IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

) Misc. No. 08-mc-442 (TFH) IN RE: Civil Action Nos. **GUANTANAMO BAY** 02-cv-0828, 04-cv-1136, 04-cv-1164, 04-cv-1194, 04-cv-1254, 04-cv-1937, 04-cv-2022, 04-cv-2215, **DETAINEE LITIGATION** 05-cv-0023, 05-cv-0247, 05-cv-0270, 05-cv-0280,)) 05-cv-0329, 05-cv-0359, 05-cv-0392, 05-cv-0492, 05-cv-0520, 05-cv-0526, 05-cv-0569, 05-cv-0634, 05-cv-0748, 05-cv-0764, 05-cv-0877, 05-cv-0883,) 05-cv-0889, 05-cv-0892, 05-cv-0993, 05-cv-0994, 05-cv-0999, 05-cv-1048, 05-cv-1124, 05-cv-1189, 05-cv-1220, 05-cv-1244, 05-cv-1353, 05-cv-1429, 05-cv-1457, 05-cv-1490, 05-cv-1497, 05-cv-1504, 05-cv-1555, 05-cv-1592, 05-cv-1601, 05-cv-1607, 05-cv-1623, 05-cv-1638, 05-cv-1645, 05-cv-1646.) 05-cv-1971, 05-cv-1983, 05-cv-2088, 05-cv-2104, 05-cv-2185, 05-cv-2186, 05-cv-2199, 05-cv-2249, 05-cv-2349, 05-cv-2367, 05-cv-2371, 05-cv-2379, 05-cv-2380, 05-cv-2384, 05-cv-2385, 05-cv-2386, 05-cv-2387, 05-cv-2479, 06-cv-1668, 06-cv-1684, 06-cv-1690, 06-cv-1761, 06-cv-1765, 06-cv-1766, 06-cv-1767, 07-cv-1710, 07-cv-2337, 07-cv-2338, 08-cv-1101, 08-cv-1207, 08-cv-1224, 08-cv-1228, 08-cv-1233, 08-cv-1235, 08-cv-1237, 08-cv-1238, 08-cv-1360, 08-cv-1440, 08-cv-1789, 08-cv-1805, 08-cv-1828, 08-cv-1923, 08-cv-2019, 08-cv-2083, 09-cv-0031, 09-cv-0745, 09-cv-0873, 09-cv-0904, 09-cv-1332, 09-cv-1385, 09-cv-2368, 10-cv-0407

RESPONDENTS' CONSENT MOTION FOR A FIVE PAGE ENLARGEMENT OF THE PAGE LIMITATION FOR THEIR REPLY BRIEF

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7(e), Respondents, by and through undersigned counsel, hereby move this honorable Court for a five page enlargement of the page limitation for their "Reply Brief in Further Support of Respondents' Motion to Amend and for Clarification of the Court's January 14, 2010 Order Regarding Public Returns." The consolidated reply brief is due to be filed today. It will respond to both Petitioners' and the Press Intervenors' opposition

briefs, in the interest of efficiency and pursuant to this Court's Minute Order of May 13, 2010.

Were Respondents' reply brief not consolidated, the local rules would provide Respondents with

fifty pages total (twenty-five pages each) to reply to the two opposition briefs. Because

Respondents are filing a consolidated reply, they are limited to twenty-five pages total except

with the leave of the Court. Given the combined variety of arguments raised by Petitioners and

the Press Intervenors, and the consolidation of Respondents' reply, Respondents respectfully

request an additional five pages, for a total of thirty pages, to provide the Court with a sufficient

explanation of the issues involved. No party will be prejudiced by this short enlargement of the

page limitation.

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7(m), counsel for Respondents met and conferred with

representative counsel for Petitioners and counsel for Press Intervenors regarding the instant

motion, and received the consent of the other parties.

For the above stated reasons, the Court should grant Respondents' Consent Motion for a

Five Page Enlargement of the Page Limitation for Their Reply Brief.

Dated: July 1, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

TONY WEST

Assistant Attorney General

JOSEPH H. HUNT

Branch Director

TERRY M. HENRY

Assistant Branch Director

/s Lisa Zeidner Marcus

JAMES J. GILLIGAN

Assistant Branch Director

2

LISA ZEIDNER MARCUS Trial Attorney (NY Bar Registration No. 4461679)

United States Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 205301 TEL: (202) 514-3336

Attorneys for Respondents