



immune from a lawsuit arising from actions taken in his judicial capacity. *Forrester v. White*, 484 U.S. 219, 225 (1988); *Stump v. Sparkman*, 435 U.S. 349, 355-57 (1978); *Sindram v. Suda*, 986 F.2d 1459, 1460 (D.C. Cir. 1993). Accordingly, the Court dismisses the complaint with prejudice because it seeks monetary damages against a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(iii); see *Howard v. United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio*, No. 09-0531 (CKK), 2009 WL 837642 (D.D.C. Mar. 30, 2009) (dismissing *sua sponte* under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(iii) a complaint “seek[ing] damages in connection with various rulings made by Judge Algenon L. Marbley in a case involving Plaintiff in the Federal District Court of the Southern District of Ohio” on the ground that “[j]udges are absolutely immune from lawsuits predicated on acts taken, as alleged here, in their judicial capacities”), *aff’d*, No. 09-5139, 2009 WL 2981979 (D.C. Cir. July 10, 2009).

An Order consistent with this Memorandum is issued separately on this same date.

  
United States District Judge

Date:

9/24/09