

must plead facts that bring the suit within the court's jurisdiction. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). Failure to plead such facts warrants dismissal of the action. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3).

In this case, plaintiff, a Maryland resident, filed a complaint that consists solely of the following statements:

I am charging Elizabeth A. Herman all name defendants [sic] with falsely submitting my case without consent [sic] to the Petition of Negotiated Discipline hearing committee #4 on March 17th, 2009 with false information and continuing to carry it wrong [sic] thereafter to the court of appeals.

- Falsifying legal documents, tampering, misrepresentation, negligence.
- I would like justice and compensation for damages.
- I request a jury trial.
- Money is negotiable.

Compl. at 2. The Court finds that this complaint neither presents a federal question nor provides a basis for diversity jurisdiction as plaintiff has failed to allege that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000. Accordingly, the Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over plaintiff's action. Because plaintiff's recourse lies, if at all, in the Superior Court of the District of Columbia, the Court **GRANTS** defendants' motions to dismiss. A separate Order of dismissal accompanies this Memorandum Opinion.

SO ORDERED.

**Signed: EMMET G. SULLIVAN
United States District Judge
November 2, 2010**

Notice to:
CASSIE GRIFFIN
1302 Lakeside Avenue
Baltimore, MD 21218