


the defendant, and likely to be redressed by a favorable decision in the federal court.” *Navegar, Inc. v. United States*, 103 F.3d 994, 998 (D.C. Cir. 1997) (quoting *Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife*, 504 U.S. 555, 560-61 (1992)). “[T]he injury alleged cannot be conjectural or hypothetical, remote, speculative, or abstract.” *Nat’l Treasury Employees Union v. United States*, 101 F.3d 1423, 1427 (D.C. Cir. 1996) (internal citations and quotation marks omitted).

Plaintiff is a lay person who is not qualified to appear in this Court on behalf of his family members. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1654; *Georgiades v. Martin-Trigona*, 729 F.2d 831, 834 (D.C. Cir. 1984). Even though plaintiff may represent himself as a *pro se* litigant, it does not appear that he has sustained an actual injury caused by the named defendants’ actions in collecting a debt which plaintiff neither paid nor was obligated to pay. Accordingly, the Court will dismiss this action without prejudice for lack of standing.

An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion will be issued separately on this same date.


United States District Judge

Date:

6/8/09