

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

MARY JANE PIETSCH,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	Civil Action No.: 09-0390 (RMU)
	:	
v.	:	Re Document Nos.: 3, 7, 12
	:	
MCKISSACK & MCKISSACK,	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	

**ORDER**

**GRANTING THE PLAINTIFF’S SECOND MOTION TO AMEND THE COMPLAINT; DENYING AS MOOT THE PLAINTIFF’S FIRST MOTION TO AMEND THE COMPLAINT; DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE THE DEFENDANT’S MOTION TO DISMISS**

For the reasons stated in the court’s Memorandum Opinion separately and contemporaneously issued this 12th day of January, 2010, it is hereby

**ORDERED** that the plaintiff’s second motion to amend the complaint is **GRANTED**; and it is

**FURTHER ORDERED** that the plaintiff’s first motion to amend the complaint is **DENIED as moot**; and it is

**ORDERED** that the defendant’s motion to dismiss is **DENIED without prejudice**.

**SO ORDERED.**

RICARDO M. URBINA  
United States District Judge