

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

BOYD ED GRAVES,

Plaintiff,

v.

**DEP'T OF HEALTH AND HUMAN
SERVICES,**

Defendant.

Civil Action 09-522 (HHK)

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter is before the court on the defendant's motion for summary judgment, to which the plaintiff has not responded. For the reasons stated, the motion for summary judgment will be granted.

I. BACKGROUND

Plaintiff directed a request under the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA"), 5 U.S.C. § 552, to the defendant agency, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, seeking Progress Reports #14 and #15 of the U.S. Special Virus Program related to the AIDS virus. The agency's searches did not locate the requested documents. *See* Def.'s Statement of Material Facts Not in Genuine Dispute, ¶¶ 3-4. When the plaintiff appealed the search, the agency searched further, but still found no responsive documents. *Id.* ¶¶ 7-10. The plaintiff filed this suit. While this suit was pending, the agency searched again using different search terms, which allowed it to locate Report #15. *Id.* ¶ 11. The responsive document was released in full to the plaintiff. *Id.* ¶ 12. The agency did not find Report #14 and notified plaintiff of that fact. *Id.*

The defendant agency then filed a motion for summary judgment, describing in detail the scope and design of its searches for the documents and the results. *See* Decl. of Susan R. Cornell, June 4, 2009, filed with Def.'s Mot. for Summ. J. The court notified the plaintiff of his obligation to respond to the defendant's dispositive motion by July 22, 2009. *See* Order, June 25, 2009. The Plaintiff has not filed a response or sought additional time to do so. Therefore, the Court will rule on the motion based on the record before it.

II. ANALYSIS

In determining a motion for summary judgment, "the court may assume that facts identified by the moving party in its statement of material facts are admitted, unless such a fact is controverted in a statement of genuine issues filed in opposition to the motion." Local Civil Rule 7(h). The court therefore treats the defendant's statement of material facts as admitted. Summary judgment is appropriate when there is "no genuine issue as to any material fact and [] the moving party is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c). In a FOIA suit, an agency is entitled to summary judgment once it demonstrates that no material facts are in dispute and that it conducted a search of records in its custody or control, *Kissinger v. Reporters Committee for Freedom of the Press*, 445 U.S. 136, 150-51 (1980), that was reasonably calculated to uncover all relevant information, *Weisberg v. Dep't of Justice*, 745 F.2d 1476, 1485 (D.C. Cir. 1984), which either has been released to the requestor or is exempt from disclosure, *Students Against Genocide v. Dep't of State*, 257 F.3d 828, 833 (D.C. Cir. 2001). To show that its search "us[ed] methods which can be reasonably expected to produce the information requested," *Oglesby v. Dep't of the Army*, 920 F.2d 57, 68 (D.C. Cir. 1990); *see also Campbell v. United States Dep't of Justice*, 164 F.3d 20, 27 (D.C. Cir. 1998), the agency may submit

