FILED

SICT COURT JUL 23 2009

Clerk, U.S. District and Bankruptcy Courts

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

DERIAN DOUGLAS HICKMAN,)		
Plaintiff,))		
v.)	Civil Action No.	09 1362
COPYRIGHT ROYALTY BOARD,)		
Defendant.)		

MEMORANDUM OPINION

This matter comes before the court on review of plaintiff's application to proceed *in* forma pauperis and pro se civil complaint. The court will grant the application, and dismiss the complaint.

The Court has reviewed plaintiff's complaint, keeping in mind that complaints filed by pro se litigants are held to less stringent standards than those applied to formal pleadings drafted by lawyers. See Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). Even pro se litigants, however, must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Jarrell v. Tisch, 656 F. Supp. 237, 239 (D.D.C. 1987). Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires that a complaint contain a short and plain statement of the grounds upon which the court's jurisdiction depends, a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and a demand for judgment for the relief the pleader seeks. Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). The purpose of the minimum standard of Rule 8 is to give fair notice to the defendants of the claim being asserted, sufficient to prepare a responsive answer, to prepare an adequate defense and to determine whether the doctrine of res judicata applies. Brown v. Califano, 75 F.R.D. 497, 498 (D.D.C. 1977).

Plaintiff demands "payment of any royalty payments for use of copyrights, trademarks, [and] patents," an amount which "could exceed [\$] 1 billion." Compl. at 1. As drafted, the complaint fails to comply with Rule 8(a) because it fails to include a short and plain statement showing that plaintiff is entitled to relief. For this reason, the complaint will be dismissed without prejudice. An Order consistent with this Memorandum Opinion is issued separately.

United States District Judge

andrhete

Date: 7-16-09