EXHIBIT C

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA		
3			
4	x		
5	JUNIPER NETWORKS, INC., :		
6	Plaintiff, :		
7	:		
8	v. : No. 1:07cv1771-PLF		
9	:		
10	ABDULLAH ALI BAHATTAB, :		
11	Defendant. :		
12	x		
13	Washington, D.C.		
14	Friday, May 8, 2009		
15	Videotaped Deposition of		
16	KEVIN JEFFAY, Ph.D., called for		
17	examination by counsel for Plaintiff, pursuant to		
18	notice, at the Law Offices of Dewey & LeBoeuf, 1101		
19	New York Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C., commencing		
20	at 8:34 a.m., before Barbara A. Huber, Notary		
21	Public in and for the District of Columbia, when		
22	were present on behalf of the respective parties:		

Kevin Jeffay, Ph.D. May 8, 2009 Washington, DC

	Wushington, De			
		Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:		1	P R O C E E D I N G S
2	On behalf of Plaintiff:		2	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record
3	JASON HOFFMAN, ESQUIRI	E	3	in the matter of Juniper Networks, Incorporated,
4	Kaye Scholer, LLP		4	versus with Abdullah Ali Bahattab.
5	The McPherson Building		5	Today's date May 8, 2009. The time is
6	901 15th Street, NW		6	8:34 a.m. This is the video recorded deposition
7	Washington, D.C. 20005-2327		7	of Kevin Jeffay being taken at Dewey & LeBoeuf,
8	(202) 682-3531		8	1101 New York Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D.C.
9	jason.hoffman@kayescholer.co	om	9	20005.
10	On behalf of Defendant:		10	I am the camera operator. My name is
11	ROBERT A. AUCHTER, ESQ	UIRE	11	Jonathan Clay, in association with Alderson
12	HENRY W. ASBILL, ESQUIR	-	12	Reporting, located at 1155 Connecticut Avenue,
13	Dewey & LeBoeuf, LLP		13	Northwest, Washington, D.C. The court reporter is
14	1101 New York Avenue, NW		14	Barbara Huber, also in association with Alderson
15	Washington, D.C. 20005-4213		15	Reporting.
16	(202) 346-7887		16	Will all and attorneys please identify
17	rauchter@dl.com		17	themselves and the parties they represent,
18	hasbill@dl.com		18	beginning with the party noticing this providing.
19			19	MR. HOFFMAN: Jason Hoffman from Kaye
20	Also Present:		20	Scholer, LLP, on behalf of the Plaintiff, Juniper
21	Scott J. Coonan, Juniper Netwo	orks	21	Networks, Inc.
22	Jonathan Clay, Videographer		22	MR. COONAN: Scott Coonan, director of
		Page 3		Page 5
1	CONTENTS		1	IP litigation and licensing for Juniper Networks.
2	EXAMINATION BY: P	PAGE	2	MR. AUCHTER: Robert Auchter, Dewey &
3	Counsel for Plaintiff 5		3	LeBoeuf, on behalf of Defendant, Abdullah Ali
4			4	Bahattab.
5			5	MR. ASBILL: Henry Asbill, on behalf of
6			6	Dr. Bahattab.
7	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:	PAGE	7	VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court reporter
8	No. 201 - Nondisclosure Agreement	13	8	please administer the oath.

1	CONTENTS		+	ir inigation and ilcensing for Jumper Networks.
2	EXAMINATION BY:	PAGE	2	MR. AUCHTER: Robert Auchter, Dewey &
3	Counsel for Plaintiff 5		3	LeBoeuf, on behalf of Defendant, Abdullah Ali
4			4	Bahattab.
5			5	MR. ASBILL: Henry Asbill, on behalf of
6			6	Dr. Bahattab.
7	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:	PAGE	7	VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court reporter
8	No. 201 - Nondisclosure Agreement	13	8	please administer the oath.
9	No. 202 - Expert Report of Kevin Jeffay	, Ph.D. 18	9	Whereupon,
10	No. 203 - Sign-In Sheet	39	10	KEVIN JEFFAY, Ph.D.,
11	No. 204 - Arun K. Iyengar, et al., Paper	179	11	was called as a witness by counsel for Plaintiff,
12	No. 205 - Joseph L. Hellerstein Paper	205	12	and having been duly sworn by the Notary Public,
13	No. 206 - Huseyin Simitci, et al., Paper	217	13	was examined and testified as follows:
14	No. 207 - Panjai Tantatsanawong, et al.,	Paper 221	14	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF
15	No. 208 - Bohdan Bodnar, et al., Article	237	15	BY MR. HOFFMAN:
16	No. 209 - E-Mails, January/February 19	99 243	16	Q Could you please state your name for the
17			17	record?
18			18	A Kevin Jeffay.
19			19	Q Mister sorry.
20			20	Dr. Jeffay, do you hold a Ph.D.?
21			21	A I do.
22			22	Q And what do you hold a Ph.D., in?

Kevin Jeffay, Ph.D. May 8, 2009 Washington, DC

	W dollar	-	
	Page 6		Page 8
1	A Computer science.	1	A a deposition.
2	Q And what year did you get your Ph.D.?	2	Q make the question a little clearer.
3	A I'm sorry, what year?	3	How many times have you been deposed?
4	Q What year?	4	A About a dozen.
5	A I believe it was conferred in 1989.	5	Q And for the dozen times that you've been
6	Q And from what university?	6	deposed, how many times were you test being
7	A That would be the University of	7	deposed as an expert?
8	Washington.	8	A I I don't know that I can give you
9	Q Have you ever been deposed before?	9	a a good answer. Probably at least half.
10	A I have.	10	Q And do you understand the rules of a
11	Q How many times?	11	deposition?
12	A Probably about a dozen.	12	A Generally, yes.
13	Q And for each of your depositions, was it	13	Q And you understand that you're under
14	because you were testifying as an expert in a	14	oath?
15	case?	15	A I do.
16	A Ostensibly. I mean, sometimes I think I	16	Q When you were you retained by
17	was there just as a consultant.	17	Dr. Bahattab?
18	Q The let me make the question a little	18	MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form.
19	clearer.	19	THE WITNESS: I believe it was in
20	How many times have you testified at a	20	December of '08.
21	deposition?	21	BY MR. HOFFMAN:
22	A About a dozen.	22	Q Prior to December '08, have you ever
	Page 7		Page 9
1	Q And for each time you've testified at	1	had you had prior to December '08, had had
2	a at a deposition, have you testified as an	2	you ever had ever start again.
3	expert?	3	Prior to December '08, have you had any
4	A No.	4	contact with Dr. Abdullah Ali Bahattab?
5	Q How many times have you testified as an	5	A No.
6	expert?	6	Q Had you ever heard of him?
7	A Six, seven, maybe. Excuse me. I I	7	A I don't believe I had.
8	assume you meant testified in court?	8	Q Who contacted you in December of '08?
9	Q No. We're talking about a deposition.	9	A Actually, I believe I was contacted in
10	A Okay. I'm sorry then. I misunderstood.	10	November of '08, but I wasn't retained until
11	Q Well, but okay. Let let's try the	11	December.
12	question again.	12	Q Okay. Who contacted you in November of
13	A Okay.	13	'08? A I believe it was Mr. Brandon Jordan.
14	Q How many times have you testified at a	14	
15 16	deposition?	15 16	Q And who is Mr. Brandon Jordan? A I understand him to be an associate in
16 17	A So perhaps I don't know what you mean	17	A I understand him to be an associate in the law firm of Dewey & LeBoeuf.
1 / 18	by well, when I answered your question previously, I just meant I'd been deposed about a	18	
18 19	dozen times. I don't necessarily appreciate any	19	Q And what did Mr. Jordan request of you in November of '08?
20	distinction you're making between being deposed	20	A I don't recall the specifics of what he
21	and testifying at	21	requested.
22	Q Well, let me	22	Q Do you recall anything about your
	Y Won, for the	Ľ	2 Do you recan any aning about your

Kevin Jeffay, Ph.D. May 8, 2009 Washington, DC

code.

Page 54

of Juniper source code, correct?

A I -- I think that was the root -- the

root purpose. As I say, initially, the -- you

know. The task was to help them understand how the routers operated.

Q And you reviewed Juniper documents also to figure out how the routers operated, correct?

A Correct.

Q And you read doctor -- sorry,

Mr. Mallya's testimony about how Juniper's routers operated, correct?

A Correct.

Q And you didn't find any infringement, did you?

A Well, given the time that I'd spent on it, I guess what I would say is that I was not comfortable alleging infringement.

Q Why were you not comfortable alleging infringement?

A Because I hadn't -- given the time that I had spent, I hadn't seen any evidence that there was infringement.

Page 56

evidence ever. So I think the -- you know, at - at -- at some point, I was -- wasn't asked to look
 anymore.

Q So did you find any evidence that Juniper infringed Dr. Bahattab's patent after reviewing Mr. Mallya's deposition transcript?

A Well, I mean, I -- I wouldn't be looking, honestly, to Mr. Mallya's transcript for -- for -- for evidence. You know, I -- I don't think the -- the questions and the answers were sufficient for one to, you know, to precisely get an understanding of that.

Q So how -- what would be the best way to figure out whether or not, in doing an infringement analysis, whether or not Juniper infringed the '457 paint?

MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form, incomplete hypothesis.

THE WITNESS: Well, as -- as -- as I recall, the '457 patent claim one is a -- I believe it's claim one -- is -- is -- is a method claim. In -- in general, let me just say that I

Page 55

Q And is that because Juniper doesn't practice any form of auto aggressive moving average in its routers?

MR. AUCHTER: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: No. I looked at the -the bulk of my focus was on one -- one release,
one source code release of -- of one product, and,
in addition, you know, as I mentioned, the

documents and Mr. Mallya's testimony. But -- but the bulk of my study was -- was for the -- for

the bulk of my study was -- was for the -- for the latest release of -- of the J

12 series. And given that review, I -- I didn't see

any evidence that they -- that that -- what I saw practiced the invention of the '457 patent.

BY MR. HOFFMAN:

Q And when did you reach that conclusion?

A I don't know that it was a -- you know, it's -- it's -- it's -- it's an instant.

I mean, you know, if -- if you're -- you know, if -- if you're looking for something and you -- and you can't find it and you keep looking and you

22 can't find it, I mean you -- you know, I never saw

Page 57

think for something like this, you know, to get an
independent assessment as to whether or not
something does or doesn't do it, I practice a set
of steps. I think you should look at the source

BY MR. HOFFMAN:

Q You think the source code's the single most important piece of evidence to review in determining whether or not Juniper's routers infringed Dr. Bahattab's patent?

MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form, vague and confused.

THE WITNESS: I mean, I'm -- I'm not going to characterize the relative merits. What I would say is for me, personally, if I was going to accuse a product of infringing something, I would want to see the source code.

BY MR. HOFFMAN:

Q So on March 17th, 2009, when Mr. Jordan and Mr. Auchter came to meet you at the source code review room, did you communicate with Mr. Auchter and Mr. Jordan at that time that you

Kevin Jeffay, Ph.D. May 8, 2009 Washington, DC

Page 58 had not found any evidence that Juniper infringes 1 BY MR. HOFFMAN: 1 2 the patent? 2 Q Dr. Jeffay, you were investigating 3 A I think at that time, I showed them -- I 3 whether or not the J series router of Juniper 4 4 infringes Dr. Bahattab's patent; is that correct? described for them the analysis that I had done. 5 5 I showed them what I had found. And I think I MR. AUCHTER: Objection. Form, 6 6 communicated that, you know, in -- in -- in my mischaracterizes prior testimony. 7 7 opinion, this wasn't evidence of infringement. THE WITNESS: I -- I think the way I 8 8 Q And did you go back on March 1st to stated it was I was trying to understand how it 9 9 review the source code just to double-check that worked. 10 10 Dr. Bhattacharjee had gotten it right? BY MR. HOFFMAN: 11 11 Q Why did you pick to understand how the J A April. 12 12 Q Oh, April 1st. Excuse me. series worked? 13 13 A I was asked to, yeah, just double-check A Because my recollection was that it was 14 14 having -- from the documents and from Mr. Mallya's Dr. Bhattacharjee's analysis. 15 15 Q And his conclusion, Dr. Bhattacharjee's testimony, it was a software only router, didn't 16 16 have ASICs or custom silicon in it. And so, conclusion that your conclusion were the same as 17 17 with respect to the fact that Juniper doesn't therefore, all of the functions of the router were 18 infringe Dr. Bahattab's patent, correct? 18 performed in software; and, therefore, all of the 19 19 MR. AUCHTER: Objection to form. function would -- I assumed would be manifest in 20 THE WITNESS: Well, I think we -- we had 20 the source code. 21 21 Q Did anybody from -- or did any of different tasks. I mean, I wasn't asked to opine 22 22 on in -- infringement. I was asked to determine Dr. Bahattab's counsel ask you specifically to Page 59 1 whether or not how the products operated, and 1 investigate the J series? 2 2 A No. whether or not there was any evidence of this. 3 3 And that's -- that's what I did. And that's what So from the source code log, you 4 4 I communicated to attorneys at Dewey & LeBoeuf. reviewed source code on six days; is that correct? 5 5 BY MR. HOFFMAN: A Let's see. 6 Q And you communicated the fact that there 6 (Witness examined document). Yeah, as I 7 7 was no evidence that Juniper infringed the patent, mentioned, the -- the first day was not very --8 correct? 8 the first entry on the 12th was not a very 9 9 A Well, I think the way I phrased it was substantive session, if you will. So from my 10 10 that given the work I had done, I haven't seen -perspective, I would say we have the -- the two 11 11 I had not -- hadn't -- I had not seen any periods on the 13th, the -- of -- of February, the 12 12 evidence. period on the 17th of February, a period on the 13 13 MR. AUCHTER: We've been going about an 9th of March, and the 17th of March, and then 14 14 hour. Can we take a break? April 1st. So that's five sessions. 15 15 MR. HOFFMAN: Sure. Good time to take a Q And those five sessions occurred over a 16 break. 16 six-week period; is that correct? 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 17 A Yeah, that's -- that's a reasonable 18 VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 9:36 18 characterization. 19 19 a.m. Q And sitting here today, do you recall

investigating any other series of Juniper's

routers as to whether or not they infringed the

Page 60

Page 61

20

21

22

'457 patent?

20

21

22

9:54 a.m.

(Recess)

VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at

Kevin Jeffay, Ph.D. May 8, 2009

Washington, DC

			Page 260
1	so there's still a chance that his deposition	1	VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes the
2	could go forward Wednesday?	2	videotaped deposition of Dr. Kevin Jeffay,
3	MR. AUCHTER: As far as I know, the visa	3	consisting of five tapes. Going off the record at
4	has been granted. And they informed him that they	4	3:57 p.m.
5	would be sending him his passport with the visa in	5	
6	it. I don't actually know that the visa's been	6	
7	granted, because it's the United States embassy.	7	
8	And until such time as he actually has his	8	(Whereupon at 3:57 p.m., the
9	passport in hand with the visa stamp in it, I	9	deposition of KEVIN JEFFAY,
10	would not say that it's been granted.	10	Ph.D. was adjourned.)
11	But he has gone to the interview. He	11	
12	was told that it was approved, and that they would	12	
13	send him his passport with the visa in it. And we	13	
14	have a Fed-Ex had tracting number I can send you.	14	
15	MR. HOFFMAN: I would appreciate it.	15	
16	MR. AUCHTER: If you can do better than	16	
17	we can at getting information from the embassy,	17	
18	good luck.	18	
19	MR. ASBILL: We also have a backup date	19	
20	·	20	
21	•	21	
22	•	22	
	Page 259		Page 261
1		1	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEPONENT
2	MR. ASBILL: May 28. MR. HOFFMAN: 28 would be the backup	2	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF DEFONENT
3		3	
	date.	4	I, KEVIN JEFFAY, Ph.D., do hereby acknowledge
4	MR. ASBILL: Is it your understanding the the visa will be like a 30-day visa, or do	5	I have read and examined the foregoing pages of
5	•	6	testimony, and the same is a true, correct and
6	you have any idea?	7	-
7	MR. AUCHTER: Absolutely no idea.		complete transcription of the testimony given by
8	MR. HOFFMAN: Well, we would this	8	me, and any changes or corrections, if any, appear
9	being Friday and obviously Wednesday is coming up	9	in the attached errata sheet signed by me.
10	very quickly, we would appreciate immediate	10	
11	contact as soon as you are aware of either	11	
12	delivery, or that he has a ticket, or that he's	12	
13	coming, and not to wait till	13	
14	MR. AUCHTER: Yeah.	14	
15	MR. HOFFMAN: early next week.	15	D. MENTAL PERSON D. D.
16	MR. AUCHTER: The the issue right now	16	Date KEVIN JEFFAY, Ph.D.
17	is the passport and the visa. And you simply	17	
18	cannot travel those.	18	
19	MR. HOFFMAN: Okay.	19	
20	MR. AUCHTER: Internationally.	20	
21	MR. HOFFMAN: Thank you.	21	NOTARY PUBLIC
22	We're off.	22	My Commission Expires:

Kevin Jeffay, Ph.D. May 8, 2009

Washington, DC Page 262 CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY PUBLIC 2 I, BARBARA A. HUBER, CSR, the officer 3 before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do 4 hereby certify that the witness whose testimony 5 appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn б by me; that the testimony of said witness was 7 taken by me in stenotypy and thereafter reduced to 8 print under my direction; that said deposition is 9 a true record of the testimony given by said 10 witness; that I am neither counsel for, related 11 to, nor employed by any of the parties to the 12 action in which this deposition was taken; and, 13 furthermore, that I am not a relative or employee 14 of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties 15 hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in 16 the outcome of this action. 17 18 19 BARBARA A. HUBER, CSR 20 Notary Public, in and for the 21 District of Columbia 22 My Commission Expires: March 14, 2012