## EXHIBIT 1

Dockets.Justia.com

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

## **RESOLUTION OF THE EXECUTIVE SESSION**

July 1, 2008

*WHEREAS*, some 249 cases pertaining to more than 643 individual detainees who have been or are being held at Guantanamo Bay are pending with this Court (the "Guantanamo Bay cases"); and

*WHEREAS*, it is expected that up to several dozen more new Guantanamo Bay cases could be filed with this Court in the near future; and

*WHEREAS*, it is in the interests of the litigants, as well as the public, the Court, and counsel, to provide the most expeditious and efficient handling of these cases;

*IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED* by the Executive Session of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia that:

- Senior Judge Thomas F. Hogan is designated to coordinate and manage proceedings in all Guantanamo Bay cases so that these cases can be addressed as expeditiously as possible as required by the Supreme Court in *Boumediene v. Bush.* No. 06-1195, slip op. at 66 (U.S. June 12, 2008).
- All Guantanamo Bay cases, both those which have been filed and those which may be filed in the future, are to be transferred by the Judge to whom they are assigned, pursuant to LCvR 40.6(a) and 40.5(e), to Senior Judge Thomas F. Hogan for coordination and management. The transferring Judge will retain the case for all other purposes.
- 3. Senior Judge Thomas F. Hogan will identify and delineate both procedural and

substantive issues that are common to all or some of these cases.

- 4. To the extent possible, Senior Judge Thomas F. Hogan will rule on procedural issues that are common to these cases.
- 5. As to substantive issues, Senior Judge Thomas F. Hogan will confer with those Judges whose cases raise common substantive issues. To the extent possible, and provided that consent is given by the transferring Judge, one of the transferring Judges or Senior Judge Thomas F. Hogan will address specified substantive issues that are common to the Guantanamo Bay cases.<sup>1</sup> A Judge who does not agree with any substantive decision reached in this manner may resolve the issue in his or her own cases as he or she deems appropriate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> LCvR 40.6(a) provides that: "A Judge, upon written advice to the Calendar Committee, may transfer directly <u>all or part of any case</u> on the Judge's docket to any consenting Judge" (emphasis added).