



court). The matters about which plaintiff asks the Court to take judicial notice are not matters of public record, and the motions will be denied.


Plaintiff also requests an extension of time “to file his rebuttal to the respondents’ response.” Mot. for Extension of Time at 1. There is no pending motion or order requiring plaintiff’s response at this time, and the motion will be denied as moot.

Lastly, plaintiff requests an injunction against “further retaliations.” Mot. to Renew Pet. for an Inj. at 1. The motion will be denied because plaintiff fails to “demonstrate[]: (1) a substantial likelihood of success on the merits, (2) that [he] would suffer irreparable injury if the injunction is not granted, (3) that an injunction would not substantially injure other interested parties, and (4) that the public interest would be furthered by the injunction.” *In re Navy Chaplaincy*, 516 F. Supp. 2d 119, 122 (D.D.C. 2007) (citations omitted), *aff’d*, 534 F.3d 756 (D.C. Cir. 2008), *cert. denied sub nom. Chaplaincy of Full Gospel Churches v. Dep’t of the Navy*, 129 S.Ct. 1918 (2009).

It is hereby

ORDERED that plaintiff’s motions for the court to take judicial notice [# 9, 15], for an extension of time [# 14] and for injunctive relief [# 16] are DENIED.

SO ORDERED.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
RICHARD J. LEON  
United States District Judge

DATE:

