could do a good conversation. So, I went in and I was in the —— it was in the afternoon, and I remember that I was standing in the watch center and it looks something like the movies, you know, with all these screens and message traffic coming in everywhere, and I was watching this happen, as best we understood it, and the director of the Defense Intelligence Agency, Lieutenant General Leonard Bruce came bustling into the place. He'd been up in the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff's office, Admiral William Crowe, and he looks around and he saw me, and he said —— he says, "You speak Arabic, don't you?"

And I was a little surprised because I thought he had known that, you know, but he was also very new in the organization. He'd only been there about a month. So I said,

"Yes, I do."

So, he took me upstairs. We went into Admiral Crowe's inner sanctum, and Crowe was in there with a few of his personal staff and on — the telephone on his desk was — there's an open line to the airport in Malta and it was on speaker phone, and you could hear a couple of conversations going on on the phone. There were a couple of people from the embassy — our embassy in Malta. There was I think the Charge D'Affairs and the defense attache' who was a naval officer, and Crowe was talking to them to try to find out what was going on.

And of course, I had sort of come into the middle of this conversation and so the -- they were telling him, the two Americans were telling him that they couldn't figure out what the Egyptians were going to do, that a lot of Egyptian troops had shown up and they were deployed all in the grass all over one side of the airport, and also in the background there was a conversation going on in Egyptian Arabic between an Egyptian civilian official who I think was the Egyptian ambassador to Malta and an Egyptian brigadier who was the commander of these troops that had been flown in. And so Crowe wanted to know what the Egyptians were saying to each other, so he said to me, "Listen to this, will you, and tell me what they're talking about."

So I listened for awhile and translated for him, and

so he said to me, "You ask the general," he meant the Egyptian general, "what they're going to do."

And by this time they had already killed a bunch of these people and thrown them off the airplane and things of that kind. And so I asked this fellow in Arabic, I asked him, "What are you going to do, sir?"

And he says -- he asked me who I was, and then he says, "We're going to take back the airplane."

So I told Crowe that, and he says, "What does he mean?"

And so I got back on the phone and I say, "What are you going to do?"

And he -- about that time, the civilian said, "They're going to assault the aircraft."

And I was -- I had just started to say that to Crowe, and his eyes got as big as saucers, and then there was this ripping, roaring, tearing noise in the background over the telephone as the Egyptian attack took place. It was very dramatic.

Q And tell the Court, please, the unfortunate result of that Egyptian commando attack in an attempt to retake the airplane?

A Well, you know, there are ways to do things and there are ways not to do things, and this was the way not to do things.

And while the terrorists are certainly responsible for this

- event and the government of Syria behind them, the Egyptians

 did this in a most ham-handed way, and they just wrecked this

 aircraft and killed a great many people inside it. Very

 foolish. This isn't how things are done.
 - Q During the course of your review of everything, after the hijacking and after you heard the Egyptian commandos begin to take the aircraft --
 - A Yeah.

- Q -- did you have the occasion to review information regarding the condition of the aircraft after the attempt to save these passengers?
- A Well, the aircraft was a total loss. I mean, it had suffered a lot of damage in the fight in the air itself, you know, you can't -- can't do a lot of shooting inside a pressurized aircraft. I'm surprised they got it down on the ground as well as they did. But after the airplane was just shot completely to pieces by the Egyptians, it was a total loss.
- Q And in relation to that aircraft, let me ask you if you can identify Exhibit 45 as being information with which you are familiar and reviewed in your position with the Defense Intelligence Agency and if this photograph accurately depicts the scene which it is intended to portray in relation to the condition of the aircraft after the attempt to save the passengers and retake control of the aircraft.

A I remember this picture, you know, as part of the proceedings afterwards, and there were a number of other pictures. This is a picture of what was left of the airplane after the action had ended.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ HEIDEMAN: We would move Exhibit 45 into evidence at this time, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Be admitted.

(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 45 ADMITTED.)

Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) You described the aircraft as being completely destroyed; is that your opinion, sir?

A Well, it still had the shape of an airplane, but any possibility of using it for anything with regard to aviation again was gone. Of course, I'm not an aircraft damage investigator, but it certainly — that was our conclusion at the time.

Q In relation to the issue of terrorist organizations utilizing airplanes as a vehicle of terrorism sponsored by the Syrian government or other state sponsors of terrorism, would you please explain to the Court your view of the symbolism of the use of an airplane, its hijacking as well as using it for demands and negotiations?

A Well, this had started over here as well, if you remember, back in the '60s. The fed for stealing airplanes and taking them to Cuba and places like that, I think, really got started in the western hemisphere, and then it spread as

an emblem of the powerfulness of a small group of dedicated people to seize the property of a mighty corporation or country and do with it what they will and use the unfortunate passengers as instruments of blackmail really, or at the very least, a symbol of our ability to destroy things on a large scale.

So, it spread to the Middle East. That appealed to their kind of nihilistic sense of drama, and this had gotten more and more violent in the preceding years. A few years before that, remember there was the Dawson field thing in Jordan in which three aircraft were destroyed. That kind of set the new standard for this, and so this kind of behavior among the most violent groups, it becomes kind of the Gold standard of what you did.

- Q As the Defense Intelligence Officer for Middle East and counterterrorism in 1985, would it be accurate to say that your portfolio included the review of the aircraft hijackings by terrorist groups that had taken place during that period, including but not limited to the EgyptAir hijacking?
- A Sure, absolutely.

- Q And did your portfolio also include the study of government counterterrorism response to aircraft hijackings?
- A Yes, the thing got started steadily. The -- in the '70s, counterterrorism units of commandos and things like this became the rage and the U.S. Congress, for example, started

appropriating money for that, and the joint -- what is now the Joint Special Operations Command came into being down at Bragg, specifically designed to devise the tactics and the methods for retaking aircraft from groups like this, and the British had the SAS and the Germans had the Bruni gun shutsnine [ph.] and they were all over the place, and these units proliferated all over the world and they developed a lot of very fancy equipment with pinhole mic cameras and microphones with big long flexible leads you could insert into aircrafts so you could see what was going on inside. was kinds of flash bombs that would stun terrorists while you groove it in through a hole you punched through the roof or something like this. That kind of thing had kind of already developed into a pretty highly developed state-of-the-art kind of thing by the time this occurred actually, so the Egyptian thing was not on.

Q The Egyptian thing was what, sir?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- 18 A Is not on in terms of technique at all. I mean, this
 19 was --
- Q And the Egyptian commando attempt to retake the aircraft obviously failed and cost lives.
- A Yes. It wasn't done according to what was the state-of-the-art.
- Q You heard Patrick Baker testify about the way the hijackers had shot him, thrown him, brought him back?

A Yeah.

- Q Thrown him a second time?
 - A They wanted to make sure, I believe, listening to that, they the reason they dragged him back up to the top and then threw him off the top of the stairs again was to provide a nice photograph for anybody who was there who was going to take pictures, you know, the long drop to the tarmac. This is all about psychological warfare and media campaigns and things like that.
 - Q Could this hijacking, in your opinion, have taken place and could those hijackers have done that to Patrick Baker and to Jackie Nink Pflug or Scarlett Rogenkamp without the support of the Syrian Defendants?
 - A No, I don't think they could have. The organization would have been relatively powerless without the wherewithal provided by state sponsorship.
 - Q And in relation to the counterterrorism attempt to retake the aircraft, in your expert opinion, sir, is it foreseeable to believe that separate from whatever damage an aircraft has already suffered in the hijacking, that it might be even more damaged or completely destroyed in the attempt to save the passengers or retake the aircraft?
- 23 A Well, that would depend on how it was done.
- Q But would it be -- is it foreseeable that there would be property damage to the aircraft as a result of the hijacking?

- A The hijacking itself and the events that had taken place up to the time when the aircraft arrived on Malta had caused a great deal of property damage to the owners of the aircraft. In this case, I guess EgyptAir was probably, they were the owners, I guess.
- Q Yes, EgyptAir was the owners, and Certain Underwriters, Plaintiffs in this action, were the underwriters and insurers.
- A Yeah.

- Q What, in your opinion, are the likely outcomes that result from a terrorist hijacking as it relates to damage that can reasonably be foreseen to be suffered by an owner or their insurers?
- A Well, in the course of my long career, I was around an awful lot of aircraft in various circumstances and they are all very delicate beasts, in fact, and are easily damaged, easily -- you know, easily just damaged to the point of being a total loss or requiring massive reconstruction.
- So, if you're going to conduct an operation like this, you have every reason to believe that you're going to end up destroying this aircraft. It will be a useless piece of junk afterwards.
- Q Would the selection of a violent and brutal, to use your earlier terms, terrorist organization such as the Abu Nidal Organization to commit this hijacking on behalf of the terrorist organization and the government of Syria and its

defendants, would that, in your opinion, affect the probable outcome of the hijacking and the question of people's lives being taken or maimed and the question of the owner of the

4 aircraft and its insurers suffering property damage loss?

A Oh, some of these groups were quite a lot worse than others in terms of the — their methodology and their whatever sort of qualms they might have about some things. The Abu Nidal group was known to be amongst the most violent, the most destructive, the most nihilistic of all these groups, and so to select them to cooperate with an operation like this is to virtually insure that there was going to be a great deal of damage and personal injury.

- Q Would it be accurate for me to state, based upon what you've just said, that the issue of property damage is reasonably foreseeable from the act of state sponsorship of terrorism by Syria when it selects the Abu Nidal violent and brutal terrorist organization to commit the EgyptAir hijacking as it's been described here?
- A Yes, I think that's a reasonable conclusion, yeah.
- Q Thank you very much. Let's talk for a moment. Before we begin to wrap up your testimony, let's talk for a moment about the hijacker itself -- himself, the surviving hijacker.
- A Rezaq.

Q Rezaq. Are you -- tell the Court what you're familiar with about Mr. Rezaq.

A Well, he's -- he was really a typical recruit for Abu

Nidal. I mean, he's a man who's -- nothing -- for whom

nothing had ever gone right in his life and who had reached

the kind of state of despondency about everything in which the

word "nihilism," which I've used several times here, begins to

become very appealing.

In fact, this is -- in the case of these Abu Nidal people, although they talked a lot about Palestine, in fact, they had reached a point, most of them, we concluded, in which the violence had become an end in itself, really, and in fact, that they lived for this kind of thing because there was nothing else really left in their lives, and I think he's fairly typical of that kind of character.

I mean, I don't think there is -- I don't think you -- if you're looking around for somebody who's a, you know, a fiercely patriotic Palestinian Freedom Fighter, I wouldn't go looking amongst the ranks of Abu Nidal people. They were way past that.

- Q Are you familiar with the fact that Omar Ali Rezaq was convicted in this courthouse during his criminal trial in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia?
- 22 A Yes, I'm aware of that.

- Q And are you familiar with any of his testimony that was given in that particular trial?
- A I have, at some point, read some summaries of it, yes.

Q And what conclusions can you share with the Court regarding Mr. Rezag's trial, the testimony?

MR. HEIDEMAN: Which, Your Honor, in pertinent part, we're going to introduce in just a moment.

THE COURT: Thank you.

A Well, I think it illustrates what I just said, that this is a man who had completely lost his bearings and had been sucked up into a — an organization which was far too violent to be acceptable to the mainstream Palestinian organizations like Fatah, F-a-t-a-h, and that they had — they were kind of the dregs of the Palestinian world. Even people like Saddam Hussein didn't care for the Abu Nidal Organization too much and he had continuing problems with them, as you know, and I think that this man is a typical example of these kinds of folks, and he's — you know, it's the sort of person to whom it meant nothing to kill people really in the way that he did on this airplane.

MR. HEIDEMAN: In that regard, Your Honor, at this time we would introduce and move into evidence Plaintiff's Exhibit 34, which I represent to the Court, if I may approach.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. HEIDEMAN: The first page of which is stamped by the -- as a true copy by the Deputy Clerk of the United States District and Bankruptcy Courts for the District of Columbia, being a transcript of a jury trial before Honorable Royce C.

Lamberth, United States District Judge and a jury. This is
particularly Day 16, et seq., but if I may tender this to the
Court. I then give all the rest of the pages to the court
reporter so that this testimony may become part of the record
as Exhibit 34.

And we would move Exhibit 34 into evidence, Your

And we would move Exhibit 34 into evidence, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It will be admitted.

(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 34 ADMITTED.)

MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you very much. The Court has the original of the first page of Exhibit 34.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you. There are specific sections in that transcript, Your Honor, that, with the Court's permission, I will not take the time right now during this witness or Professor Deeb, who's waiting -- Dr. Deeb is waiting to testify, but rather do it during another part of the trial because I believe it will focus -- we will assist the Court in its review of Exhibit 34. May I have leave to do that later during the trial?

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you very much.

Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) Colonel Lang, are you familiar also with the affidavit of the convicted hijacker Omar Mohammed Ali Rezaq which we obtained in this particular case, Patrick Scott

- Baker, et al versus Socialist Peoples Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, et al, and which I hand to you as Exhibit 35, and have you had an opportunity to review Exhibit 35.
 - A Yes. I read this a couple of weeks ago.

- Q And share with the Court, if you would, specifically in relation to page 2, the essential points that conform precisely with the opinions that you have expressed here about Syria's sponsorship of the Abu Nidal Organization and the training by this hijacker in the Baqaa Valley as indicated on the page 2 of this Exhibit 35?
- A Yeah, paragraph 3b. reads, (reading) Prior to the hijacking, I was trained at an ANO terrorist camp located in Baqaa Valley, Lebanon.

I think that's the part that specifically applies.

- Q Yes, indeed. And in paragraph 3a., does it confirm precisely under oath that on November 23rd, 1985, I, that being Omar Mohammed Ali Rezaq, and other operatives of the Abu Nidal Organization hijacked EgyptAir Flight 648, which was en route from Athens, Greece and Cairo, Egypt?
- A Yes, and since he says he was trained at an ANO terrorist camp at the Baqaa Valley, that facility was under Syrian government protection while he was being trained there.
- Q Thank you very much.
- MR. HEIDEMAN: We'll move Exhibit 35 into evidence at this time, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Be admitted. (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 35 ADMITTED.) 2 3 MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you very much. (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) Perhaps you've already indicated it, 4 5 but what did you learn about the victims of the -- and the 6 passengers following the Egyptian commando raid on trying to 7 recover EgyptAir Flight 648? 8 Well, the Egyptian troops really shot the plane up 9 severely with a lot of ball ammunition, which means real 10 bullets, you know, and a -- they caused a great deal of 11 structural damage to the aircraft, injured a great many 12 people, killed quite a few, and there were fires started and 13 that's what I remember 24 years later. Is it 24 years? 14 Something like that. 15 Yes, sir. Given your firsthand experience observing an 16 Abu Nidal Organization terrorist operation, can you provide 17 any other conclusions concerning the method of operation 18 employed by the Abu Nidal Organization when carrying out 19 terrorist attacks in terms of how they operated and how Syrian 20 support for those operations and method of operations are 21 essential? 22 All their attacks tended to be extremely violent and very

bloody and indiscriminate in the amount of personal injury and damage that they inflicted. The other two attacks in Vienna and Rome were quite similar in a way. They did a great deal

23

of damage. They were not concerned at all about being sort of minimalist attack on property rather than people, and the Syrians surely knew, after this first operation, in fact, that they were going to behave that way, and the Rome and Vienna operations took place a month or so later and they didn't, obviously didn't do anything to try to restrain their behavior.

Q What was the method of operation employed by the Abu
Nidal Organizations relating to surveillance and relating to
having registered resident agents and use of cut-outs, can you
just explain that to the Court so the Court gets a wider
understanding of how essential the support of the government
of Syria and the Syrian defendant was?

A Well, the Abu Nidal Organization was a fairly small organization, as I said, and they weren't a rich organization, and so they couldn't afford to outpost all these places that they were going to conduct operations in. If you're going to do something like this, operation like this, you have to place the target under surveillance and keep it under surveillance right up to -- until after you're done with attacking it, and they didn't have the manpower for that kind of thing.

And so they often relied upon their state sponsors, in this case, most particularly, the Syrian government and its resident intelligence population in their embassies overseas to supplement their own abilities with regard to surveillance

of targets and mission support. It takes a lot of people to conduct an operation like this, because there are a lot of support services in terms of security on the streets, security of your rendezvous point, people moving you, people around, and then, as I say, the target has to be maintained under surveillance right up until the very last moment to make sure something doesn't change.

So, the Syrians were very useful in doing all those kinds of things. They tended to pick up the slack for what Abu Nidal couldn't do.

- Q In conclusion, what is your opinion to the Court as to whether or not Syria was in 1985 and continues today as a active state sponsor of terror?
- A Well, I think they certainly are. I mean, in terms of anything every way that the United States defines international effective terrorism, the Syrians continue to do, to support organizations of that kind as part of their foreign policy, and in fact, the actors may have changed. I mean, Abu Nidal is not around the way they were then, and he's moved to his fate long ago, but there are new and substitute organizations that the Syrian government continues to sponsor as a matter of foreign policy.
- Q And in conclusion, what is your opinion as to whether or not Syria sponsored the Abu Nidal Organization at the time of the EgyptAir hijacking of -- the hijacking of EgyptAir Flight

1 648 and separately, a month later, the Rome and Vienna airport attacks?

- A Yeah, they were -- they were amongst the most important sponsors of the Abu Nidal Organization in all those operations.
- Q And in conclusion, what is your opinion, sir, as to whether or not the Syrian sponsored Abu Nidal Organization committed the EgyptAir hijacking of Flight 648 and a month later the Rome and Vienna airport attacks?
- 10 A I think it's certainly true, and Rezaq has confessed to 11 that in writing here.
 - Q And, sir, in conclusion, what is your opinion as to whether Syrian government official government policy is to in relation to supporting terrorism and terrorist groups to achieve the foreign policy objectives of the government of Syria?
 - A They consider this to be a normal part of international relations, something which they should be discouraged from thinking.
 - Q And in conclusion, sir, are you able to provide any other conclusions, based on your expertise in counterterrorism and antiterrorism intelligence and the affairs of the Middle East, based both upon your personal involvement of the time at the time, your actual knowledge and experience and expertise and your expert opinion regarding the matters here that I've

- l 🛮 asked you about here today?
- 2 A Well, I would say that politically motivated violence of
- 3 this kind remains a feature of life in the Middle East to this
- 4 day.
- 5 Q And did you prepare and execute an affidavit and report
- 6 setting forth in even greater detail than we've done here
- 7 today, for which we thank you for your time, your expert
- 8 analysis regarding Syria's sponsorship of the Abu Nidal
- 9 Organization and the Syrian sponsorship of the Abu Nidal
- 10 Organization's perpetration of both the EgyptAir hijacking of
- 11 November 23rd, 1985, and the nearly simultaneous Rome and
- 12 Vienna airport attacks of December 27, 1985?
- 13 A Yes, I did.
- 14 Q And are you -- Let me hand you what has been marked as
- 15 Exhibit 40 and ask you if you can identify this document as a
- 16 copy of your expert report and analysis and affidavit setting
- 17 | forth in even greater detail your conclusions and opinions, as
- 18 you've stated here today, and as you believe the Court should
- 19 rely.
- 20 A Yes, that is the affidavit and report.
- 21 Q Thank you very much. Colonel Lang, are there any --
- 22 before the Court asks you any questions it may have, are there
- 23 any additional matters relating to Syria as a state sponsor of
- 24 terrorism, Syria as a sponsor of the Abu Nidal Organization at
- 25 the time of the hijacking, Syria's sponsorship of the Abu

```
Nidal Organization's hijacking of EgyptAir and committing of
2
     the Rome and Vienna airport attacks that you would like to
3
     share with the Court here today?
          No, I think that's covered it.
 4
 5
      Q
          Thank you.
6
               MR. HEIDEMAN: At this time, Your Honor, I would
 7
     move Exhibit 40 into evidence.
8
               THE COURT: Be admitted.
9
               (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 40 ADMITTED.)
10
               MR. HEIDEMAN:
                              Thank you. I have nothing further
11
     for this witness and turn the witness to the Court.
12
               THE COURT: I have nothing for him. Thank you very
13
     much, Colonel.
14
               THE WITNESS: Thank you.
15
               THE COURT: I will give our reporter ten minutes;
     five minutes till 4:00, please.
16
17
               MR. HEIDEMAN:
                              Thank you.
18
               (A BRIEF RECESS WAS TAKEN.)
19
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Court is back in session. Please
20
     be seated everyone and come to order.
21
               MR. HEIDEMAN: Plaintiffs call Professor Marius
22
     Deeb, D-e-e-b, Your Honor.
2.3
               THE COURT: Professor, please take a stand.
24
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: Raise your right hand, sir.
```

(WITNESS SWORN BY THE DEPUTY CLERK.)

1 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated, 2 sir. 3 MARIUS DEEB, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. HEIDEMAN: 7 Would you state your name, please, sir. 8 Marius Deeb. Α 9 Would you tell the Court your address. 10 106 --Α You do not need to -- since this is a public record, you 11 12 do not need to give your home address if you prefer to give 13 your office address. 14 That's okay. 15 All right. I'm letting you know how it is. 10626 Muirfield Drive, Potomac, Maryland 20854. 16 17 Thank you. And Professor Deeb, what is your occupation? 18 I'm a professor in Middle East studies and Islamic 19 studies at John Hopkins University and the School of Advanced 20 International Studies in Washington, D.C. 21 And have we met previously in relation to this case? 22 Α Yes. 23 And has my law firm asked you to provide an analysis and 24 a report and your opinions in relation to the issues before the Court, including the government of Syria, Syrian Air Force

- 1 Intelligence and those defendants' sponsorship of terrorism
- 2 and sponsorship of the Abu Nidal terrorist organization in the
- 3 | fall being November and also December of 1985?
- 4 A You did.
- Q And, sir, have we asked you to report on, to the Court
- 6 also, on Syria's status as being a designated state sponsor of
- 7 terrorism as well as its ties to and history of support for
- 8 the Abu Nidal Organization during the mid 1980s?
- 9 A Yes, you did.
- 10 Q And subject to the Court accepting you as an expert
- 11 witness, are you prepared to discuss your findings with us?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q First of all, let me ask you if you have a curriculum
- 14 vitae.
- 15 **■** A I do.
- 16 Q And let me hand you a copy of that.
- MR. HEIDEMAN: Which I believe, Your Honor, is at
- 18 Exhibit 49.
- 19 THE COURT: Yes, it is.
- MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you.
- 21 Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) Professor Deeb, could you please
- 22 | identify this Exhibit 49 and tell the Court if it accurately
- 23 represents your current curriculum vitae?
- 24 A Yes, it does.
- 25 Q And so that, considering the hour, we don't have to waste

unnecessary time, although, of course, we want to take all the time needed, could you, perhaps, rather than me asking you questions, explain to the Court your education, your background, your fields of study, your positions, the publications you have published and books, articles, lectures and your field of expertise, please, sir.

A Yes. I obtained my Ph.D. or D.Phil. from Oxford
University in politics with special reference to the Middle
East, which means reference to Arab and Islamic studies.

Before that, I got my master's of arts, M.A., from American University in Political Theory and International Relations, and also obtained my bachelor of arts, B.A., in political science from American University of Beirut, too.

With respect to my publications, I've worked extensively on terrorism and especially, in particular, Syrian, Syrian terrorism in the last 27 years, and I published extensively on proxies of Syrian which used for terrorism and on Syria itself. The recent articles I've written on Syria, one I write every year on Syria for various encyclopedias, but one of the most recent ones was "Syria and the War in Iraq," which shows how Syria sponsors terrorism in that particular dimension.

But the book which is most relevant to this court would be the book which I published in 2003 and paperback edition 2004 called, "Syria's Terrorist War on Lebanon and the

Peace Process" in which I mention the sponsorship of -- the sponsorship of terrorism by Syria and mentioning in particular the Abu Nidal Organization. And I think -- and I refer to the operations we're talking about today.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So, I consider myself an expert on Syria and Syria's terrorism, and when I teach every semester, there's a part of my courses on that, on terrorism which is done by Syria and its proxies. And Syria, to just give you an idea, is a regime which is controlled by a small minority, religious minority called "Alawites" and they control it through the intelligence network and the military, and it's a police state. Everything is controlled by them. Everything which takes place on Syrian territory, they know about it, and this regime came to part with the father of the present president of Syria, the father came to part in 1970, Hafiz al-Assad, and in 2000 when he died, his son Bashar al-Assad took over, but the regime has not changed. In many ways, it's still controlled. Yes. Thank you. Before you express any opinions to the Court about Syria or its history, I want to be sure we have properly qualified you as an expert, so just let me ask you a few things, if I may.

Would you the tell the Court the various academic institutions at which you have held teaching positions.

A Yeah, I taught at American University of Beirut and also in the United States I taught at Indiana University in Indiana

- and then Kent State University, Kent, Ohio, and I was visiting professor at St. Anthony's College, Oxford University. And in the Washington area, I taught at Georgetown University, George Washington University, and at this time I teach at the School of Advanced International Studies, which is part of the John Hopkins University.
 - Q Have you or do you currently lecture on the subject of the Syrian government, the family regime that heads that government, its structure and its history dating back to the period, including and even before the period of November and December 1985?
 - A Yes, I do. In my courses as well in various conferences which I attend, including conferences which we're not supposed to talk about with certain government agencies in Washington, D.C., and I think it's very important to realize that Syria has been doing this since the mid '70s.
 - Q Thank you. In that regard, before you express opinions about Syria's conduct, do you currently lecture and have you lectured and written about the Syrian government's sponsorship of terrorism?
- 21 A Yes, I've done so.

Q And do you currently teach classes about the Syrian government at the Johns Hopkins University School of International Studies and not only about the Syrian government but its support, historically and including currently, of

- l terrorist organizations?
- 2 A Yes, I do.
- 3 Q And have you written academic and notes or articles about
- 4 the Syrian government and its support for terrorism, including
- 5 various articles that have been published in various places?
- 6 A Yes, numerous articles on Syria's terrorism and support
- 7 of terrorism through its proxies, yeah.
- 8 Q Thank you. And for the Court's information, what is the
- 9 most recent article -- before I ask you about your book --
- 10 what is the most recent article you've written on the topic of
- 11 Syria's sponsorship of terrorism?
- 12 A Written an article published by Bertelsmann Foundation
- 13 and --
- 14 Q Excuse me, can you explain to the Court the name of the
- 15 \parallel foundation so the court reporter can get it down.
- 16 A Bertelsmann Foundation is a publisher in Germany.
- 17 | 0 Bertelsmann Foundation?
- 18 A Yes, and it's a conference which was held in Bologna but
- 19 then published in Florence, the book, in which I talk about
- 20 Syria and the war on Iraq, which Syria sponsors terrorism, and
- 21 \parallel in that article I show a lot of the major characteristics of
- 22 the Syrian regime.
- 23 Q And the name of the foundation that published it you said
- 24 is Bertelsman, or two "n"s?
- 25 \blacksquare A I think it's two "n's".

- 1 Q Two "n"s. Thank you. Now, you mentioned it briefly and
- 2 quickly before, but let me make sure that we have it right.
- 3 Have you written any books, published any books on the Syrian
- 4 government's support and sponsorship of terrorism, and if so,
- 5 what is the name of the most relevant book you have written on
- 6 | that subject?
- 7 A Title of the book is "Syria's Terrorist War on Lebanon
- 8 and the Peace Process."
- 9 Q Let me hand you a copy of this book which just --
- 10 MR. HEIDEMAN: Which since it's a published book, is
- 11 not being marked as an exhibit, Your Honor.
- 12 Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) But ask you if you can identify the
- 13 book that I am handing to you, and if so, would you tell the
- 14 Court the date of publication and the name of the publisher of
- 15 the book to which I believe you just referenced.
- 16 A Yes. That's the book, and it was published by Palgrave
- 17 Macmillan, the paperback edition, in 2004.
- 18 Q And the name just before "Macmillan," for the court
- 19 reporter, please.
- 20 A Palgrave.
- 21 Q Palgrave.
- 22 A P-a-l-g-r-a-v-e.
- 23 Q Thank you. Professor Deeb, far be it for me to ever ask
- 24 a professor something when they are delivering their opinions,
- 25 esteemed opinions; however, I'm going to ask you if you

- 1 | wouldn't mind, please, to speak a little slower for the court
- 2 reporter. It's been a long day and it's her task to get
- 3 everything down, and if you could speak just a little slower.
- 4 I think everyone can hear you, but if you could speak a little
- 5 slower, it might be helpful.
- 6 A Sure.
- 7 | Q Thank you very much. I hope you don't mind me asking.
- 8 A Not at all.
- 9 Q Thank you. Now, in your writings of books and articles
- 10 and the lectures that you do, do you include your analysis as
- 11 to the reasons for the Syrian government's support of
- 12 | terrorism?
- 13 A Yes, I do.
- 14 Q In addition, have you given lectures outside of academia
- 15 regarding Syria and its support of terrorism?
- 16 A Yes. Yes, I do.
- 17 Q And are you a member or do you hold any positions in
- 18 professional associations in your field, and if so, what are
- 19 the most prominent ones?
- 20 A In my field, it's the American Political Science
- 21 Association, the Middle East Institute.
- 22 Q The American what, I'm sorry?
- 23 A The American Political Science Association, Middle East
- 24 | Institute and Middle East Studies Association of North
- 25 America. These have been members for over 25 years.

- Q And have you appeared on the press, in the press, been quoted in various news organs relating to matters involving Syria and matters involving Syria's sponsorship of terrorism and terrorist organizations?
 - A Yeah, I've been quoted by newspapers and magazines over the years. I stopped counting. TV programs as well as radio shows over the last 25 years. I mentioned them in my CV, but they are numerous.
 - Q Thank you. And have you previously served as an expert witness in a United States District Court or in any state court in the United States?
 - A Yes. Two years ago I appeared in this court as an expert, January 2008. And January 2009 in a Boston -- federal Boston court, I appeared as a expert.
 - Q Thank you very much.

- MR. HEIDEMAN: May it please the Court, we would, if I didn't already, move Exhibit 49 into evidence being the curriculum vitae of Professor Marius K. Deeb.
 - THE COURT: It will be admitted, of course.
- 20 (PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 49 ADMITTED.)
 - MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you very much. And may it please the Court, pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 702, we would ask the Court to qualify and admit the testimony of Professor Marius Deeb as an expert on the Syrian government, Syrian government structure, Syrian government's foreign

policy, the Syrian government support for terrorism, including but not limited to the Syrian government's support of the Abu Nidal terrorist organization which committed the EgyptAir Flight 648 hijacking, and separately the Rome and Vienna airport attacks of one month later.

THE COURT: I certainly will.

MR. HEIDEMAN: Is this witness so admitted as a qualified -- qualified and admitted as an expert witness?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you very much.

Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) Professor Deeb, could you tell the Court why, in your expert opinion, Syria is interested in sponsoring terrorism, and pursuant thereto, tell the Court whether or not it does sponsor terrorism.

A Terrorism is an integral part of the Syrian regime. It's part and parcel of the Syrian regime from the mid '70s. They pursue their foreign policy through terrorism among other things, and to them they can never give it up, and I think this is — this is a hallmark of the regime.

They sponsor various organizations, but Abu Nidal loomed large from '81 to '87, 1987. The reasons for that is they want to change the policies of the U.S. and the west on various issues, and they also, I think, they continue to use terrorism for, I'm sorry to say that, because they haven't been punished, and I think this is very important to pursue

that course, because so far they haven't paid for their crimes, and they continue to do so.

The most recent thing has been mentioned by an earlier expert is there is a court in the Hague which has been convened, a special court on Lebanon to investigate Syria into the murder of Former Prime Minister Rafik Hariri, a Lebanese Prime Minister, as well as many others, and I think it's important to realize that Syria has continued the use terrorism up to this very day.

- Q For what main purpose, in your opinion, Professor Deeb, has Syria supported terrorism in the past?
- A Primarily to undermine the peace process between the Arabs and Israelis. If we look at, for example, the targets of Syrian terrorism, usually it's the moderate Arab states like Jordan and Egypt, its prominent Lebanese politicians and journalists who had opposed the Syrian domination of Lebanon, the Arafat Palestinians and of course Israeli targets, as well as U.S. nationals through, you know, using proxies to attack them. And I think this is a way of pushing the west out as well as undermining the peace process in the region.
- Q In your opinion, does Syria have a long history of providing support for terrorist organizations?
- A Yes, from at least from the mid '70s up till now.
- Q And when you say "up to now," does that include currently?

- 1 A Yes.
- Q Are you familiar with the State Department list of state
- 3 sponsors of terrorism, sir?
- 4 A Yes.
- Q And are you familiar with the list prepared by the United
 States Department of State back on December 29, 1979?
- 7 A Yeah. That's the day the date where Syria was put on 8 the terrorist list as a sponsor of terrorism.
- 9 Q And does Syria continue, right to this date, on the list
 10 of the United States Department of State's state sponsors of
 11 terrorism?
- 12 A Yes.

14

15

16

17

- Q Let me hand you what previously has been admitted into evidence as Exhibit 41 and ask you if you are familiar with that document and if it -- if it references Syria there as a state sponsor of terrorism.
- A Yes, I'm familiar, and it references Syria as a state sponsor terrorist state.
- Q And in your expert opinion, not just what our State
 Department says, but in your expert opinion, Professor Deeb,
 has Syria sponsored terrorism from at least December 29, 1979
 through today?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q How would you describe, sir, Syria's sponsorship of terrorism in relation to what it provides to terrorist

organizations, and if you choose to be specific now, I won't have to ask you later, what it has provided and did provide to the Abu Nidal terrorist organization in order to support and provide material support for the hijacking of EgyptAir Flight 648 and the month later Rome and Vienna coordinated airport attacks?

A It provides weapons, it provides money, it provides safe houses, it provides training camps, and provides logistics for the operation, and over and above that, it provides actually it chooses the dates for operations. Because these proxies, when they become proxies of Syria like Abu Nidal, they have no power over the decision making. Syria makes the decision for them and they just execute the operation.

Q When you say it provides money, let me ask you, do you have an opinion as to the range of money that Syria provides in terms of material support for terrorist activities back in 1985 and over the last 25 years and currently?

A Yeah. I mean, I would say it had — it had provided in 1985 was a very active year in terrorism for Syria. It provided hundreds of millions of dollars in the dollar value of today in terms of providing Abu Nidal and other organizations money and you can also talk about weapons, which of course are worth money and the houses which are given free and the training camps, all this, if you add all the bill, it would be in hundreds of millions of dollars.

- Q Have you studied and lectured on and are you considered to be an authority on the issue of Syria as a state sponsor of terrorism?
- A Yes.

- Q And in your opinion, sir, would you tell the Court what types of terrorist organizations Syria has relied on in order to support terrorism, both historically and in the fall of 1985, November and December 1985, and up to the present?
- A It has tended to use non-Syrian organizations,

 Palestinian, Lebanese, whatnot, and Jordanian who would -- it

 would be used as proxies to undertake terrorist operations,

 and this way it tries to say that it's not responsible, but we

 know, no organization could operate without Syria's full

 knowledge and full sponsorship, especially Abu Nidal during

 that period we're talking about, 1985.
- Q Tell the Court, please, why, in your opinion, the United States Department of State -- tell the Court why in your opinion the United States Department of State designated Syria among the first countries that it designated as a state sponsor of terrorism, and the date, I remind you, according to Exhibit 41 when it was designated was December 29, 1979.
- A I think, because the number of terrorist operations which Syria has sponsored over the years already by 1979, it was the first state to be put on that list and continues to be put on the list, and I think the State Department is definitely right

- in doing so.
- Q When you say the number of terrorist acts to -- or you
- 3 mean how many -- that there were many terrorist acts even
- 4 prior to December 1979 sponsored by Syria?
- 5 A Yes, started in the mid '70s onwards, yes.
- 6 Q And how would you describe the number of terrorist acts
- 7 since 1979, and more particularly, since 1985 that have been
- 8 sponsored by the Syrian defendants up to the present?
- 9 A I think they have not diminished in terms of the number.
- 10 Syria has continued to sponsor terrorism through various
- 11 proxies, always through proxies, and I think this continues to
- 12 today. A lot of examples could be given on that.
- 13 And Syria, therefore, the designation of Syria as a
- 14 state who sponsors terrorism is absolutely right.
- 15 Q Why, in your opinion, does Syria use proxies, to use your
- 16 term, for its sponsorship of terrorism? And in answering that
- 17 question, could you please explain to the Court what you mean
- 18 by "proxies."
- 19 A Proxies are, you know, instruments in the hands of Syria
- 20 which uses them. For example, instead of the Syrian
- 21 intelligence operatives do the job like they -- like what
- 22 happened in 1985, they use Abu Nidal for that purpose, because
- 23 they would not have connections in Europe but also it's easier
- 24 | to disown, say that I'm not responsible, but we know that Abu
- 25 Nidal, from the moment he was invited to come to Syria in

1981, everything he did was with full knowledge of Syria and full sponsorship of Syria. So it's a way of trying to sort of make Syria as though it's not responsible for terrorism.

But there are certain cases, of course, where Syrian operatives did operations, but most of the time it uses proxies who work for Syria.

- Q Thank you. You indicated in your last answer that it was approximately 1981 when Abu Nidal was invited to Syria and the sponsorship began; is that correct?
- 10 A Yes.

- Q Could you please explain more to the Court what you know about that and what your opinions are in relation to Syria's invitation to Abu Nidal, who extended the invitation and the nature of the sponsorship that developed after that 1981 invitation.
- A Yeah. Of course, Abu Nidal emerged in Bagdad. He was a representative of Arafat, in fact, when he decided to go his own way and establish what is known as Abu Nidal Organization, really it's called Fath-Revolutionary Council.
- Q Excuse me, what council?
- A Fath, which is a -- it's the acronym of the organization which Arafat established. Fath means -- it's actually an acronym from an Arabic three words which are read in reverse and mean, you know, like the Palestinian Liberation Movement, and this Fath, which was Arafat, they decided to have this

splinter group called Fath, F-a-t-h, hyphen, Revolutionary Council as his new organization. He stayed in Iraq until 1980, '81.

In 1981, the head of the Syrian intelligence, air intelligence invited him to come, and the invitation was that they come to Syria and then they become an instrument, a proxy of Syria for terrorism.

Q Two quick things on your last point. You spelled Fath-Revolutionary Council as Fa-t-h, dash, Revolutionary Council, and in that regard you explained Fath as a shortened acronym from the name Arafat; is that correct? Did I hear you right?

A No. It is actually -- it's in Arabic, which probably for the Court will be complicated. It means harakat al-tahrir al-watani al-filastini, which means the Palestinian Liberation Movement, but instead of using the acronym straight, he reversed the acronym. He used the last word and the middle and the -- so it becomes Fath. But Fath means, in Arabic, conquest, literally, and it also means -- it has its reference to the first area or the first chapter of the Quran and Fatihah, which is the beginning, so there are a lot of religious undertones.

But Fath means literally the acronym of the Palestinian name of the movement of Arafat, which Abu Nidal, when he split, decided that he's the real Fath and the other

- guy is a traitor, and that's why he called it Revolutionary
 Council and split from him.
 - Q When we hear in the news today of Fatah, F-a-t-a-h, is that in English the same word or is it not the same word as Fath, F-a-t-h, as you've just explained, sir?
 - A It's the same word; however, in Arabic there is no vowel between the two, the "t" and "h," and therefore an "a" should not be there, but doesn't matter. Transliteration is complex and you can translate the way you want, you know.
 - Q Thank you for the explanation. The second thing about your immediately prior testimony I wanted to ask about is I thought I heard you say that it was the head of Syrian Air Intelligence who invited Abu Nidal. Would that be the head of Syrian Air Force Intelligence?
- 15 A Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q One of the defendants here along with the Syrian government itself; is that correct?
- 18 A Yes.
- Q And who was, Professor, the head of Syrian Air Force
 Intelligence in 1981 when Abu Nidal was invited to come to
 Syria?
- A General Mohammed Khuli, K-h-u-l-i, the family name. Why?

 Let me explain why the Air Force Intelligence was so important

 for President Assad at that time. The father who was then in

 power, Hafiz, was commander of the Air Force. So when he took

over power and made a coup, the Air Force became his domain, and the most trusted people were in the Air Force.

So, the Air Force Intelligence became the most powerful intelligence service in the country by virtue of being that its own domain before he came to power, and that's why the Supreme Intelligence Officer in the country, General Mohammed Khuli was the one who invited Abu Nidal, not any other, you see.

And this is -- meant that, you know, that the President, Hafiz Assad himself, same president invited Abu Nidal to come to Syria.

Q When that invitation was extended to Abu Nidal -- and by the way, there is other testimony that Abu Nidal stands for the -- is the name of a person who's real name was Sabri al-Banna; is that correct?

A Yes. Sabri al-Banna is his real name, S-a-b-r-i, al-Banna, a-l, hyphen, B-a-double n-a. Abu Nidal is a, you know, the revolution name, and Abu Nidal literally means the father of struggle, revolutionary struggle -- I mean, struggle as such, and therefore, it's important to emphasize his revolutionary credentials by giving him a name like that for his people, you know.

Q Thank you. When Abu Nidal was invited in 1981 by Khuli, the head of Syrian Air Force Intelligence, was that an invitation to visit or was it more, and in your opinion, what

did it become after the initial invitation and when did it become more than just a visit?

A Yeah. It was an invitation to come and stay and become — that's your home. He told the guard, the delegation, Syria is your home, that's our leader, that's President Assad at the time, decided that you should come to Syria and stay, and to become the relationship between you and us, you know, stronger and stronger over time. So, it's an invitation to come and establish yourself. That means an invitation that you can do your operations from here and we have — will tell you what to do. And that's exactly — Abu Nidal, of course, was happy as relations with Iraq deteriorated at the time. Syria was a wonderful haven for his organization.

Q Was, in your opinion, Professor, the Abu Nidal Organization already a terrorist organization when Khuli, the head of Syrian Air Force Intelligence, extended an invitation to Abu Nidal to make its home and base in Syria?

A Yes. It was already a terrorist organization, but of course, the moment the invitation was extended and the organization moved to Syria, then of course Syria became the full sponsor because the organization cannot do anything in Syria without the knowledge of the Syrian government and the Syrian intelligent services because Syria was and still is a police state. In other words, nothing happens on Syrian

territory without the knowledge of the government. They have informers everywhere, informants everywhere and also they have intelligence officers all over the country.

- Q Thank you. So, explain to the Court your opinion, based upon your knowledge and expertise of the progression of the Abu Nidal terrorist organization and its activities prior to 1981 when it was invited to make its home in Syria.
- A Before 1981, it worked for Iraq. It made some operations on behalf of Iraq, but then the invitation was the invitation by Khuli to Abu Nidal to come to Syria was done in January 1981, to be specific, and by the end of the year, more or less, the organization was quite well established in Syria. By 1982, definitely, and this is very important.
- Q In your opinion, what type of terrorist activities had Abu Nidal and his organization, his terrorist organization, conducted prior to the time that it accepted the invitation to move to Syria, and what, in your opinion, did Syria know about Abu Nidal and the Abu Nidal Organization as a terrorist organization when it invited Abu Nidal to move to Syria in 1981?
- A Yeah, I think partly one can say about Abu Nidal that he was a gun for hire, but on the other hand, ideologically was against Arafat and the mainstream PLO, so he was targeting Arafat people, targeting those who were against, you know, against his ideology which is the -- he was definitely against

- the peace process and also worked for Iraq for awhile targeting enemies of Iraq abroad, in particular.
 - But when he came to Syria, it's known that he will come to Syria to work for the Syrian government, and that's what happened.
 - Q And when you say it was known that he would come to Syria, that is, Abu Nidal would come to Syria and work for the Syrian government, do you mean come as a terrorist organization, be welcomed, be based, have its home and be sponsored and supported by the Syrian government in pursuing and doing terrorist activities and operations at the behest of and on behalf of Syria?
 - A Yes, absolutely.

- Q In the book you referred to earlier, which just for identification purposes, although I am not intending to move it in, Your Honor, but just for the record will identify, since it's a published document as Exhibit 94, in Exhibit 94, this is your book, "Syria's Terrorist War on Lebanon and the Peace Process"; is that correct?
- 20 A Yes, that's correct.
- Q You used the words, moments ago, that Abu Nidal was a gun for hire; is that correct?
- 23 A That's right.
- Q In fact, has a book called "Abu Nidal: A Gun for Hire"
- 25 been written?

A Yes. It's been written actually by a very famous British journalist, Patrick Seale, and he did a lot of research interviewing people of Abu Nidal. As a journalist he had access to them, and he wrote that book. And to the extent the book is very valuable and very full of information about Abu Nidal.

Q Did Patrick Seale have access, in your opinion, your expert opinion to the Al-Assad family in Syria in the time period of the EgyptAir hijacking and the time period when Abu Nidal was based in Syria?

A Yes. Yes, Patrick Seale, British journalist, but he was raised in Syria because his parents were missionaries in Syria, and then he managed to — some friendship which he had, to reach President Assad and meet him, and so he played a role in that by sometimes relaying messages between Israel and Syria, but Patrick Seale, on the whole, in this particular book you mentioned, tried to be objective and tried to depict Abu Nidal as an organization, as is a terrorist organization involved in all kinds of terrorist operations, and I think it's an enlightening book on the relationship of Syria and Abu Nidal.

Q In your book marked as Exhibit 94, on page 234, a footnote appears that relates to the time of Abu Nidal being invited to come to Syria; is that correct?

A That's correct.

- Q Would you read that footnote to the Court, and I hand you
 Exhibit 94, please. And tell the Court, in reading it, if you
 believe that to be accurate.
 - A I've never bored to read my book anyway. Earlier this is footnote 168, page 234. (Reading) Earlier in the spring of 1981 when Syria decided to invite Abu Nidal, General Mohammed Khuli, the head of the Air Force Intelligence, told an Abu Nidal delegation, quote, our leadership, meaning President Assad, has decided that Syria should be your country, so welcome to it. Let us hope that the relationship between us will go from strength to strength, end of quote.
 - The quotation is taken from Patrick Seale's "Abu Nidal: A Gun for Hire."
 - Q Thank you very much. Between 1981 and 1983, did the terrorist activities of Abu Nidal Organization, as sponsored by Syria, increase?
- 17 A Yes.

- Q Earlier you mentioned that at some point the Abu Nidal Organization and Abu Nidal himself had been sponsored by the government of Iraq. That would have been in the time of Saddam Hussein; is that correct?
- 22 A That's correct, yes.
 - Q Did there come a time when the relationship between Abu Nidal and Saddam Hussein of Iraq changed and Abu Nidal's relationship shifted from Iraq to Syria?

A That's correct. You know, Saddam was involved in a war with Iran, so he was busy, and therefore, Abu Nidal, you know, relationship with Saddam deteriorated by 19' -- definitely by 1982, and he decided to accept the invitation and to send all his organization to Syria as a home base for the organization.

- Q So in 1982, Abu Nidal and the Abu Nidal Organization relocated to Syria as its main home base; is that correct?
- A Absolutely.

- Q Subsequently, did the Abu Nidal Organization also become involved with other state sponsors of terrorism, without being specific as to time frames because it's unnecessary for today.
- A Yeah. It's later he was involved with Libya, yes.
- Q Thank you. And after Abu Nidal in 1982 made the decision to relocate it's home base from Iraq to Syria, tell the Court whether or not in your opinion Syria then increased its sponsorship of Abu Nidal and began to rely more heavily on various terrorist groups to commit more and more terrorist activities.

A Yes. It tried more Abu Nidal generally and other terrorist groups, but Abu Nidal was useful for operations outside the Middle East, or Turkey, which is part of the Middle East or the edge of Middle East, Pakistan and in Europe where he could operate. While terrorism in Lebanon and other closer places, other terrorist organization were in charge, but Abu Nidal was really increased his activity from 1982

onwards.

- Q Thank you. And in that regard, did the Syrian government support Abu Nidal in maintaining headquarters in Damascus?
- A Yes, and provide his organization with homes, with certain safe homes where they can stay, safe houses, and the -- providing, of course, with training camps in Syria and in Syrian-controlled Lebanon and therefore became part and parcel of the Syrian intelligence network, especially in particular the Air Force Intelligence network.
- Q And was that the situation, as you've just described it, not only in 1982 and 1983, but up into the fall of 1985 when the Abu Nidal terrorists trained in the Baqaa Valley in preparation for the Syrian supported hijacking of EgyptAir Flight 648 and a month later the Rome and Vienna airport attacks?
- A Yes, absolutely.
- Q Could you please explain to the Court what was the governmental structure of Syria at the time it became a state sponsor of terror and at the time that it sponsored the Abu Nidal Organization in the EgyptAir hijacking and the next month on the Rome and Vienna airport attacks.
- A Yeah, I mean, the structure of Syria is simple in a way because it has not changed over the years. It's a military and intelligence dictatorship which controls the country through controlling the most important military units which

have ammunition and everything, and intelligence offices.

Most of them are recruited from relatives of the Assad family, the rulers, and also recruited from the community of the Alawites which is a minority, which is only 11 percent of Syria, and this minority is very secretive in its religion and this lends itself to be secretive about everything else. They actually control Syria totally, and this means that they have to keep an eye on everything — on everything which is happening in Syria, and the control is — it appears as though sometimes it's not there but it's always there because they have informers and they have intelligence officers operating in the country, and that's the structure.

So, President Assad controls all the institutions, all the institutions of the country and it controls it.

Actually he is not running the country by moving around the country. He didn't. Actually control it by phone, and very few people could phone him directly. One of the people who could phone him all the time was Air Force Intelligence, head of the Air Force Intelligence, that is, General Mohammed Khuli, because only two or three people can phone him and tell him because these guys are the closest to the President.

So, the country was run very smoothly, I mean, controlled everything, very efficient in controlling the country, but of course, it's a brutal -- it was a brutal dictatorship, it still is very cruel. Any person who would

try to defy the rulers of Syria, whether the father then and now his son, will end up in jail, if not dead, worse than that.

So, I think this is very important to realize that it is a police state, a dictatorship with -- willing to do all sorts of things to stay in power. One of the brothers of President Assad boasted in 1980 that he's willing to kill 1 million Syrians so the regime stays in power, so this regime is very brutal, very -- its dictatorship is cruel with respect to its own people, especially people who defy the regime or try to make any, you know, any attempts to change it or to influence those who are in power.

Q Thank you. Would you describe the Syrian government which you've indicated was and is both brutal and a dictatorship, as an authoritarian in the government whereby all organs of the state were under President Assad's direct control, and I'm referring to Hafiz Assad during his lifetime, and if the answer to that is yes, my next question will be, has it changed for this government since the passing of leadership to his son Bashar Assad?

A Has not changed at all. It's still a very cruel regime and very authoritarian regime, and it's interesting because he passed it to the son who has no problem at all because the son was groomed by his father for six years to take over, and you know, when you have a tutor who is your father and a tutorial

for six years, I think it's very effective in learning all the tricks of the trade.

So, despite the fact that he took power, he was under 40, he managed to survive because the father taught him and also because of the cohesiveness of the community from which the father and the son come from.

Q Would you please explain to the Court for the time period from the mid 1970s until now whether or not the Assad family controls all top government posts and key jobs, including but not limited to the military intelligence and those who work with directly the terrorist organizations such as they did with the Abu Nidal terrorist organization.

A Yes, especially organizations which are terrorists which have to do with intelligence agencies and all that, and security. These are very much in control.

Now, even cabinet -- members of the cabinet, members of parliament are all chosen by the government, and I think when it comes to terrorist organizations, even the control is even stronger because here the terrorist organization have to do certain jobs for this regime and controlling them is essential for the execution of the terrorist operations and the choosing of the timing and choosing the place and the targets with respect to the Syrian rulers themselves.

Q In your opinion, did the Syrian defendants participate in the selection of the timing and the methodologies and the

operations that were involved in both the EgyptAir hijacking of Flight 648 and subsequently the next month in the Rome and Vienna airport attacks?

A There is no doubt about it. You know, Arafat, the PLO leader, name the declaration in Cairo in Egypt on the 7th of November 1985 in which he says, terrorist operations against civilians are condemned everywhere, everywhere. No terrorist operation should take place anywhere in the world against civilians.

The answer to that was the very symbolic, the hijacking of EgyptAir, which is Egyptian. The declaration was made in Egypt, and also to answer Arafat that, you know, operations are going to continue, and Vienna and Rome made it even clearer that terrorism abroad is going to remain and against civilians it's going to remain. So, it's in answer to Arafat and answer to Egypt who sponsored Arafat to make this declaration and move with the peace process.

- Q When was this Arafat declaration to which you've just referred in your testimony?
- A November 7th, 1985.

- Q And is that referred to in the literature as the Cairo Declaration?
- 23 A The Cairo Declaration, yes.
- Q And explain to the Court what was the Cairo declaration, and I trust that means it was made in Cairo, Egypt?

A In Cairo, Egypt, yes. Arafat said one should not do any kind of terrorism or any kind of violent operation against civilians anywhere in the world. In other words, civilians should not be targets of violence, and therefore, Abu Nidal, of course, Syria sponsoring him, answered that by saying, "We're going to continue doing that." And in order to embarrass Arafat, they did it on purpose in Egypt where his declaration was made.

Q And at that time was the Abu Nidal terrorist organization in November of 1985 already fully entrenched and based and supported in Syria and Damascus and in the Baqaa Valley?

A Yes. They were already there fully established for years, been there for at least three years, so any action by Abu Nidal during that period, even earlier, would not be possible without Syrian — full knowledge of the Syrian government, the Syrian president and full support and sponsorship of the Syrian president.

Q How did the Syrian government treat the movement of Abu Nidal terrorists in and out of Syria in November 1985 and December 1985?

A Yeah, they freely moved out. They left -- some of them left through Damascus airport, some through Beirut airport, which is Syrian controlled, because they had Syrian troops in Lebanon and dominated Lebanon then. They provided them with the passports, with the travel documents. Some of the

- passports, of course, are obviously forged Moroccan and Tunisian passports, and provided them with the money. And the communication, we know from one of the survivors mentioned in this court, Omar Mohammed Ali, is that the communication continued with Damascus with the leaders of the Abu Nidal organization while operations were being, you know, prepared for in Europe.
 - So here is the proof that Syria was doing all that itself and Abu Nidal just an instrument, was just an instrument in the hands of the Syrian regime.
 - Q Have you had the opportunity to review any of the testimony of the person to whom you just referenced Omar Mohammed Ali Rezaq, the convicted hijacker of the EgyptAir Flight 648 hijacking?
- 15 A Yes, I did. I read it, yes.

- Q And have you reviewed his affidavit and do you -- where he indicates he was trained in the Syrian-controlled Baqaa Valley, his affidavit being Exhibit 35 in evidence in this matter?
- A Yes, I reviewed the affidavit, absolutely, yes.
- Q And where he states that he was trained in the
 Syrian-controlled Baqaa Valley and that he committed the
 hijacking; do you believe that to be true?
- 24 A Absolutely true.
- Q Have you also had the opportunity, sir, to review any of

1 Mr. Rezaq's testimony, which is now in evidence as Exhibit 34
2 which he gave in this courthouse at the time of his criminal
3 trial, if you've had a chance the review any of that, I don't
4 recall.

A Yes, I did.

Q And in that testimony, which — parts of which we'll go over with the Court either now or tomorrow as the Court prefers, but does he indicate there that he had a special card that allowed him to, and I'm paraphrasing, move along special highways that were under control only of the Syrian military or intelligence of the Syrian government?

A Yes, he did. He did indicate that. He -- of course, there are certain military roads which are used by the Syrians only when they were controlled in Lebanon, and of course, these roads are not -- are not seen by the public and therefore the movements of Abu Nidal Organization members were done without the knowledge of the civilians who were living close by. The training camps were separate and the roads leading to Damascus going and coming back were, you know, things which were not known to the general public.

Q Thank you. The exhibit that is the map of Syria and Lebanon that I'm putting back up that's blown up, and it's Exhibit No. 44 in evidence, a copy of which I am handing you as a small one.

25 A Yes.

- Q Does this appear to be an accurate depiction of the scene
 on the ground in Lebanon and Syria?
- 3 A Yes.
- Q And I believe you told me when we met you actually were born in Lebanon; is that correct?
- 6 A I was raised in Lebanon, yes.
- 7 Q So you're familiar with the area; is that correct?
- 8 A Absolutely.
- 9 Q And Colonel Lang, when he testified, indicated that the
 10 Baqaa Valley is this area in between what he marked on the
 11 acetate as these blue lines; is that correct?
- 12 A That's right.

13

14

15

16

17

- Q And what's the distance from the Abu Nidal train- -- Strike that question.
 - Tell the Court, if you would, where within these blue line areas the Abu Nidal terrorist camps were based in the time period from 1981, '2, '3, and certainly in the time period of 1985 and '6.
- 19 A They were closer to the Syrian border. The two blue
 20 lines which were -- with are parallel to each other, in
 21 between that, between Balabakk and Riyaq and also a bit to the
 22 south, yes. And some camps are a bit to the southern where
 23 the line goes down there, yeah, beyond that down.
- Q One moment, please. Are you saying that the camps were in between these two blue lines because if so, so that I'm

- 1 clear, in red, on the acetate, I'm going to mark --
- 2 A Yes.

- \bigcirc Q -- the two --
- A Actually, the red lines are even better. They are a bit wider than the blue lines.
 - Q All right. So in between the red lines that's on the acetate on this Exhibit No. 44, was that the area of the Abu Nidal camp?
 - A Yes.
- 10 Q And was that the condition in the fall of 1985?
- 11 A Yes.
 - Q And in your estimation, sir, and your knowledge of the area, could I trouble you to come to the map of being this exhibit and take this, take this green marker take this green marker and mark, if you will, the military roads that you referenced where the Abu Nidal terrorists who committed the EgyptAir attack and separately the Rome and Vienna airport attacks after their training, where which military roads did they use in order to be able to move in and out of Lebanon and between Lebanon and Syria.
 - A I mean, the military roads, you don't need to get into Lebanon then because the training the whole thing is the training camps and Syria where the headquarters are of Abu Nidal, so the camps were here and the military roads would be like that, and also, there is also a camp a couple of camps

here, too.

These are -- if we take the distance between these camps and Damascus, they are very close. You know, we're talking about 20 miles, 25 miles maximum, and this is sort of the distance between the camps and Damascus.

Now, if you go to Beirut, of course, it's longer, but there is no need to do that because if the leadership of Abu Nidal is here and the camps are here and then the traveling most of it is from Damascus coming from Beirut, and this is how they moved.

You don't need to have entry roads to go in this region. It's from the camps to Syria.

Q Thank you. You may retake your seat. I'm paraphrasing from Mr. Rezaq's testimony, which we have put into evidence, I believe, as Exhibit 35 -- No, testimony, 34. Exhibit 34 from his trial testimony. I've read it, so let me just paraphrase.

If he indicates in there that by traveling on the military roads it would be perhaps 25 to 30 minutes to Damascus, would that be accurate?

A Yes, but of course, these roads are a bit rough, you know. It takes longer. They are a bit -- they are not really paved roads, so maybe the distance is short but the time might be longer.

Q I understand. And if the Abu Nidal terrorists were permitted on these military roads, would they have been

permitted on roads only on which the Syrian government permitted military intelligence and government officials to travel?

A That's right.

- Q And if in fact Mr. Rezaq, as he -- I proffer to the Court -- testified in the previous trial had this special card, what would be the use of the special card that Mr. Rezaq had?
- A It's in case he meets some people who would question, I think the card would clear him completely. In other words, it's a card which would -- the Syrians will give to those they trust and they can pass through various roads.

Now, of course, he needs the card if he goes to the Beirut airport to catch a plane, and therefore, he might use it for that. But the idea that the Syrians provided documents for them, yes, provided documents to travel between Syria and Lebanon and of course provided passports to travel abroad, and the card would be used for if any — you know, because Syria was in control, but there was a Lebanese army there, other security forces, so it's not Syrian territory.

So if by accident he loses his way, the card would help him and clear him completely to move on to go on to whatever he wants.

Q Thank you. And just lastly, in that regard, if Mr. Rezaq testified, as I proffered he did, and I'm paraphrasing, that

the card would allow him to, I believe the word is "avoid" or maybe it means "not being stopped," I'm not sure because I don't have the testimony verbatim in front of me, by either Syrian customs or --

A Lebanese.

Q Lebanon customs, would that be in keeping, sir, with your expert opinion as to how those cards were used and why the Syrian government would have issued such a card to an Abu Nidal terrorist?

A Yeah, I mean, even they have to issue them for their own Syrian military because when he passes through military outposts of the Syrian one, he has to show the Syrian that he has that card and therefore clears him and he could move on. So, this is to show that he's a bona fide guy, the Syrians trust him, and therefore, he has access to all these roads, you see.

So, it works both ways, for the Syrian military and Lebanese military that the card is necessary to move around.

Q Thank you. One last question in this particular area and then I'll move toward conclusion so we can finish and not keep you or the court personnel unnecessarily late today.

Relates to the Syrian Air Force Intelligence.

You've already indicated certain things about it. Tell the

Court your opinion as to the importance of Syrian Air Force

Intelligence to President Assad and the government of Syria at

- the time of and in relation to Syria's sponsorship of the Abu

 Nidal Organization and its commitment of these terrorist
- 3 attacks, EgyptAir, and separately Rome and Vienna.
- 4 A Yeah. The Air Force Intelligence is not only Air Force
- 5 Intelligence because the domain of President Assad was the Air
- 6 Force, that's why it's so important. It's like a presidential
- 7 intelligence, the supreme intelligence agency in the country,
- 8 the most powerful. It has many intelligence agencies who
- 9 check on each other, but this is the top one, the most trusted
- 10 one, and therefore, the decision made by this Air Force
- 11 Intelligence are the decisions really of the president himself
- 12 totally. And therefore, because of this, what General
- 13 Mohammed Khuli decide at that time was really the decision of
- 14 his boss, President Assad himself.
- 15 Q Thank you. And I failed to ask you, but are you aware as
- 16 to whether or not the United States Department of State has
- 17 not only designated Syria as a state sponsor of terror but
- 18 separately and also designated the Abu Nidal Organization as a
- 19 | foreign terrorist organization?
- 20 A Yes, I'm aware of that.
- 21 Q And do you agree with both designations in your expert
- 22 opinion?
- 23 A Yes, absolutely, I agree.
- 24 Q When General Al Khuli invited Abu Nidal Organization to
- 25 move to Syria, as you've already testified, could that have

been done without the direct approval, support, authorization,
knowledge and involvement of the President of the country
Hafiz Assad?

A Not at all. I mean, without -- General Mohammed Khuli worked for the President Assad. He was the closest advisor, the top intelligence officer of the country, and therefore, all the decisions by General Mohammed Khuli were actually the decisions of President Assad.

There is no such thing as someone working on his own. I mean, this is a society which doesn't work that way. Loners do not exist in Syria. You cannot be a loner. You have to operate in a certain system, and this Air Force Intelligence and the head of it is really the voice of his master. What he's — what he said, what he did, what he decided to do was simply implementing what President Assad himself wanted.

Q Did Abu Nidal Organization's move to Syria, in your opinion, have any impact on their ability to carry out the terrorist attacks abroad and outside the Middle East which you indicated in earlier testimony was the area for which they were supported?

A Yes. I mean, Abu Nidal had operatives abroad, or they could move — he can move his operatives abroad and this was very useful. But of course, everything was done with Syria sponsorship and Syria's foreknowledge of what Abu Nidal was

- going to do because he worked for Syria, period.
- 2 Q And how did the Abu Nidal terrorist attacks, after it
- 3 moved to Syria, increase from that time through the time of
- 4 the EgyptAir hijacking and the Rome and Vienna airport
- 5 attacks?
- 6 A Yeah, they increased in number and also increased in
- 7 perations in various parts of -- not the close Middle East
- 8 but the further Middle East like Turkey, like Pakistan, and
- 9 also in Europe they increased in number. And I think,
- 10 obviously, it was a time where the Syrian leaders wanted to
- 11 sponsor as many terrorist operations as possible to serve the
- 12 | foreign policy objectives.
- 13 Q Thank you very much. And do you have an opinion as to
- 14 whether, sir, the Syrian defendants sponsored terrorism in
- 15 | 1985?
- 16 A Yes. I mean, they did openly. That's -- the operations
- 17 were not Abu Nidal. Abu Nidal was just doing the operations
- 18 for them. The operations were decided by the Syrian leaders
- 19 and the timing was by them decided, and also the targets were
- 20 decided by them.
- 21 Q And do you have an opinion, sir, as to whether in 1985
- 22 the Syrian defendants sponsored the Abu Nidal terrorist
- 23 organization?
- 24 A Yes, they did, absolutely, I'm sure they did. They did
- 25 sponsor the Abu Nidal Organization.

Q And do you have an opinion, sir, as to whether or not in November of 1985, the Abu Nidal Organization committed the EgyptAir hijacking, and separately in December of 1985

committed the Rome and Vienna attacks?

- A They were done on behalf of Syria. Abu Nidal was just an instrument, a proxy for Syria, that's it. The whole thing was done because Syria decided to do these operations for its own foreign policy objective, for its own objective in the region.
- Q And did the Abu Nidal terrorist organization on behalf of Syria actually carry out those attacks being the EgyptAir hijacking, and separately, the Rome and Vienna airport attacks?
- A Yes, they did, it's true.
 - Q Thank you. Let me ask you if you're familiar with the United States Department of State Patterns of Global Terrorism for 1985 which was issued the following year in October of 1986.
 - MR. HEIDEMAN: And we identified Exhibit 42 into evidence, Your Honor.
- 21 A Yes, I'm familiar, sure.
 - Q And is this a document that was issued by the United States Department of State relating to terrorist activities and sponsorship of terrorist activities by countries and terrorist activities but terrorist organizations?

L A Yes.

4

5

6

15

- Q And if you'll look, please, on page 7 of this
 particular -- Strike that.
 - If you'll look, sir, please, on this page 6 of this particular document being Exhibit 42, does it reference on page 6 Syria there?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q I can't hear you. Perhaps the microphone is not on.
- 9 A Yes, I do. You want me to read it or not?
- Q No, that's all right. I think it speaks for itself, but
 I wanted to point it out to the Court. And does it indicate
 there on page 6 under the section of "Syria" in the right-hand
 column, and I quote the paragraph beginning "the number of
 incidents." Do you see that, the third paragraph on the
- 16 A Yes, I do.

right?

- Q And do you see there in the middle it says, quote, the Abu Nidal group; do you see that?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Would you read just that one sentence to the Court.
- A (Reading) The Abu Nidal group, despite its increased
 ties to Libya, it remains Syria's major terrorist surrogate
 and was responsible for some two-thirds of the Syrian
- sponsored attacks in 1985.
- Q Thank you very much. And lastly, as to this document, if

I could trouble you to look, please, at the page marked number 7 at the top left, does it indicate there a highlighted 2 3 section on the Abu Nidal group? Yes. 4 5 And in that paragraph does it indicate there specifically 6 a reference both to the EgyptAir -- Strike the word. Strike 7 the question. 8 Does it indicate in the last full sentence of that 9 Exhibit 7, do you see a sentence starting "the group" under 10 the section "The Abu Nidal Group"? 11 Yes. 12 Would you read that sentence to the end of the paragraph 13 to the Court, please, so it's in the record. (Reading) The group, referring to Abu Nidal, claimed 14 15 credit for hijacking an EgyptAir jet to Malta in November, killing two Israeli women and shooting three Americans, one 16 17 fatally in -- one fatally. In December, Abu Nidal terrorists 18 killed more than 20 persons and wounded about 120 in machine 19 gun and grenade attacks at the El Al ticket counters in the 20 Rome and Vienna international airports. 21 Thank you very much. 22 MR. HEIDEMAN: We move Exhibit 42 into evidence, 2.3 Your Honor.

Be admitted.

(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 42 ADMITTED.)

24

25

THE COURT:

MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you very much. Just a few quick matters before I conclude with this witness, if I may.

Q (BY MR. HEIDEMAN) I've asked you some questions about it, Professor, but I would like to get very specific.

After Syria sponsored the Abu Nidal Organization and the hijacking of the EgyptAir flight and the Rome and Vienna airport attacks the following month, from the time period of those attacks in late 1985 until today, could you please explain to the Court how Syria has continued to sponsor terror, and include in your commentary, if you would, what change, if any, there has been in Syria's sponsorship of terrorism because of the death of Hafiz Al-Assad, the father, and being replaced as the head of government by his son, Bashar Assad, please, sir.

A Sponsoring terrorism by the Syrian regime, Syrian government has not changed even after the son took over from his father in June 2000. What has changed is that some organization are — have been dropped or they just became less important for Syria. Other organization came and became the proxies of the surrogates, using the term used in this document, for Syria doing the terrorist operations. But terrorism has remained the hallmark of the regime.

Terrorism has become -- is still and cannot be actually separated from the regime. The regime has always been, since the mid '70s, a terrorist regime and nothing has

- changed.
- 2 Q In your opinion, Professor, does Syria and the Syrian Air
- 3 Force Intelligence and other arms of the Syrian government
- 4 continue to sponsor various terrorist acts, terrorism
- 5 generally, and terrorist groups today?
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q And do they do so directly or indirectly or both?
- 8 A Both, but mostly indirectly.
- 9 Q And how do they do that and what support does Syria
- 10 provide, in your opinion, to terrorist groups in terrorism and
- 11 | terrorist activities up to and including today?
- 12 A Support is the usual thing, money, weapons, training,
- 13 | logistics, and also coordination in such a way that the
- 14 | targets are chosen by Syria, not by the surrogate proxy,
- 15 whatever organization which they use. It has remained the
- 16 same.
- 17 The son who took over the party in 2000 has followed
- 18 his father's policy. Terrorism remained as part and parcel of
- 19 | the foreign policy objectives and needs to change the
- 20 situation in the Middle East or to keep the situation in such
- 21 | a way that Syria remains a center in the Middle East.
- 22 Q What involvement of Syrian intelligence networks is there
- 23 in relation, in your opinion, sir, to Syria's sponsorship of
- 24 terrorism even through today?
- 25 A The intelligence networks are very, very important. They

might have changed in importance from one organization or another because of the change of leadership, but basically they are all the same. They still work with various organizations, mostly non-Syrian, to continue to make -- to use terrorism as a means to change the situation in the Middle East in their favor.

Q Thank you. And could you please express to the Court your opinion as to Syria's current budget for terrorism related activities, sir?

A It's very difficult to give a figure because Syria, as we know, is the closest ally of Iran. They are really an ally, which they will never separate this alliance. Alliance is absolutely inseparable, because both believe in terrorism, both are against the peace process, so what money Syria puts in into the terrorist operations we can guess now to be definitely over 500 or \$700 million, but of course, Iran also contributes to that, and therefore, you know, the budget augments for terrorist operations and terrorist organization.

But Syria will not put a figure less than \$500 million now, despite the help of Iran, despite the money and weapons coming from Iran for terrorist organization and for, you know, supporting terrorism in the Middle East and beyond. So I will give a figure in hundreds of millions of dollars as the budget for terrorism allocated by Syria for the purpose of making or supporting, sponsoring terrorism and

sponsoring terrorist organizations.

Q Do I -- let me break down the last answer so I understand it and so the Court has -- is clear.

Is it your opinion that Syria spends not less than \$500 million per year presently sponsoring terrorism? Did I hear you say that?

- 7 A That's right. This includes all organization they have, 8 you know, which control or use for terrorism.
- 9 Q And I want to be sure I'm clear as to the number.

 10 500 million U.S. dollars per year is spent, in your opinion,

 11 by Syria on terrorism and its support of terrorism; is that

 12 your testimony, sir?
 - A Yes. It's a low estimate. I would put it more between 700 and 500, but 500 minimum, is the minimum amount spent on terrorism.
 - Q Thank you very much. Professor, at our request, did you prepare a report and then convert it to a sworn notarized affidavit that sets forth your expert analysis regarding Syria as a state sponsor of terrorism, regarding Syria's sponsorship of the Abu Nidal Organization, and regarding Syria's sponsorship of the Abu Nidal Organization's perpetration of the EgyptAir hijacking of November 23rd, 1985, and separately, the Rome and Vienna airport attacks of
- 25 A Yes, I did.

December 1985?

- 1 Q Let me hand you what has been marked as Exhibit 50 and
- 2 ask if you can identify this document.
- 3 A Yes, that's it. That's the document. That's my
- 4 affidavit. Yes, affidavit.
- 5 Q Thank you. And does that contain your opinions in
- 6 addition to those that you've expressed here in detail today?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And in conclusion, is it your opinion that Syria is a
- 9 state sponsor of terrorism?
- 10 A Absolutely, yes.
- 11 Q And is it your opinion that Syria was a state sponsor of
- 12 terrorism in the fall of 1985?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And is it your opinion that Syria sponsored in the fall
- 15 | of 1985 the Abu Nidal Organization?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And is it your opinion that Syria sponsored the Abu Nidal
- 18 Organization's conducting and committing the EgyptAir
- 19 hijacking of November 23rd, 1985?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q And is it your opinion that Syria sponsored the Abu Nidal
- 22 Organization's operations and committing of the Rome and
- 23 Vienna airport attacks of December 1985?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And is it your opinion -- two more things, last things --

```
is it your opinion, sir, that since the fall of 1985, Syria
    has continued to sponsor terrorism in a cruel, brutal way as
2
 3
     an instrument of its governmental policy and foreign policy?
          Absolutely, that's true.
 4
 5
         And is it your opinion that the minimum that Syria spends
6
    today on the sponsorship of terrorism is $500 million per
 7
    year?
8
     Α
         Yes.
9
          Thank you very much for appearing here today. The Court
10
    may have questions for you.
11
               THE COURT: No, I don't. Thank you very much,
12
    Professor. Pleasure to meet you, sir.
13
               THE WITNESS: Pleasure. Thank you, Your Honor.
14
               MR. HEIDEMAN: Excuse me one moment, Your Honor.
15
     thought -- I'm sorry, I thought I moved Exhibit 50 into
16
    evidence. If I did not, may I do so at this time.
17
               THE COURT: Hold on just a second. Lynn, did he do
18
     it?
19
               THE DEPUTY CLERK: It's in. Exhibit 50? No, you
20
    didn't move it. You can move it in.
21
               MR. HEIDEMAN:
                              Thank you. We will move it in at
22
     this time, Exhibit 50, the affidavit of Professor Deeb into
23
    evidence.
24
                           I'll admit it into evidence.
               THE COURT:
```

(PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 50 ADMITTED.)

```
1
               MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you very much.
2
               THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: Thank you, Professor. All right.
     Tomorrow we have -- the logistics will be a little different.
 4
5
    As I understand it, we start with the Houston folks tomorrow,
6
     with the Texans, 10:00 o'clock eastern time, which is
7
     9:00 o'clock our time; is that right? I'm sorry, the other
     way around. 10:00 o'clock our time, which is 9:00 o'clock
8
9
     eastern time.
10
               MS. KALIK: We start in Minnesota actually, Your
11
    Honor.
12
               THE COURT: I'm sorry, ma'am?
13
               MS. KALIK: We start in Minnesota tomorrow morning
14
    first, but we have a live witness before the Minnesota person.
15
               THE COURT: So we are going to assemble here at
16
     9:30?
17
               MS. KALIK:
                           Yeah.
18
               MR. HEIDEMAN: Yes. The hearing tomorrow, if it's
19
    still convenient for the Court, is noticed for 9:30. We can
20
     start at any time the Court would like because the live
21
    witness could be taken in between the video witnesses.
22
               THE COURT: All right. Just a minute. We got a
23
    call from John Cramer, and what was his concern?
24
               (PAUSE.)
25
               THE COURT: All right. So let's all agree here to
```

be here at 9:30 because John needs some time to make the call, all right. So, we'll be here everyone assemble here 9:30 tomorrow morning, except you, Professor, you can go back to teaching. MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Okay. All rise. (PROCEEDINGS END AT 5:21 P.M.) Professor, you can go back to teaching. MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Okay. All rise. (PROCEEDINGS END AT 5:21 P.M.) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Catalina Kerr, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
tomorrow morning, except you, Professor, you can go back to teaching. MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Okay. All rise. (PROCEEDINGS END AT 5:21 P.M.) *-*-* CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Catalina Kerr, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
teaching. MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Okay. All rise. (PROCEEDINGS END AT 5:21 P.M.) *-*-* CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Catalina Kerr, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
MR. HEIDEMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Okay. All rise. (PROCEEDINGS END AT 5:21 P.M.) *-*-* *-*-* CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Catalina Kerr, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
THE DEPUTY CLERK: Okay. All rise. (PROCEEDINGS END AT 5:21 P.M.) *-*-* *-*-* CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Catalina Kerr, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
7 (PROCEEDINGS END AT 5:21 P.M.) 8 9 10 11 *-*-*-* 12 13 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 14 I, Catalina Kerr, certify that the foregoing is a 15 correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
8 9 10 11 *-*-* 12 13 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 14 I, Catalina Kerr, certify that the foregoing is a 15 correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
9 10 11 *-*-** 12 13 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 14 I, Catalina Kerr, certify that the foregoing is a 15 correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
10 11 *-*-* 12 13 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 14 I, Catalina Kerr, certify that the foregoing is a 15 correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
11 *-*-* 12 13 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 14 I, Catalina Kerr, certify that the foregoing is a 15 correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
12 13 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 14 I, Catalina Kerr, certify that the foregoing is a 15 correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
13 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 14 I, Catalina Kerr, certify that the foregoing is a 15 correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
I, Catalina Kerr, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
16 above-entitled matter.
II
17
18
19
20
21
Catalina Kerr Date
23
24
25