

In RE proper

United States District Court

n.c. 09 557

Barry Dwayne Minaker #1300468
J.B. CONNALLY UNIT
Petitioner 899 FH 632
KENNER, TX. 78119

For The District of Columbia

RECEIVED

SEP 11 2009

NANCY MAYER WHITTINGTON, CLERK
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

- Against -

Magistrate Judge Clinton E. Averette X

202 E. 5th Street
Amarillo Texas 79101

petition for Special Redress or

Office of The courts
Mr. Ralph Mecham Director

permission other Relief AS A
3) Strikes provision 28 USC 1915

One Columbus Circle N.E.
Washington DC 20544

(b) (2)

Not Civil Action

Respondent Superior - U.S. of America

Now comes In RE proper (Barry Dwayne Minaker) Acting proper
And petitions this court Clerk United States District of
Columbia 333 Constitution Avenue N.W. Washington
D.C. 20001 and files petition for Special Redress or
permission other Relief AS A 3) Strikes provision 28 USC
1915 (b) (2) with support of this petition would show the
court the following 2

In RE proper would show the courts Aid is invoking to --
Concurrent Jurisdiction - Alternative Consent Jurisdiction

In RE proper would show for resolution on An "Ad litem"
"Sic" claims based on to conduct judicious examination
in to reason asserted for granting review on grounds or --
questions raised - vs - A - vs their importance to

WA

Jurisprudence of Texas? This refers to I have a fundamental constitutional right to use the court system. This right is based on the First, Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution. Under the First Amendment, you have the right to "petition the government for a redress of grievances." And under the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment, you have a right to "due process of law." Put together, these provisions mean that you must have the opportunity to go to court if you think your rights have been violated.
Lewis v. Casey, 518 U.S. 343 (1996)

In re proper would show for resolution on an (Ad item) (sic) claim is based on to conduct judicial examination into reasons asserted for granting review on grounds or questions raised - vis-a-vis their importance to jurisprudence of Texas? This refers to heed the court Mince v. Carter et al W.D. of Tx. San Antonio - Mince v. Sims Clemmer Judge Triana signed an order on June 15, 2007 finding plaintiff's vexatious litigation abuse re: D-1-00-07-001256 Mince v. Neal - U.S. Dist. Ct. Amarillo. - Mince v. Walker U.S. Dist. Court Amarillo. - In re Mince no. 04-10643 (5th Cir. July 22, 2004) Mince v. Dreter USDC 2002-cv-0310. Sanction (Lewis v. Casey 518 U.S. 343 (1996) Dismissed as frivolous, malicious or failing to state a claim)

The proper would show for resolution on an "Ad Item"
(Sic) claim is based on a to conduct judicious examination
into reason asserted for granting review on grounds or
questions raised - vis-a-vis their importance to jurisprudence
of Texas. This refers to Telephones Restrict - by -
Attorney General Greg Abbott - Internal Investigation - office
of the courts. Ralph Mecham - The Interest in Freedom from
Confinement - False imprisonment by physical barriers -
physical force threats of physical force - by other means
by asserted legal authority. Act intended to affect third
parties - Malice - Refusal to release Investigating or -
participating in false imprisonment - which this refers
to void sentence enhancement paragraph used May 02 2003
Exhibit 35-44 483-C. Munnick v. Cockrell, USDC. 2002
4-0310 - Magistrate Judge Clinton E. Axeritte who
refuse to release Dec 9, 2002 - March 03, 2003 - See
~~000000~~ - ~~0000~~ - FBI Munnick Barry Dwayne - ~~000000~~
- - - which they're housing me around inmates with
large sentences. Attempt have been made of my safety
around of 450000. Obstruction of correspondence.
The 18 USC 1702 VAHsing v. Commercial Union Ins.
Co. Inc. 978 F.2d 486 497.

Coercive relief & grounds that the courts are invoking
to. Judicial relief Alternative Intern relief - by
concurrent jurisdiction & Alternative consent jurisdiction
Defense 1). entitled to relief A demand for judgment for
the relief & the pleader seeks Defense 2) petition for Special
Redress or permission Other Relief AS A 3) Strikes provision
28 USC. 1915 (b) (2) Defense 2). Specific performance --
malicious prosecution damages to their reputation - Defense

3). July 16, 2024. - ~~Review~~ And Release Russia wires -

Defense 4). Unlawful Use of pen Register or Trap. and
Trace Device 16 USC. (B) - Defense 4). monitor Screen -
under surveillance by convicted inmates LEO went to -
retrieve all my writing - to forward to OSC - 35 - cell
Other inmates fraudulent lawsuits Title 18 USCA 1341.

Defense 3). pocket recorder crime of Bribery involves
Government Actor Defense 6) Transfer to appropriate
court with jurisdiction

I declare or certify or verify or state under --
penalty of perjury that the foregoing facts is true
and correct

Wherefore petitioner demand that the court Grant
petition for Special Redress or permission other relief
AS A 3) Strikes provision 28 USC - 1915 (b) (2) And such
other relief as the courts find just in this --
proceeding --

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing petitioner's Minnifee's petition for Special Redress or Permission Other Relief As A 3) Strike provision 28 USC. 1915-(b)(1) has been served on the Honorable Clerk of United States District of Columbia 333 Constitution Avenue N.W. Washington D.C. 20001 by depositing the same in the U.S. Mail - Indigent postage on this ~~31st~~ day of August 2009 - - Addressed to

Clerk US Dist Court of Columbia

Mr. Barry Wayne Minnifee # 130046R
Signature Affixed