## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

JOHN DOE, Plaintiff, v. DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, *et al.*, Defendants.

Civil Action No. 08-0656 (PLF)

## MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On July 7, 2010, this Court issued an Order describing the failure of the plaintiff to prosecute this matter and requiring either the plaintiff or his counsel to take steps to demonstrate both the will and the ability to pursue this litigation. In response to that Order, attorney Deirdra L. McEachern entered an appearance on the plaintiff's behalf. At a status conference held on September 28, 2010, Ms. McEachern stated that she had been unable to enlist the cooperation of the plaintiff and was uncertain that she would be able to proceed on his behalf. The Court subsequently ordered the parties to file, by November 10, 2010, a joint report in which they indicated whether Ms. McEachern and the plaintiff were prepared to move forward with the litigation of this matter.

On November 10, 2010, the defendants alone filed a status report, stating that they had been unable to reach Ms. McEachern. Five days later, the defendants filed an amended report in which they represented that Ms. McEachern plans to file a motion to withdraw as counsel for the plaintiff. <u>See</u> Defendants' Amended Status Report at 1. Ms. McEachern herself has neither filed a motion to withdraw nor responded to the Court's order for a joint status report.

The Court can only conclude that Ms. McEachern has failed to convince the plaintiff to provide meaningful assistance in the prosecution of his claims. In light of the plaintiff's continuing refusal to participate in this case and the lack of any forward progress in this litigation, it is hereby

ORDERED that on or before December 17, 2010, either Ms. McEachern or other counsel for the plaintiff shall file a status report that includes the following: (1) an affidavit signed by the plaintiff in which he indicates that he wishes to proceed with the prosecution of this case and to be represented by the counsel in question; (2) a list of dates on which the plaintiff and his counsel will be available to appear before the Court for a status conference; and (3) a proposed schedule for discovery and the briefing of dispositive motions in this case; it is

FURTHER ORDERED that if the plaintiff is unrepresented by counsel on or about December 17, 2010, he shall show cause in writing by that date why his complaint should not be dismissed for want of prosecution; it is

FURTHER ORDERED that the plaintiff shall ensure that either the requested status report from his counsel or his own written statement in response to this Order is filed on or before December 17, 2010. If the Court receives neither of the requested filings, the plaintiff's complaint will be DISMISSED; and it is

FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall take steps to ensure that the plaintiff personally receives a copy of this Memorandum Opinion and Order.

SO ORDERED.

/s/\_\_\_\_\_

PAUL L. FRIEDMAN United States District Judge

DATE: November 18, 2010