



and any other claims that could have been submitted to the Court. *Allen v. McCurry*, 449 U.S. 90, 94 (1980) (*res judicata* bars not only those issues that were previously litigated, but also those that could have been but were not raised); *I.A.M. Nat'l Pension Fund v. Indus. Gear Mfg. Co.*, 723 F.2d 944, 949 (D.C. Cir. 1983) (noting that *res judicata* “forecloses all that which might have been litigated previously”). It appears that plaintiff already has raised a claim pertaining to his demotion in 1978 and that the Court has ruled against him. See *Richardson v. U.S. Dep't of Labor*, No. 01-1885 (EGS) (D.D.C. Nov. 30, 2001) (“To the extent that plaintiff appears now to allege a claim under the CSRA, which was not asserted in his 1999 complaint, but which is based upon the same factual allegations as were at issue in that action, this claim is barred by *res judicata*.”); *Richardson v. Dep't of Labor*, No. 99-2396 (EGS) (D.D.C. June 23, 2000). Accordingly, because plaintiff's claim is barred by *res judicata*, the Court will dismiss this action.

An Order consistent with this Memorandum is issued separately on this same date.



United States District Judge

Date: 12-10-10